

NOTICE OF MEETING **CABINET**

will meet on

THURSDAY, 25TH NOVEMBER, 2021

At 7.00 pm

by

GREY ROOM - YORK HOUSE, ON [RBWM YOUTUBE](#)

TO: MEMBERS OF THE CABINET

COUNCILLORS ANDREW JOHNSON (LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND CHAIRMAN OF CABINET, BUSINESS, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PROPERTY) (CHAIRMAN)

STUART CARROLL (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF CABINET, ADULT SOCIAL CARE, CHILDREN'S SERVICES, HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH) (VICE-CHAIRMAN)

DAVID CANNON (PUBLIC PROTECTION AND PARKING)

DAVID COPPINGER (PLANNING AND MAIDENHEAD)

SAMANTHA RAYNER (DEPUTY LEADER OF COUNCIL, HR, IT, LEGAL SERVICES (INCLUDING PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT) AND WINDSOR)

DAVID HILTON (FINANCE AND ASCOT)

GERRY CLARK (TRANSPORT AND INFRASTRUCTURE)

DONNA STIMSON (ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, CLIMATE CHANGE, SUSTAINABILITY AND CULTURE)

ROSS MCWILLIAMS (HOUSING, COMMUNICATIONS AND YOUTH ENGAGEMENT)

Karen Shepherd – Head of Governance - Issued: Wednesday, 17 November 2021

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Panel Administrator **David Cook** 01628 796560

Recording of Meetings – In line with the council's commitment to transparency the Part I (public) section of the virtual meeting will be streamed live and recorded via Zoom. By participating in the meeting by audio and/or video, you are giving consent to being recorded and acknowledge that the recording will be in the public domain. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting.

AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
1.	<u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u> To receive any apologies for absence	-
2.	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u> To receive any declarations of interest	5 - 6
3.	<u>MINUTES</u> To consider the minutes of the meeting held on 28 October 2021.	7 - 16
4.	<u>APPOINTMENTS</u>	
5.	<u>FORWARD PLAN</u> To consider the Forward Plan for the period December 2021 to March 2022.	17 - 24
6.	<u>CABINET MEMBERS' REPORTS</u> Finance and Ascot	-
	i. Council Tax Base 2022/23 Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	25 - 32
	ii. Demand for School Places Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	33 - 80
	iii. New Primary School Places in Maidenhead Finance and Ascott	81 - 568
	iv. Draft Revenue Budget 2022/23 Finance and Ascot	569 - 600
	v. Draft Capital Programme 2022/23 - 2024/25 Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	601 - 648

	vi. Transformation of Day Opportunities	649 - 728
	Finance and Ascot	
	vii. 2021/22 Finance update report - Revenue and Capital Month 6	729 - 772
	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	
	viii. Achieving for Children Reserved Matter Contract Awards (External Audit Services)	773 - 780
	Finance and Ascot	
	ix. Provision of Internal Audit Services	781 - 792
7.	<u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 - EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC</u>	-
	To consider passing the following resolution:-	
	"That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting whilst discussion takes place on items 8 on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1-7 of part I of Schedule 12A of the Act"	

PART II

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
8.	<u>CABINET MEMBERS' REPORTS</u>	
	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	
	i. New Primary School Places in Maidenhead	793 - 794
	Details of representations received on reports listed above for discussion in the Private Meeting: None received	

This page is intentionally left blank

MEMBERS' GUIDE TO DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

Disclosure at Meetings

If a Member has not disclosed an interest in their Register of Interests, they **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as they are aware that they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) or Other Registerable Interest. If a Member has already disclosed the interest in their Register of Interests they are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed.

Any Member with concerns about the nature of their interest should consult the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

Non-participation in case of Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)

Where a matter arises at a meeting which directly relates to one of your DPIs (summary below, further details set out in Table 1 of the Members' Code of Conduct) you must disclose the interest, **not participate in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room** unless you have been granted a dispensation. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (as agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer), you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest, just that you have an interest. Dispensation may be granted by the Monitoring Officer in limited circumstances, to enable you to participate and vote on a matter in which you have a DPI.

Where you have a DPI on a matter to be considered or is being considered by you as a Cabinet Member in exercise of your executive function, you must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter apart from arranging for someone else to deal with it.

DPIs (relating to the Member or their partner) include:

- *Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.*
- *Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from the council) made to the councillor during the previous 12-month period for expenses incurred by him/her in carrying out his/her duties as a councillor, or towards his/her election expenses*
- *Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.*
- *Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the council.*
- *Any licence to occupy land in the area of the council for a month or longer.*
- *Any tenancy where the landlord is the council, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest in the securities of.*
- *Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where:*
 - a) that body has a place of business or land in the area of the council, and*
 - b) either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body **or** (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.*

Any Member who is unsure if their interest falls within any of the above legal definitions should seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

Disclosure of Other Registerable Interests

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **directly relates** to one of your Other Registerable Interests (summary below and as set out in Table 2 of the Members Code of Conduct), you must disclose the interest. **You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting** but otherwise **must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation**. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (as agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer), you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Other Registerable Interests (relating to the Member or their partner):

You have an interest in any business of your authority where it relates to or is likely to affect:

- a) any body of which you are in general control or management and to which you are nominated or appointed by your authority*
- b) any body*
 - (i) exercising functions of a public nature*
 - (ii) directed to charitable purposes or*

one of whose principal purposes includes the influence of public opinion or policy (including any political party or trade union)

Disclosure of Non- Registerable Interests

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **directly relates** to your financial interest or well-being (and is not a DPI) or a financial interest or well-being of a relative or close associate, you must disclose the interest. **You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting** but otherwise **must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation**. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer) you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **affects** –

- a. your own financial interest or well-being;
- b. a financial interest or well-being of a friend, relative, close associate; or
- c. a body included in those you need to disclose under DPIs as set out in Table 1 of the Members' code of Conduct

you must disclose the interest. In order to determine whether you can remain in the meeting after disclosing your interest the following test should be applied.

Where a matter **affects** your financial interest or well-being:

- a. to a greater extent than it affects the financial interests of the majority of inhabitants of the ward affected by the decision and;
- b. a reasonable member of the public knowing all the facts would believe that it would affect your view of the wider public interest

You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting but otherwise **must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation**. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer, you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Other declarations

Members may wish to declare at the beginning of the meeting any other information they feel should be in the public domain in relation to an item on the agenda; such Member statements will be included in the minutes for transparency.

Agenda Item 3

CABINET

THURSDAY, 28 OCTOBER 2021

PRESENT: Councillors Andrew Johnson (Chairman), David Cannon, David Coppinger, Samantha Rayner, David Hilton, Gerry Clark, Donna Stimson and Ross McWilliams

Also in attendance: Councillor Simon Werner, Councillor Wisdom Da Costa, Councillor Carole Da Costa, Councillor Phil Haseler, Councillor Gurch Singh, Councillor Ewan Larcombe, Councillor John Baldwin, Councillor Amy Tisi, Councillor Gurpreet Bhangra, Councillor Jon Davey and Councillor Carroll.

Officers: Kevin McDaniel, Adele Taylor, Emma Duncan, Becky Hatch, Hilary Hall, Andrew Durrant, Chris Joyce, Louisa Dean, Louisa Freeth, Ian Gillespie and David Cook.

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Carroll, he could not attend in person but did attend virtually as a none voting member.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None received.

MINUTES

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That the minutes of the meeting held on 30 September 2021 were approved.

APPOINTMENTS

None

FORWARD PLAN

Cabinet considered the contents of the Forward Plan for the next four months and noted the changes made since last published.

CALL IN

Item not required.

CABINET MEMBERS' REPORTS

A) CORPORATE PLAN

Cabinet considered the report regarding the new Corporate Plan for the period 2021-2026.

The Chairman said they had discussed this paper previously, and it went to a very productive and useful meeting of the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Panel. He gave his thanks to all Members of that Panel for playing their part in shaping this document and putting forward a series of recommendations, which will we will discuss in due course. But overall, he thought

that was a very productive and indeed useful meeting in terms of shaping this critically important document for the council going forward.

The report shared the new Corporate Plan for the period 2021 to 2026 currently titled Building a Borough of Opportunity and Innovation. The plan sets out the council's overarching objectives and specific goals to be achieved in support of those objectives. Over the course of the plan, period, it has been designed to crystallise focus on where the council needs to focus most to drive the change that we need, and also to help us guide and indeed inspire an allocation of resources and energies to deliver that change.

It replaces the interim strategy, which was adopted back in the summer of last year, which was developed as a temporary plan for the response to the pandemic. This new plan went out to public consultation for a period of six weeks, which ended in September 2021, it was discussed by the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Panel on the 11th of October 2021 in what we deemed to be a formal challenge session. He asked Cabinet to consider the comments made by Scrutiny.

There were six agreed recommendations plus two additional comments that have come forward both in scrutiny and a Member of Cabinet.

Recommendation 1 put forward by Cllr Werner to rename the main overarching aim of the Corporate Plan to Creating a Sustainable Borough of Innovation and Opportunity. This was seconded by Councillor Jones, and indeed, agreed unanimously by the O&S Panel. Cabinet were very happy with that sensible and pragmatic amendments to the title of the Corporate Plan that reflected the longer term and wider ambitions around not only climate change, climate resilience, but also economic sustainability, health, sustainability, and all the other metrics of sustainability we will be using going forward. The recommendation was approved.

Recommendation 2 was put forward and agreed unanimously at the Panel was that the Corporate Plan be reviewed by the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Panel, or indeed whatever successor body may replace that panel in in due course, after two years following the plans, adoption. the motion was proposed by Cllr Jones and seconded by Cllr Hassler. Cabinet feel that this was a very prudent move as It gives the opportunity to potentially amend and refine that Corporate Plan to reflect not only real life implementation, but also to reflect external changes to the organisation. The recommendation was approved.

Recommendation 3 was proposed by Cllr Clark to increase both walking and cycling by 50%. This was duly seconded and indeed agreed unanimously by the by the Panel. Cabinet were receptive in principle to including walking in the goal, it was noted that the Cycling and Walking Action Plan also include an emphasis on walking. Cabinet did have concerns about how this could be measured and if the target was achievable. Cabinet agreed the additional target in principle but recommended that for the first year it would be to set a baseline, once established a target could be set. Cabinet noted the proposal and recommended that officers set a baseline during year one.

Recommendation 4 put forward targets to improve air quality and ensure that communities were able to access green spaces within a 15 minute walk. That motion again was unanimously agreed by the Panel. Cabinet were in favour of adding the goal posed on air quality. However, there were some concerns raised about how to define green space and about the 15 minute walk as a measure. Cabinet recommended that the target could be to access quality green space without the 15 minute walk measure. Cabinet approved the amended recommendation.

Recommendation 5 was motion put forward by Cllr Jones to remove reference to the name 'Windsor public realm' and the reference to the Desborough site in the goals. This was seconded by Cllr Werner. There was broad consensus amongst cabinet that these were major programmes, and it was helpful for accountability to retain reference to the specific schemes within the goals. Cabinet noted the recommendation.

The final approved recommendation from the Corporate O&S Panel was put forward by Cllr Jones to reword the goal on lobbying Government over the lifetime of the Corporate Plan, with the Executive Director of Resources to refine the wording. Cabinet approved this recommendation.

The Chairman said Cabinet had accepted the majority of recommendations put forward but he would also like to note the minority recommendations made where the O&S Panel were not in agreement as they could be considered in future refinements. He also said that there was a Cabinet Member who also wanted to put forward another amendment.

The Lead Member for Public Protection and Parking informed that within the Corporate Plan, we have in the text commitments we will make sure that residents and visitors feel safe on streets with a particular focus on women and girls and the night-time economy. We take a zero tolerance approach to antisocial behaviour and actions which damage our environment and we will build in safety by design. He believed this was very important and needed to be further stated and reinforced within the Corporate Plan. He proposed that they add an additional goal to the plan, where we concentrate that goal purely on our zero tolerance approach to antisocial behaviour. The wording would need to be carefully considered by officers to something that was achievable and measurable. This recommendation was approved by Cabinet.

The Deputy Leader of Council, Corporate & Residential Services, Culture & Heritage and Windsor said she was pleased to second the report as in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, we were incredibly lucky. It was an amazing place to live, work and visit. There was so much for us with our heritage of Windsor Castle, fantastic businesses, green space, but also a brilliant Council, which was excellent providing its statutory services. This plan went beyond that and it showed residents how seriously we took building a sustainable borough of opportunity and innovation. We were concentrating on thriving communities, inspiring places, and through the whole plan we are tackling climate change and the consequences.

The Lead Member for Finance and Ascot said that the new Corporate Plan had a lot to recommend it, especially the headline of building the borough of opportunity and innovation demonstrated the council's long term ambitions in housing, climate change, infrastructure and much more. The Audit and Governance Committee met last Thursday and reviewed the draft capital strategy which will form part of the 2020 to 2015 budget setting papers, you may ask what is the got to do with the corporate plan? Well, it's much more than you think. Our first capital strategy was published in 2019/20. Budget and some tidying up has been done since then. But the document is very process driven, it does sit outside investment priorities and we introduced a capital Review Board, but it lacked that sense of direction. The 2022/23 version incorporated the corporate strategy, as a consequence becomes aligned with that vision, a clear direction travel and borrow vision. He had to say the Corporate Plan was going to have a positive impact on all aspects of the council business.

The Lead Member for Climate Change, Sustainability, Parks and Countryside said that she wished to thank all those that took part in the consultation, it became obvious how our residents cared about sustainability and biodiversity and our drive for climate change. This is a document where we have listened to our residents and she commended it.

Cllr W Da Costa said that the message was clear from Cop 26 that if we do not take drastic action now, we will fail. Our standards of living will plummet, social stability will fall off the scale and health and life expectancy will also reduce. He said 50% of the public disagreed with the expectation of the plan, which is more than twice as many as those who actually agreed with it. The public said that the top issues that the council was not addressing in order of priority number one was environment, climate and biodiversity. More than half the people responded to that saying that this was not being considered appropriately, which was 10 times more than people who said that we our housing strategy was wrong. A second highest

concerned democracy and decision making, but five times more people said we are not getting the climate and environment and biodiversity right.

Cllr Da Costa said that every decision that we take from now on every regulation that we create, including our special supplementary planning, development has to put the environment the climate and biodiversity front, left and centre. He said that the plan presented today does not reflect the overwhelming views of public of the public or scientists. He urged Cabinet to write the plan.

The Chairman replied that there would be an opportunity to debate the merits of the plan at Council where he hoped it would be adopted. He said we do view climate change and sustainability as being a key part in the new fundamental parts of our agenda, we had set up the Climate Partnership and demonstrated real leadership. He mentioned that the Council had to operate within a national legal and policy framework. We also had to reflect upon the fact that as the local authority, and not as elected representatives, but as a local authority, the key function was also to provide first class public services, especially including the most vulnerable within the society, to whom we have not only an ethical and moral duty, but also a fiscal duty. We had to be financially secure to deliver these important services. because otherwise we would not be able to deliver on core business such as adults, children services, keeping our roads safe, but also delivering upon our climate and sustainability objectives, as well. He said that we do have a duty to the most vulnerable in society, we do have a duty to provide opportunity, we do have a duty to continue to foster innovation, but without a strong economy we will not have the financial to pay for this innovation and this technology that we are so dependent upon to tackle climate change.

Cllr Davey said that with regards to climate change not many people had heard of Cop 26 and not many placed any importance on it. This was a challenge for Cllr Stimson, Cllr Da Costa and Cllr Davies in getting the word out. With regards to the Corporate Plan he was concerned by the comment that with regards to infrastructure establish a testbed and small cell rollout of 5G. He said that the following questions about 5g were asked by a resident that he had put to the scrutiny challenge but there had been no response apart from health and safety investigations were being conducted. He questioned by whom and could the public see the results. Who decided the distances on the mass where the matter would be placed, what health and safety evidence was there and would the Leader sign off accountability for the project.

Cllr Davey said that one of the responses said it related to a planning application and they should look at the planning portal, however he felt that these were holistic questions. He felt that this was not a sufficient answer and there was no opportunity to question this at the O&S meeting. He said that the NHS has said each council should do its own due diligence into 5G, establishing a testbed for 5G rollout in the paper did not help build trust in the council. He requested that the Leader support the hosting of an enquiry into 5G and invite experts in to debate.

The Chairman replied that he was not in a position to speak for telecoms operators and associated government structures. But any installations would have to be in compliance with national and international legislation. He did not support that the council conduct an inquiry into 5G as this was a competence of national government and thus he recommended lobbying the local MPs.

Cllr Werner said that the scrutiny review had been a very productive session but it ran out of time and thus some items got lost. He mentioned that the O&S Chairman was excellent but given the importance of this paper more time was required. One of the things that was not included in the O&S recommendations, but we had a big discussion about was wellbeing. And we were advised at the time that it was not really possible to measure wellbeing. However, he had since been shown that there are many ways of measuring it and this included information from the Office of National Statistics. He therefore asked that Cabinet asked officers to look at introducing a wellbeing target.

Cllr Werner mentioned that another topic discussed at O&S was eco houses, and how, as the council owns some of the land that is proposed to build on, then we could set really high environmental standards for those houses. He asked Cabinet to include stronger targets to ensure this happened. There was no point in declaring a climate emergency if we continue to build houses that do not help towards our goals. He said that in conclusion, wellbeing and climate change needed to be given far more emphasis and a corporate plan with more ambitious targets.

The Chairman replied that with regards to wellbeing we placed a strong influence on all areas of wellbeing and mental health. However he said that this was a fair challenge and that was why they had agreed the O&S recommendation to review the plan in a couple of years. With regards to eco homes he agreed and referred to the latest Government paper, that included local authorities reducing carbon emissions by 75%. There was a drive to improve standards in the private sector especially around new build and existing dwellings. He was supportive of eco homes but only where they could be delivered.

Cllr Hassler said that as the Corporate O&S Chairman that he was grateful for the contributions of Cllr Werner and Cllr Jones at the meeting. He said that all members had been given an opportunity to submit questions and answers were provided, they then could ask their groups representative on the Panel to raise any other issues. With regards to carbon neutral homes planning could not refuse on this basis without legislation. He thanked Cabinet for taking on board O&S comments.

Cllr Baldwin asked Cabinet that in light of Cllr Cannons proposed new targets and reference to zero tolerance were they aware of legislation regarding the use of zero tolerance before progressing. In reply he was informed that any proposed targets and associated actions would be in line with legislation and the legal framework. Zero tolerance was about not turning a blind eye to any appropriate action; this could be education or fines.

Cllr Carroll mentioned that with regards to wellbeing the Health and Wellbeing Board already gave consideration to this and that there was the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment. The HWB Board also produced an annual report that references mental health.

Cllr C Da Costa said that with regards to zero carbon and aligning this with the plan that it was an ambition but would not go into the plan as it was not part of national legislation. Cllr Stimpson said that yes that was the case and the Chairman said that targets in the plan had to be achievable.

Resolved unanimously: that Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) Considers the recommendations from the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Panel as set out in Table 2, and any Officer advice;**
- ii) Agrees that the Corporate Plan (including any revisions) is referred to Full Council on 23 November 2021 for adoption.**

B) EXTEND COUNCIL TAX EXEMPTION FOR RBWM CARE LEAVERS UP TO AGE 25

Cabinet considered the report regarding the proposed extension of Council Tax exemption until the age of 25 for care leavers.

The Lead Member for Finance and Ascot introduced the report and informed that this was a positive proposal that was in line with Government policy and extended the provision of an existing policy to care leavers up until the age of 25.

The Chairman supported the report and said it was an important step as our roles as corporate parents.

Cllr Carroll said he was delighted to see the report before Cabinet especially as it happened to be Care Leavers Week, he apologised that he could not present the report in person. He said that the proposals would make a big difference to those leaving our care. He referenced how a number of years ago he had discussed with fellow Cabinet Member, Cllr McWilliams, how the Council Tax system could be used to help those in need. He mentioned the excellent work undertaken by the Task and Finish Group and the support of the Corporate Parenting Forum as well as the support of officers. He mentioned the responsibility we had to care leavers and how this small, but important change in policy, could make a big difference to care leavers.

Cllr Clerk mentioned that he was a member of the Corporate Parenting Panel and fully supported this report as it would benefit those in our care.

Cllr Tisi mentioned that she was the Vice-Chairman of the Corporate Parenting Forum and referenced the saying that 'we do not leave care, care leaves us'. She said that she became a Cllr to help improve peoples lives and that this paper was important in supporting care leavers as well as showing how scrutiny could be used to drive forward policy development. She asked the Lead Member if he would sign up to the Care Leavers Charter.

The Chairman said he agreed with the sentiments already made and how tonight we had seen two positive impacts of scrutiny and he hoped this culture of scrutiny providing value and positive impacts would continue. He also mentioned that this decision provided genuine outcomes and opportunities for our care leavers.

Resolved unanimously: that Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) Extends the mandatory Council Tax exemption to Care Leavers living in the borough, up to the age of 25.**
- ii) Provides Care Leavers up to the age of 25, living out of the borough and who pay Council Tax, an allowance towards their Council Tax costs equivalent to that received by Care Leavers living in the borough.**
- iii) Delegates the approval of the details of both schemes to Executive Director of Children's Services in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Children Services, Health and Mental Health and the Cabinet Member for Finance.**

c) LAND WEST OF WINDSOR STAKEHOLDER MASTERPLAN

Cabinet considered the report regarding the Stakeholder Masterplan Document for Land West of Windsor.

The Lead Member for Planning, Environmental Services and Maidenhead informed Cabinet that the report explained the new Borough Local Plan requirement for the preparation of Stakeholder Masterplan Documents and summarises the process and outcomes specifically in relation to the Stakeholder Masterplan Document for Land West of Windsor. He mentioned that only the BLP could release the land from the Green Belt. Whilst Officers are recommending that the SMD for Land West of Windsor be approved for Development Management purposes, the site will remain in the Green Belt, and the principle of developing the site not established, until the BLP has been adopted by the Council.

The Lead Member emphasised that this was not a planning application and all rights for objection remained during due process. If Cabinet approves this report further challenge remained open during the full planning process. There were currently three site were such SMP's were being worked upon, this was the first to reach this stage. Whilst in Windsor it came under Bray Parish Council and planning applications would be at Maidenhead committee.

The site would include residential units, public open space, sports facilities, a community hub and a SEN school. He outlined the process that had been undertaken getting to this point.

Out of this process came the commitment to provide affordable housing, a multi function area of public space, new crossings over the A308, a network of footpaths and cycleway's as well as the provision of allotments. The benefits of the process had been accepted and if accepted by Cabinet this did not set policy in planning and the ability of challenge still remained.

The Deputy Leader of Council informed that as Lead Member for Windsor she was pleased to see this important document that showed a process that allowed residents to have their say on the potential development of this important piece of land in Windsor.

Cllr Hasler informed that his was an excellent paper and brought forward ideas discussed in a previous planning working group, he wished that all major application go through a similar process.

Mr E Wilson addressed Cabinet and said that this masterplan was a critical document for all residents in Dedworth, this has helped residents understand what is happening but he had mentioned to the developers that there was still a lot of confusion what was within the plan. Those residents without internet access had been left behind with regards to information and thus he had recommended a drop in session or newsletter. Local ward Cllrs had also failed to provide information to residents and what they said was often conflicting with one saying there should be no housing whilst another said there would be but without car parking. He mentioned that there was little information about the planned school and its timing, funding, partners and also that residents could not wait until 2024 for the highway improvements and suggested they be in place before houses are built. He also mentioned that the report mentioned RM matters but did not say what this was, he thought they were reserve matters but this was not clear to residents.

The Cahirman said he agreed that there could be other forms of engagement for those who did not have access to the internet and the Lead Member informed that this was the start of the process and that the school would be developed by AFC. There had already been 6 meetings with residents but he would follow up his engagement suggestions.

Cllr Davey mentioned that he had already suggested to the developer that they hold a meeting with residents. He asked that for the 450 houses would they be built under a single planning application or multiple smaller applications. The Lead Member replied that at this early stage this was not known and it would be the developers prerogative.

Cllr C Da Costa said that this was the start of the process and ward Cllrs would be engaging with residents at the appropriate times.

Resolved unanimously: that Cabinet notes the report and:

i) Approves the Land West of Windsor Stakeholder Masterplan Document as an important material consideration for Development Management purposes.

D) BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Cabinet considered the report regarding the approval to submit the first BSIP to Department for Transport by 31st October 2021.

The Lead Member for Transport, Infrastructure and Digital Connectivity informed Cabinet that In March 2021, the Government announced a new national bus strategy 'Bus Back Better. This was followed by guidance on Bus Service Improvement Plans in May 2021. The strategy and guidance require Local Transport Authorities across the country to produce a Bus Service Improvement Plan by October 2021.

The proposed plan for approval by Cabinet had been developed using existing data and new research undertaken with existing bus users and borough residents who currently do not use buses. It set out an outline of measures for which Government funding will be sought. This included a full bus network review as well as a series of trials to test new measures across the borough with a view to roll out those which are successful across the rest of the borough as appropriate. It set out ambitious targets to grow the number of users, improve satisfaction and reliability. This would be a living document and reviewed each year.

Cabinet were informed that bus usage was too low and some services too expensive, however punctuality was good as was satisfaction. Some of the challenges ahead were continues increasing satisfaction levels, increasing passenger numbers, optimise services, more bus priority measures, increased responsive demand services, integrated transport model, infrastructure for accessible routes, meet developing passenger charter, improved bus information and a feasibility study for a bus station. Meeting these challenges will be dependent of Government funding supported by local funding from CIL and S106.

The Lead Member for Climate Change, Sustainability, Parks and Countryside supported the report but mentioned that there was not as much sustainability mentioned within the report, she asked if this would come once passenger numbers had increased. The Lead Member said that this was correct but also we could not bid for greener buses as this would be the role of the operator, although we would support this.

The Lead Member for Public Protection and Parking said he was pleased to second the report and subject to a successful funding bid was looking to see better links to our rural communities and decreased car journeys.

Cabinet were addressed by Mr E Wilson who said this was an excellent plan and he hoped the funding would be secured. He asked the Lead Member if it was known when the funding awards would be announces, would there be an updated plan and if a copy could be made available within RBWM libraries. The Lead Member replied that funding awards were in the hand of the DFT, that there would be regular updates to the plan and that he was happy to ask officers to make a hard copy available in RBWM libraries.

Cllr Baldwin said this was a good report seeking funding that you do not always get but he wished the bid good luck. He raised concern about the condition of bus stops and that he had been informed that residents were being put off using busses due to this. The report said that the responsibility for cleaning and maintaining the bus stops was the council and its contractors. By looking at the bus stops in his ward he concluded that current level of performance needed to be improved and the contracts reviewed.

The Chairman agreed that there was an issue with ASB around some bus stops and a zero tolerance approach would be adapted. The Lead Member also mentioned that he had not been aware of issues regarding the condition of bus stops and would encourage residents to report any problems so they could be dealt with.

Cllr Baldwin said that for users they would usually report issues to the driver and thus there was a disconnect between the bus company and the council's report it system. For residents who were put of using the service due to ASB and the condition of stops he said that issues had been reported and he gave an example of a stop at St Marks that had been hit by a car and after months had still not been repaired. The Lead Member said he would ask officers to look at the aforementioned bus stop and encouraged people to report any issues.

Cllr Davey said he commended the approach to bid for £30million. He recommended that instead of looking into a bus station we should look at the feasibility of having a transport hub connecting Windsor and Maidenhead. He also mentioned caution of using electric buses that used batteries from certain countries abroad that caused a high death rate during production, he asked Cllr Stimpson to push for those built in the UK. The Lead Member said that with

regards to a transport hub this was the purpose of the consultation to bring out new ideas, he said the plan was an evolving one.

Cllr Singh said that with regards to ASB he had contacted the Chairman in September but had not received a response. The Chairman replied that as response had been provided and he had asked for Cllr Singh support against ASB but no reply had been forthcoming.

Cllr Singh mentioned failures regarding a recent bus gate scheme that had cost the council money. He also mentioned there was a planning condition to have a bus running to the Braywick but he could not see this in the report. The Chairman replied that with regards to the bus gate this was not taken forward following a public consultation. The Lead Member reiterated this and also said that there had been no loss of money as if it had progressed it would have been funded by the Government but it was a scheme residents did not want.

Resolved unanimously: that Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) Approves the first Bus Service Improvement Plan (BSIP).**
- ii) Delegates authority to the Head of Infrastructure, Sustainability and Economic Growth in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Transport, Infrastructure and Digital Connectivity, to make the final submission to the Department for Transport and to engage with operators, communities and other key stakeholders on the delivery of measures within the outline plan.**

(Item not subject to call in as if called in we would miss the submission date and thus cause possible harm to the authority).

E) DRUG AND ALCOHOL SERVICES RECOMMISSIONING

Cabinet considered the report regarding the award of the drug and alcohol contract.

The Lead Member for Finance and Ascot introduced the report and provided the background to a cross party working group that had previously been established that recommended to Cabinet current arrangements, he informed that TVP and the NHS had been involved as this important topic cut across all services. It was noted that the report talked about alcohol and substance abuse and with regards to heroin although the number of people who overcome their addiction was small without this service they could result in criminality. The service, as well as being important, was also excellent value for money with evidence from Public Health England showed that alcohol treatment reflects a return on investment of £3 for every £1 invested, whilst drug treatment reflects a return on investment of £4 for every £1 invested. He also highlighted that there may be dual diagnosis's that may need attention, for example a person may have mental health and substance misuse issues that both need to be addressed to get a positive outcome.

The Chairman commended the report and mentioned that earlier they had talked about zero tolerance and with drug dealing they had zero tolerance, especially due to its impact on those vulnerable in society. This report showed the other side of the coin where support was provided by those suffering from substance misuse.

Cllr Carroll apologised for not being able to attend in person to present this important paper standing in his name as this was a critical service. He mentioned that our CQC rating remained good and this paper sought to progress the excellent service looking to build upon excellent work. This delivery model builds on existing partnerships to encourage community cohesion and self-care and tackle key themes linked to multiple disadvantages. The new contract brought together different elements into one integrated contract. It acknowledged the importance of a multi agency and service approach.

The Lead Member for Housing, Sport, Leisure and Community Engagement reiterated the importance of a multi service approach. The report mentioned mental health, housing and

substance misuse. People who did not have sustainable housing could become vulnerable to substance misuse; all three areas were important to address.

Cllr Tisi said she welcomed the evidence based paper to help the needs of residents. She was concerned to read that there was between 40% to 60% of RBWM residents were drinking at an increased risk level of alcohol per week but there was a low level seeking support. She welcomed the recruitment of a substance misuse officer in children's social care. She mentioned that the report said there was no additional funding required and asked what would happen to this important service if funding was reduced, what would be prioritised. She mentioned that page 40 of the report mentioned that 'there may be a need for admission to detoxification and rehabilitation units, although in RBWM this was uncommon due to individuals not meeting the level of need set out in locally-defined admission criteria.' Cllr Tisi asked what this criteria was.

Cllr Carroll replied that the funding was ringfenced from the Public Health Grant and that there had recently been an increase in funding so he did not see any high risk of funding being reduced, this was backed up by recent Government announcements. He said that he would ask officer to reply to Cllr Tisi's question regarding the local admission criteria.

Cllr Hasler supported the paper and referred to his previous employment as a police officer and how he had signposted people to these valuable services. He reiterated his support for the paper.

Resolved unanimously: that Cabinet notes the report and:

i) Agrees to award the Drug and Alcohol Service Contract to the Preferred Provider.

The meeting, which began at 7.00 pm, finished at 9.43 pm

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....

Agenda Item 5

CABINET

FORWARD PLAN - CHANGES MADE SINCE LAST PUBLISHED:

ITEM	SCHEDULED CABINET DATE	NEW CABINET DATE	REASON FOR CHANGE
Land in Cookham	28/10/21	16/12/21	Further work required
Land at Stafferton Way	28/10/21	16/12/21	Further work required
Residents Parking Scheme	n/a	16/12/21	New Item
Children's Services Capital Programme	16/12/21	27/01/22	Further work required

FORWARD PLAN OF CABINET DECISIONS

CABINET Member, Councillor Johnson Leader of the Council and Chairman of Cabinet, Business, Economic Development, and Property, Councillor Rayner Deputy Leader of the Council, Corporate & Resident Services, Culture & Heritage, and Windsor, Councillor Carroll Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, Councillor Cannon Public Protection and Parking, Councillor Clark Transport, Infrastructure, and Digital Connectivity, Councillor Coppinger Planning, Environmental Services, and Maidenhead, Councillor Hilton Finance and Ascot, Councillor McWilliams Housing, Sport & Leisure, and Community Engagement, Councillor Stimson Climate Change, Sustainability, Parks and Countryside

All enquiries, including representations, about any of the items listed below should be made in the first instance to Democratic Services, Town Hall, St Ives Road, Maidenhead. Tel (01628) 796560. Email: democratic.services@rbwm.gov.uk

FORWARD PLAN

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below.	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
 Land in Cookham	Fully exempt - 3	Sale of freehold to the long leaseholder.	No	Leader of the Council and Chairman of Cabinet, Business, Economic Development and Property (Councillor Andrew Johnson)	Duncan Sharkey	Internal	Cabinet 16 Dec 2021	
Land in Stafferton Way	Fully exempt - 3	Sale of freehold to the long leaseholder.	No	Leader of the Council and Chairman of Cabinet, Business, Economic Development and Property (Councillor Andrew Johnson)	Duncan Sharkey	Internal	Cabinet 16 Dec 2021	

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
Council Performance Management Framework Quarter 1 & 2	-	Latest performance report	Yes	Deputy Leader of the Council, Corporate & Resident Services, Culture & Heritage, and Windsor (Councillor Samantha Rayner)	Emma Duncan	Internal	Cabinet 16 Dec 2021	
19 Residents Parking Scheme	-	Confirm the Resident Parking Discount Scheme, Parking Charges for 2022/23 and a change to the maximum stay at Grove Road car park which is linked to the Resident Parking Discount.	Yes	Cabinet Member for Public Protection and Parking (Councillor David Cannon)	Andrew Durrant	Internal	Cabinet 16 Dec 2021	
Inclusion of Learning Disability (LD) Supported Living Block Contract into existing RBWM/ Optalis Contract for Services dated 30th March 2017.	-	This report seeks Cabinet approval to include the LD Supported Living Block contract into the existing RBWM / Optalis Contract for Services with effect from 1st April 2022 when the current contract with a third party provider, expires.	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Hilary Hall	Internal	Cabinet 16 Dec 2021	

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
Annual Consultation on School Admission Arrangements	-	To consult on admission arrangements	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Kevin McDaniel	Internal	Cabinet 27 Jan 2022	
Children's Services Capital Programme	-	To approve the capital programme	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Kevin McDaniel	Internal	Cabinet 27 Jan 2022	
Finance Update	-	Latest Financial Update	Yes	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot (Councillor David Hilton)	Adele Taylor	Internal	Cabinet 27 Jan 2022	
Approval of Optalis Shareholders Agreement	-	To agree updates to the Optalis shareholders agreement including changes to the board and council appointed directors	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Hilary Hall	Internal	Cabinet 27 Jan 2022	

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
21 Budget 2022/23	-	Report which sets financial context within next year's budget is being set. The report includes a recommendation to Council of a Council Tax, it recommends a capital programme for the coming year and also confirms Financial Strategy and Treasury Management Policy.	Yes	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot (Councillor David Hilton)	Adele Taylor	Internal	Cabinet 10 Feb 2022	
Capital Programme 2022/23	-	To approve the Capital Programme.	Yes	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot (Councillor David Hilton)	Adele Taylor	Internal	Cabinet 10 Feb 2022	
Award of contracts for Domiciliary (Home based) Care for adults	Fully exempt - 3	This report seeks approval to award contracts for the provision of domiciliary care following a tender exercise. The contracts will be awarded for 5 years with the option to extend for a further 2 years commencing in	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Hilary Hall	Internal	Cabinet 31 Mar 2022	

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
		August 2022.						
Financial Update	-	Latest Financial Update.	Yes	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot (Councillor David Hilton)	Adele Taylor	Internal	Cabinet 31 Mar 2022	
Achieving for Children Reserved Ownership Decisions	-	This report seeks approval of Achieving for Children's Business Plan, annual budget and Treasury Plan.	Yes	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health (Councillor Stuart Carroll)	Kevin McDaniel	Internal	Cabinet 31 Mar 2022	

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
------	--	-------------------	---------------------------------	---	---	---	--------------------------	--

DESCRIPTIONS OF EXEMPT INFORMATION: ENGLAND

- 1 Information relating to any individual.
- 2 Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual.
- 3 Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).
- 4 Information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under, the authority.
- 5 Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.
- 6 Information which reveals that the authority proposes:
 - (a) to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or
 - (b) to make an order or direction under any enactment.
- 7 Information relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime.

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

This page is intentionally left blank

Report Title:	Council Tax Base 2022/23
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor David Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet - 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Adele Taylor – Executive Director of Resources & Section 151 Officer. Andrew Vallance - Head of Finance and Deputy S151 Officer
Wards affected:	All



REPORT SUMMARY

This report deals with the statutory requirement to set the Council’s tax base for 2022/23. The tax base is used by Thames Valley Police, Berkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, local Parish Councils as well as the Royal Borough for setting precepts and Council Tax next year.

The tax base is in line with the level anticipated in the Council’s Medium Term Financial Plan and has increased since last year for two main reasons:

- The number of properties being built.
- Reduced number of households claiming Local Council Tax Support Discount.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) Approves the Council Tax base for the whole of the Borough area, for 2022/23 at 69,736.32 as detailed in this report and appendices. This is an increase of 556.87 over the 2021/22 base, a 0.80% increase.**
- ii) Notes a Council Tax collection rate of 99.5% for 2022/23**
- iii) Notes an estimated deficit on the Council Tax Collection Fund in 2021/22 of £376,176 of which the Council’s share is £300,000**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Accept the recommendations	Council Tax is likely to achieve planned levels.
Reduce the non-payment percentage	There is no guarantee the Council would recover the

Option	Comments
	increased Council Tax arising from this action.

Section 33 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 and the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2012 requires the Council as the Billing Authority to calculate a Council Tax Base for its area by 31 January each year.

Under Government regulations it is necessary for the Council to review its Collection Fund and decide the following:

- The Council Tax Base to be used for setting its 2022/23 Council Tax.
- Its Council Tax Collection Rate for 2022/23; and
- The estimated Council Tax surplus or deficit for 2021/22.

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 **New Properties.** Provision needs to be made in the 2022/23 tax base for new properties that are likely to be occupied before the end of the next financial year. This provision is calculated by colleagues in the revenues team following conversations with planners, building control and local builders. The growth in local housing continues at a high level and the part year effect of 844 additional properties will be included in the provision for 2022/23.
- 3.2 Appendix C sets out the Band D equivalent properties at the end of September 2021 that were included in the CTB1 return to MHCLG, 69,303.48. Added to this is the estimated full year impact of additional properties and revaluations, 783.26, less an allowance for non-collection of 350.42 to give the tax base of 69,736.32
- 3.3 **Collection Rate.** A review of eventual collection rates has been carried out which revealed that assumptions used to calculate the 2022/23 tax base (99.5%) are adequate and no changes are proposed.
- 3.4 **Business Rates.** Under the localisation of Business Rates initiative, also enabled in the Local Government Finance Bill, the borough now has a greater financial interest in the local business rate tax base as the Borough now shares in the risks and rewards associated with growth in the local economy. Whilst the Business rate tax base can be estimated using last year's returns the actual Business Rate tax base cannot be calculated until MHCLG publishes the NNDR1 return in January. Information on the business rate tax base will, therefore, be included in the Budget report to February Cabinet along with other assumptions that have been made about the income that is likely to accrue.

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

4.1. The Council Tax base for the individual parts of the Royal Borough (both parished and unparished areas) is as follows:

Table 2: Local Tax Base 2022/23 by Parish

PARISH	Local Tax Base 2022/23 (band D equivalent properties)
Bisham	735.38
Bray	4,434.44
Cookham	2,974.88
Cox Green	3,055.20
Datchet	2,268.93
Eton	1,833.47
Horton	466.51
Hurley	1,020.51
Old Windsor	2,432.95
Shottesbrooke	75.06
Sunningdale	3,479.19
Sunninghill & Ascot	6,644.13
Waltham St Lawrence	693.18
White Waltham	1,293.99
Wraysbury	2,148.41
UNPARISHED	
Maidenhead	22,370.69
Windsor	13,809.40
TOTAL	69,736.32

4.2. The Council's budget requirement divided by the tax base (above) equals the Band D Council Tax that is set by the Council in February 2022.

- 4.3. The tax base has increased by 556.87 band D equivalent properties since 2021/22 which is an increase of 0.80%
- 4.4. Band D equivalent properties are the number of band D properties in the area which would raise the same council tax as the actual number of properties in all bands. For example, one band H property is equivalent to two band D properties, because the taxpayer in a band H property pays twice as much council tax.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 This report is part of the process required for the Council to meet its legal obligations to set its tax base that it notifies to Parish Councils, Police and Fire.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Table 3: Impact of risk and mitigation

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
That the non-collection rate of 0.5% proves to be inadequate.	A deficit on the collection fund will result and this would be used to adjust future calculations of council tax.	The non-collection rate is the best estimate based on past collection rates. The collection rate is monitored throughout the year.	Minimal

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 **Equalities.** No impact
- 7.2 **Climate change/sustainability** Not applicable
- 7.3 **Data Protection/GDPR** Not applicable

8. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 8.1 The budget for 2022/23 will be finalised in February 2022 with full details going to Cabinet and Council in February 2022. Residents will be advised of their Council Tax in March 2022.

9. APPENDICES

9.1 This report is supported by 3 appendices:

Appendix A Analysis of properties.

Appendix B Tax base by parish by band.

Appendix C 2022/23 tax base compared with 2021/22

10. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

None

11. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory: Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	12/11/21	12/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	12/11/21	15/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance	Report Author	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance	12/11/21	16/11/21
Elaine Browne	Head of Legal	12/11/21	
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	12/11/21	15/11/21

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cabinet Member for Finance	Yes
---	----------------------------	-----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key decision	No	No

Report Author:	Andrew Vallance, Head of finance & Deputy s151 Officer
----------------	--

APPENDIX A Tax Base 2022/23 - Analysis of Properties

BAND		A (Entitled to Disabled Relief Reduction)	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	TOTAL
Number Of Properties											
	Full Charge	3	817	1,170	5,134	10,809	9,497	6,326	7,801	1,604	43,159
	25%Discount 25.00%	0	968	2,212	4,302	4,862	3,397	1,765	1,526	174	19,206
	Empty Property Zero Discount <2Y 0.00%	0	35	89	261	226	112	65	56	16	860
	Empty Property 100% Discount 100.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Second Homes 0.00%	0	98	62	101	169	138	77	100	57	802
	Empty Property Premium >2Y 100.00%	0	18	24	31	35	46	18	19	8	199
	Statutory 50% Discounts 50.00%	0	2	0	6	9	7	14	34	13	85
3	Exemptions	0	164	289	212	298	175	91	137	30	1,396
	Equivalent property reductions resulting from discounts to Council Tax Support claimants	0	-192	-702	-936	-780	-234	-80	-40	-1	-2,965
	MOD Properties	0	0	172	112	106	13	10	46	0	458
Total No. of Properties		3	1,910	3,316	9,223	15,733	13,151	8,286	9,679	1,901	63,200
Total Equiv No. Ratio to Band D		3.00 5/9	1,520.74 6/9	2,497.56 7/9	7,963.02 8/9	14,250.04 1	12,168.77 11/9	7,764.49 13/9	9,162.14 15/9	1,829.28 2	57,159.04
BAND D EQUIVALENT		1.67	1,013.83	1,942.55	7,078.24	14,250.04	14,872.94	11,215.38	15,270.24	3,658.56	69,303.45

APPENDIX B Tax Base 2022/23 - Band D Equivalents

31

BAND	A (Entitled to Disabled Relief Reduction)	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	TOTAL
Parish										
Bisham	0.00	5.17	3.11	2.67	32.19	107.80	125.71	364.82	92.50	733.97
Bray	0.56	159.13	185.95	194.08	510.15	1,023.27	761.96	1,384.68	225.50	4,445.28
Cookham	0.56	63.17	21.80	147.82	332.34	790.55	475.66	904.65	243.50	2,980.05
Cox Green	0.00	3.53	57.16	181.98	768.15	1,133.71	702.75	212.38	8.00	3,067.66
Datchet	0.00	27.44	51.90	333.24	384.59	463.42	390.92	594.32	34.50	2,280.33
Eton	0.00	10.25	58.01	194.20	572.30	506.88	196.59	233.33	62.50	1,834.06
Horton	0.00	17.95	10.78	57.96	80.20	110.72	109.65	71.92	8.00	467.18
Hurley	0.00	38.27	17.69	55.91	155.10	176.13	114.07	349.97	114.00	1,021.14
Old Windsor	0.00	27.76	49.74	143.27	394.92	812.55	415.94	530.72	69.50	2,444.40
Shottesbrooke	0.00	2.00	0.78	1.56	6.52	18.94	8.31	20.83	15.50	74.44
Sunningdale	0.00	32.00	34.92	104.62	417.49	507.45	492.89	883.18	990.50	3,463.05
Sunninghill & Ascot	0.00	101.81	86.53	357.13	888.30	858.21	1,173.86	2,106.68	1,006.50	6,579.02
Waltham St Lawrence	0.00	13.69	5.06	17.07	54.03	124.12	92.08	286.67	93.00	685.72
White Waltham	0.00	35.60	62.35	97.57	306.26	286.33	129.28	318.33	58.00	1,293.72
Wraysbury	0.00	37.77	39.01	43.92	223.08	257.56	465.88	963.82	121.50	2,152.54
UNPARISHED										
Maidenhead	0.56	188.45	762.00	3,788.39	5,017.76	4,199.19	3,622.87	4,101.53	294.50	21,975.25
Windsor	0.00	249.85	495.76	1,356.85	4,106.66	3,496.12	1,936.97	1,942.40	221.06	13,805.67
	1.68	1,013.84	1,942.55	7,078.24	14,250.04	14,872.95	11,215.39	15,270.23	3,658.56	69,303.48
New build & valuation changes in year 2022/23										
		27.67	95.99	471.42	86.33	9.78	34.65	19.59	37.83	783.26
	1.68	1,041.51	2,038.54	7,549.66	14,336.37	14,882.73	11,250.04	15,289.82	3,696.39	70,086.74
Deduct Non-Collection Rate of .50%	0.00	5.23	10.20	37.76	71.67	74.41	56.23	76.43	18.49	350.42
COUNCIL TAX BASE	1.68	1,036.28	2,028.34	7,511.90	14,264.70	14,808.32	11,193.81	15,213.39	3,677.90	69,736.32

APPENDIX C Local Tax Base 2022/23

PARISH	Band D Equivalents	ADD New build & valuation changes in 2022/23	LESS Non Collection Allowance	APPENDIX C Local Tax Base 2022/23	Local tax Base 2021/22	Change
Bisham	733.97	5.11	-3.70	735.38	732.73	2.65
Bray	4,445.28	11.44	-22.28	4,434.44	4,397.15	37.29
Cookham	2,980.05	9.78	-14.95	2,974.88	2,962.35	12.53
Cox Green	3,067.66	2.89	-15.35	3,055.20	3,058.44	-3.24
Datchet	2,280.33	0.00	-11.40	2,268.93	2,264.88	4.05
Eton	1,834.06	8.62	-9.21	1,833.47	1,829.84	3.63
Horton	467.18	1.67	-2.34	466.51	461.08	5.43
Hurley	1,021.14	4.50	-5.13	1,020.51	1,005.84	14.67
Old Windsor	2,444.40	0.78	-12.23	2,432.95	2,415.04	17.91
Shottesbrooke	74.44	1.00	-0.38	75.06	73.49	1.57
Sunningdale	3,463.05	33.62	-17.48	3,479.19	3,461.60	17.59
Sunninghill & Ascot	6,579.02	98.50	-33.39	6,644.13	6,550.44	93.69
Waltham St Lawrence	685.72	10.94	-3.48	693.18	680.30	12.88
White Waltham	1,293.72	6.77	-6.50	1,293.99	1,282.56	11.43
Wraysbury	2,152.54	6.67	-10.80	2,148.41	2,142.53	5.88
UNPARISHED						
Maidenhead	21,975.25	507.85	-112.41	22,370.69	22,118.19	252.50
Windsor	13,805.67	73.12	-69.39	13,809.40	13,742.99	66.41
TOTALS	69,303.48	783.26	-350.42	69,736.32	69,179.45	556.87

Report Title:	Demand for school places
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Stuart Carroll, Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet, 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Kevin McDaniel, Executive Director of Children's Services
Wards affected:	All wards



REPORT SUMMARY

This report sets out the latest, 2021, projections of demand for school places in the Royal Borough. The last eighteen months have seen significant demographic change, with reduced net inward migration, in addition to lower birth rates, likely to significantly reduce future demand for school places over previous expectations. As the report notes, there continues to be considerable uncertainty about future demand as, for example, net inward migration could return to previous levels and boost demand again.

The report provides the latest analysis of demand for school places for Ascot, Datchet/Wraysbury, Maidenhead and Windsor, by each tier of schooling.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report.

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Background

2.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead has a legal duty to ensure that there are sufficient school places to meet demand¹. This report provides:

- The 2021 projections for future demand for school places in the borough.
- An assessment of the options available to meet rising demand for school places.

The current school expansion programme

2.2 The Royal Borough is nearing completion of its secondary school expansion programme, providing up to 1,500 new secondary, middle and upper school places over the period 2017/18 to 2022/23.

2.3 Work to expand St Peter's CE Middle School, Old Windsor was completed earlier in the year, providing a new classroom block and additional works to the entrance. The project to expand of Windsor Girls' School from September 2022 is also underway, with a planning application expected to be submitted by the end of the year.

¹ Section 14, Education Act 1996.

2.4 Appendix A summarises the progress on the projects in the secondary school expansion programme.

The medium-term need for places in 2021 to 2025

2.5 Projections of future demand are usually done annually and reported to the Department for Education (DfE) in the School Capacity (SCAP) survey in July.

2.6 The projections take into account demographic data (including new housing) and changing parental preference.

2.7 The rapidly shifting demographic picture means that there is still uncertainty over the projections, particularly for first intake into schools at Reception. In summary:

- the birth rate remains low compared the peaks reached between 2006/07 and 2011/12 (who started Reception between September 2011 and September 2016).
- levels of net inward migration into the borough for children aged 0 to 4 have varied significantly in recent years. A generally higher level of net inward movement in the years leading up to 2018/19 was followed by a significant fall during the pandemic period. Whilst net inward migration has recovered slightly over the past year, it is still not at previous levels. The variation in the level of migration impacts heavily on the pupil projections.
- there may be an additional impact from increased international immigration from Hong Kong. To date, the number of new arrivals has been modest, but neighbouring authorities have experienced much higher movements.
- the slowdown in housing completions last year has had some impact on demand, although the expectation is that completion rates are returning to a more normal level, and will accelerate over the next few years.

2.8 There is more information about the changing birth rate, changes to net inward migration and arrivals from Hong Kong in Appendix B.

2.9 The projections and commentary are available on the borough's website at:

<https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/home/schools-and-education/school-organisation-places-and-planning/pupil-number-projections>

2.10 The commentary is also provided as Appendix C to this report, available by electronic distribution only. The data is summarised in Tables 1 to 9.

2.11 Some further adjustments have been made to the projections for Maidenhead primary schools and provided in Table 3. These take into account the very latest demographic data - the GP registrations as at 31st August 2021. This information was not available when the SCAP survey was completed in July, but has been used to crudely tweak the 2021 projections for Maidenhead. Full projections based on this data will be prepared next year.

2.12 Projections for other parts of the borough have not been tweaked, as only in Maidenhead is there a possibility that there may be a shortfall of places at Reception.

2.13 The Royal Borough has a policy of ensuring that there is a surplus of approximately 5% on school places. In other words, there should be around

5% more school places than expected demand, particularly at school intake points (Reception for primary and first schools, Year 5 for middle schools, Year 7 for secondary schools and Year 9 for upper schools). This allows for the operation of parental choice, provides space for families who move into the area later on and also means that there are still enough places if demand is slightly higher than projected.

Table 1: 2021 projections and commentary for intakes into Ascot primary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting in Sept.				Projected for academic year starting in Sept.				
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number on roll in Reception:		128	134	132	138	124	111	100	113	115
Surplus/deficit	No.	+22	+16	+18	+12	+26	+39	+50	+37	+35
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+15%	+11%	+12%	+8%	+18%	+26%	+33%	+25%	+24%

Commentary for Ascot primary schools

- 2.14 No further action is currently proposed at present for Ascot. The projections show that there will be sufficient places until 2025 (at least). Demand from residents within Ascot is projected to remain low. This is partially offset by higher numbers of out-borough children (0.9 forms of entry [FE]; 27 children). The surplus of places is expected to remain well above the target of 5%.
- 2.15 The projections are significantly below those from 2020, reflecting lower net inward migration.
- 2.16 The very latest GP registrations data suggests that net inward migration for 0 to 4 year olds has increased again over the past 12 months. This is not reflected in the numbers given above, and it is possible that actual demand may end up higher than projected here. This is, however, very unlikely to result in a shortage of Reception places during the projection period.

Table 2: 2021 projections and commentary for intakes into Datchet and Wraysbury primary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting in Sept.				Projected for academic year starting in Sept.				
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number on roll in Reception:		87	88	89	88	88	77	84	83	84
Surplus/deficit	No.	+3	+2	+1	+2	+2	+13	+6	+7	+6
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+3%	+2%	+1%	+2%	+2%	+14%	+6%	+8%	+7%

Commentary for Datchet and Wraysbury primary schools

37

- 2.17 No further action is currently proposed for Datchet/Wraysbury. Projections show that demand is likely to fall slightly. A small number of local applicants are offered places in schools outside Datchet and Wraysbury. There could potentially be a dip in demand in September 2020, when the surplus of places will rise to 10%. In most years the surplus is expected to be above the target of 5%. The projections include approximately 0.4 FE (12 children) of out-borough demand
- 2.18 The projections are largely in line with those from 2020.

Table 3: 2021 projections and commentary for intakes into Maidenhead primary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting September				Projected for academic year starting September				
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number on roll in Reception:		903	862	886	897	863	870	837	809	847
Surplus/deficit	No.	+79	+109	+85	+74	+109	+102	+135	+133	+95
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+8%	+11%	+9%	+8%	+11%	+11%	+14%	+14%	+10%

Commentary for Maidenhead primary schools

- 2.19 No immediate further action is proposed for Maidenhead. The projections for the town as a whole show sufficient school places overall. A surplus of 5% in September 2021 could increase to a 14% surplus by September 2024. The projections include around 0.9 FE (26 children) of out-borough demand. This includes the loss, in September 2024, of 30 places at Lowbrook Academy as it reverts to 30 places again due to limited accommodation.
- 2.20 These projections are significantly lower than those from 2020, largely due to significant falls in net inward migration into the town. Those projections, which reflected a high level of net inward migration, suggested an overall deficit of places by September 2022.
- 2.21 The very latest GP registrations data suggests that net inward migration for 0 to 4 year olds has recovered slightly from last year's lows, but is still not at previous levels. In the absence of further data the latest five year average has been applied to the demographic data to amend the projections produced in July and provide the updated figures above.
- 2.22 The overall projection masks significant variation within the town. More details are provided in paragraphs 2.49 to 2.55, but, in short, demand in North West and South West Maidenhead is set to fall significantly; demand in Central Maidenhead, Bisham/Cookham and North East Maidenhead should remain mainly steady; and demand in South East Maidenhead is expected to rise, with the potential for local shortfalls from September 2023 onwards.
- 2.23 There remains a real risk that, if net inward migration returns to earlier levels, the projections provided here will prove to be too low. In turn, this could mean more primary school places are needed in the town.

Table 4: 2021 projections and commentary for intakes into Windsor first schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting September				Projected for academic year starting in Sept.				
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Number on roll in Reception:		500	478	502	488	450	438	449	458	459
Surplus/deficit	No.	+45	+67	+43	+57	+80	+93	+96	+87	+86
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+8%	+12%	+8%	+10%	+15%	+18%	+18%	+16%	+16%

Commentary for Windsor first schools

39

- 2.24 No immediate further action is currently proposed for Windsor. The projections show that there will be sufficient places during the period to September 2025. A decision in August by the Office of the Schools Adjudicator to allow a reduction in places at Kings Court First School is reflected in the numbers given above (but not in Appendix C as reported to the DfE in July). With this reduction (currently agreed for 2021 and 2022), the surplus of places is expected to peak at 18% in 2022 and 2023. This is well above the 5% surplus place target.
- 2.25 The projections are significantly lower than the 2020 projections, reflecting lower net inward migration.
- 2.26 The very latest GP registrations data suggests that net inward migration for 0 to 4 year olds has only increased very slightly over the past 12 months. This is not reflected in the numbers given above, and it is possible that actual demand may end up higher than projected here. This is, however, very unlikely to result in a shortage of Reception places during the projection period.

Table 5: 2021 projections for intakes into Ascot secondary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting Sept:				Projected for academic year starting September:					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Number on roll in Year 7:		270	271	302	276	270	276	274	271	274	256
Surplus/deficit	No.	0	-1	-2	-6	0	-6	-4	-1	-4	+14
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	0%	0%	-1%	-2%	0%	-2%	-1%	0%	-1%	+5%

Commentary for Ascot secondary schools

- 2.27 No further action is currently planned for Ascot secondary school provision. The projections indicate that there should be enough places for Ascot and designated area residents in the projection period. There is not expected to be a surplus of places during the projection period as any empty places are usually filled by out-borough applicants. The projections include approximately 4 FE of out-borough demand, a significant part of this is from within the school's designated area, which covers parts of Bracknell Forest.
- 2.28 Towards the end of the projections period, the lower numbers starting in Ascot primary schools in Reception will start to affect demand from within the Ascot area.
- 2.29 Charters School, the only secondary school serving the area, took a bulge class in September 2019, increasing its PAN to 300 for one year.
- 2.30 The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020. The impact of new housing on secondary provision has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. There may, therefore, be a slight underestimation of demand. The impact of new housing on secondary provision is usually delayed as new housing tends to attract younger families who might not start secondary school for a number of years.

Table 6: 2021 projections for intakes into Datchet and Wraysbury secondary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting Sept:				Projected for academic year starting September:					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Number on roll in Year 7:		77	96	90	119	120	114	112	115	113	115
Surplus/deficit	No.	+63	+14	+20	+1	0	+6	+8	+5	+7	+5
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+45%	+13%	+18%	+1%	0%	+5%	+7%	+4%	+6%	+4%

Commentary for Datchet and Wraysbury secondary schools

41

- 2.31 No further action is currently planned for Datchet and Wraysbury secondary provision. The projections indicate that there should be enough places in the area for the projection period. The surplus is projected to be around 5% for most of the period. Churchmead Church of England School, the only secondary school serving the area, continues to attract more children transferring from the Datchet and Wraysbury primary schools, although the proportion has dropped slightly over the past two intakes. The projections include approximately 2.2 FE of out-borough demand, which is an increase on previous years, and a return to levels last seen in 2012. A significant part of the school’s designated area covers Slough.
- 2.32 Around 0.9 FE (26 pupils) resident in Datchet and Wraysbury indicate a preference for one or more selective schools, and roughly half are successful.
- 2.33 The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020. The projections assume that recent trends in the numbers of out-borough children attending Churchmead, and Datchet & Wraysbury residents attending out-borough schools, will continue. The impact of new housing on secondary provision has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. However, the amount of new housing in Datchet and Wraysbury is small, limiting the likely impact.

Table 7: 2021 projections for intakes into Maidenhead secondary schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting Sept:				Projected for academic year starting September:					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Number on roll in Year 7:		875	1,011	955	988	955	921	977	907	887	898
Surplus/deficit	No.	+129	-3	+62	+76	+109	+143	+87	+157	+177	+166
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes.	%	+13%	0%	+6%	+7%	+10%	+13%	+8%	+15%	+17%	+16%

Commentary for Maidenhead secondary schools

- 2.34 No further action is currently proposed for Maidenhead secondary schools. The projections show that there will be sufficient places to meet demand during the period to 2026. Although the surplus of places will be below 5% in September 2024, the number of pupils attending from out-borough means there is scope to address more local demand by taking fewer out-borough children, through the normal operation of the school admissions criteria.
- 2.35 The projections include approximately 6.6 FE of out-borough demand, down from 7.3 FE last year, reflecting recent falls in numbers seeking places from outside the borough.
- 2.36 The number of Maidenhead resident children taking up selective school places in neighbouring local authorities remains high by historical standards, at 5.0 FE (150) for September 2021. This compares to a 2010 to 2017 average of 90. The number of applicants is higher than previously, with 289 Maidenhead applicants expressing a preference for one or more selective schools. 52% were successful, which is a proportional fall on recent years, although the number of successful applicants remains steady at around 150.
- 2.37 The 2020 projections are lower than those form 2020, reflecting the reduction in the proportion of residents choosing a Maidenhead secondary school and the fall in out-borough applicants. The impact of new housing on secondary provision has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. There may, therefore, be a minor underestimation of demand. The impact of new housing on secondary provision is usually delayed as new housing tends to attract younger families who might not start secondary school for a number of years.

Table 8: 2021 projections for intakes into Windsor middle schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting Sept:				Projected for academic year starting September:					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Number on roll in Year 5:		449	473	494	467	482	468	449	454	453	420
Surplus/deficit	No.	+31	+37	+46	+73	+58	+72	+91	+86	+87	+120
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+6%	+7%	+9%	+14%	+11%	+13%	+17%	+16%	+16%	+22%

Commentary for Windsor middle schools

43

- 2.38 No further action is proposed for Windsor middle schools, following the completion of the expansion at Peter’s CE Middle School. The projections show that there will be sufficient places to meet demand during the period to 2026. The surplus of places will be above the 5% target, potentially rising to 17% in September 2023 and, potentially, 22% by September 2026.
- 2.39 The 2021 projections are lower than those from last year, reflecting larger than usual movement out of first school cohorts as they move up through the year groups.
- 2.40 The projections include 1.5 FE (45 children) of out-borough demand, most of whom are already on roll in the town’s first schools. A further 0.7 FE (22 children) come from Datchet/Wraysbury), half of whom are on roll in a first school.
- 2.41 Around 1.5 FE (45 pupils) resident in Windsor indicate a preference for one or more selective schools, and roughly 56% are successful (on average). Applicants for September 2021 were generally less successful (14 out of 61). This movement means that middle schools lose some children at the end of Year 6.
- 2.42 The impact of new housing on middle school provision has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. There may, therefore, be a slight underestimation of demand. The impact of new housing on secondary provision is usually delayed as new housing tends to attract younger families who might not start in middle schools for a number of years.

Table 9: 2021 projections for intakes into Windsor upper schools.

- White cells indicate a surplus of 5% or more.
- Grey cells indicate a surplus of between 0 and 4.9%.
- Black cells indicate a deficit of places.

		Actual for academic year starting Sept:				Projected for academic year starting September:					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Number on roll in Year 9:		457	418	451	462	501	477	484	473	484	471
Surplus/deficit	No.	+41	+80	+47	+36	+5	+17	+10	+21	+10	+23
on published admissions numbers, including all temporary increases/decreases and agreed expansion schemes:	%	+8%	+16%	+9%	+7%	+1%	+4%	+2%	+4%	+2%	+5%

Commentary for Windsor upper schools

44

- 2.43 No further upper school places are likely to be needed in Windsor during the projection period.
- 2.44 The projections show that the surplus of places will be relatively low during the projection period to 2025. Following the ending of the Year 9 intake at Holyport College from September 2022, the local authority has approved funding for the expansion of Windsor Girls' School. This reflects more detailed work indicating a shortage of places for girls. The new accommodation is planned for September 2022, with 22 more places provided.
- 2.45 The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020.
- 2.46 The projections include approximately 2 FE (60 children) of out-borough demand, most of whom will already be in a borough middle school.
- 2.47 The impact of new housing on middle school provision has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. There may, therefore, be a slight underestimation of demand. The impact of new housing on secondary provision is usually delayed as new housing tends to attract younger families who might not start in middle schools for a number of years.

2.48 In summary:

- Ascot Primary – no new school places currently needed.
- Ascot Secondary – no new school places currently needed.
- Datchet/Wraysbury Primary – no new school places currently needed.
- Datchet/Wraysbury Secondary – no new school places currently needed.
- Maidenhead Primary – new places may be needed.
- Maidenhead Secondary – no new school places currently needed.
- Windsor First – no new school places currently needed.
- Windsor Middle – no new school places currently needed.
- Windsor Upper – new places being provided for Sept. 2022

Further analysis of primary school requirements in Maidenhead

2.49 Although Table 3 indicates that there will be sufficient primary school places overall in Maidenhead, there are two caveats:

- the ongoing uncertainty around demographic change, particularly net inward migration, means that there is a risk that demand could be higher than currently predicted. Earlier (pre-pandemic) projections suggested shortfalls of places.
- South East Maidenhead is currently projecting a shortfall of places, for both September 2023 and September 2025. This is due to locally higher net inward migration rates and new housing.

2.50 More detailed work has been carried out to look at demand in Maidenhead at a local level. For the purposes of school projections work, the town is split into seven subareas. This makes it easier to identify areas of growth and compare that to the capacity in the local schools.

2.51 This work takes into account new housing and local growth and, for Maidenhead, some crude adjustments to update the rates of net inward migration on the basis of the very latest demographic data from September 2021. The work also assumes that patterns of parental preference and movement across the town will remain the same. That is, if, in the past, X% of children living in one subarea went to school in another subarea, then that movement is projected to continue. Patterns of parental choice may, of course, change in the future but projections are necessarily based on the available data at present.

2.52 Table 10 sets out the resulting difference between project demand and available Reception places, therefore indicating where additional local provision could be needed.

2.53 Given the small local deficits in September 2022, 2023 and 2024, and the surpluses of places in other parts of Maidenhead, it is not currently proposed that any new places are provided in those years. Additional provision could be needed for September 2025, when the shortfall in South East Maidenhead is projected to be over one form of entry. Nevertheless, the Royal Borough should be ready to provide more places more quickly, if the rate of net inward migration increases again, and taking account of overall and more local levels of surplus places.

Table 10: Projected Year R surplus/deficits in Maidenhead, by subarea

Subarea	Actual				Projected				
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Bisham and Cookham	+22	+21	+20	+23	+16	+11	+18	+14	+18
Central Maidenhead	0	+2	+4	0	+8	-6	+14	+17	+8
Maidenhead Villages	-1	+8	+8	+13	+12	+15	+19	+16	+24
North East Maidenhead	+14	+10	+6	+8	+20	+20	+22	+25	+15
North West Maidenhead	+17	+17	+9	+10	+23	+27	+28	+44	+35
South East Maidenhead	+20	+36	+28	0	+4	+1	-13	-3	-32
South West Maidenhead	+7	+15	+10	+20	+27	+35	+48	+20	+27
Maidenhead Total	+79	+109	+85	+74	+109	+102	+135	+133	+95
Maidenhead % total surplus	8%	11%	9%	8%	11%	11%	14%	14%	10%

- 2.54 Public consultation has already been carried out on proposals to provide new primary school places in Maidenhead. The outcome of that consultation is set out in the *New primary school places in Maidenhead* report to Cabinet in November 2021.
- 2.55 That report also proposes a strategy to provide places for September 2025, or earlier, if required.
- 2.56 A report on the 2022 pupil projections will be brought to Cabinet in Autumn 2022.

Options

Table 11: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
There are no recommendations arising from this report.	

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 There are no key implications arising from this report.

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 4.1 No financial implications arising directly from this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 Local authorities are under a statutory duty to ensure that there are sufficient school places in their area. This is set out in the Education Act 1996, Section 14, subsections 1 and 2.
- 5.2 There is no legal duty to provide any particular level of surplus places.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Table 12: Impact of risk and mitigation

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
Accuracy of pupil projections, with the risk that actual demand is significantly different to that expected. This appears to be a higher risk in 2021, due to ongoing uncertainty about the demographic impact of the Covid-19 crisis.	High	Annual production of pupil projections to take account of the latest information. Inclusion of a surplus of places in planning, to provide capacity in the system in case projections are lower than actual demand. Monitoring of a wide range of sources of information to help make sense of emerging trends.	Medium

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equalities. Equality Impact Assessments (EqIAs) are published on the [council's website](#). No EqIA is required for this report, which is for information only.
- 7.2 Climate change/sustainability. There are no climate change or sustainability implications arising directly from this report.
- 7.3 Data Protection/GDPR. There are no data protection or GDPR implications arising from this report.

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 No consultation has been carried out in relation to this report. The 2021 projections and analysis have been shared with schools.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 9.1 Implementation date if not called in: None

10. APPENDICES

10.1 This report is supported by five appendices:

Contained in paper copies

- Appendix B – summary of net inward migration, births data and arrivals from Hong Kong.

Electronic only

- Appendix A – summary of secondary programme
- Appendix C – SCAP commentary

11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

11.1 This report is supported by one background document:

- [Demand for school places](#), Report to Cabinet, December 2020

12. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory: Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	19/10/21	
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	19/10/21	22/10/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	19/10/21	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	19/10/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	19/10/21	20/10/21
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	19/10/21	
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	19/10/21	
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	19/10/21	29/10/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	19/10/21	19/10/21
<i>Heads of Service (where relevant)</i>			
	Head of		
	Head of		
	Head of		
<i>External (where relevant)</i>			
N/A			

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	Yes/No
---	--	--------

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key decision: First entered into the Cabinet Forward Plan: 27/07/2021 For information	No	No

Report Author: Ben Wright, School Places and Capital Team Leader
--

Appendix A: Approved school expansion programme

Table A1: Approved school expansion programme sets out the current approved expansion programme.

Table A1: Approved school expansion programme

Area	School	Phase	Original PAN	New PAN	PAN increase No. /FE*	First year of new intake (Sept.)
Ascot	Charters School	1	240	270	+30 / +1.0	2017
Maidenhead	Cox Green School	1	176	206	+30 / +1.0	2017
Maidenhead	Furze Platt Senior School	1	193	223	+30 / +1.0	2017
Windsor	Dedworth Middle School	1	120	150	+30 / +1.0	2017
Windsor	The Windsor Boys' School	1	230	260	+30 / +1.0	2017
Windsor	Windsor Girls' School	1	178	208	+30 / +1.0	2017
Maidenhead	Furze Platt Senior School	2	193	253	+60 / +2.0	2018
Windsor	Dedworth Middle School	2	150	180	+30 / +1.0	2018
Windsor	St Peter's CE Middle	3	60	90	+30 / +1.0	2019
Windsor	Windsor Girls' School	4	208	230	+22 / +0.7	2022

*FE means Form of Entry. 1 FE = one class of 30 children per year group.

A further 6 places per year group were also been added at Newlands' Girls School. This scheme, funded largely by S106 contributions, was not part of the formal secondary expansion programme but nevertheless increased the number of places available. Cox Green School has also further increased its PAN to 210, adding a final six additional places per year group.

These schemes are proceeding as follows:

- The Windsor Boys' School completed.
- Windsor Girls' School completed.
- Charters School completed.
- Cox Green School completed.
- Newlands Girls' School completed.
- Dedworth Middle School completed.
- Furze Platt Senior School completed.
- St Peter's CE Middle School completed.
- Windsor Girls' School at design stage, with planning application to be submitted in late 2021.

APPENDIX B: SUMMARY OF NET INWARD MIGRATION, BIRTHS DATA AND ARRIVALS FROM HONG KONG.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This appendix takes a brief look at some of the wider demographic information that is impacting demand for primary school places in Maidenhead.

Births Data

National picture

- 1.2 The Office of the National Statistics (ONS) released their latest analysis of national births data in late October¹. In the release, the ONS note:
- for the fifth consecutive year, the number of live births in 2020 for England and Wales decreased to 613,936. This is the lowest since 2002 and represents a 15.9% drop since the 2012 peak.
 - the total fertility rate (TFR) for England and Wales fell to 1.58 children per woman, which is the lowest since records began in 1938. Fertility rates have declined across all year groups, including for women aged 40 years and over. Fertility rates for this latter group have generally been increasing since the late 1970s.
 - the number of stillbirths nationally reached a record low of 3.8 stillbirths per 1,000 total births.
- 1.3 The ONS indicate that the 2020 birth registrations are likely to be a small underestimate, due to late registrations and capacity issues at registrars arising from the covid pandemic. This will be corrected in future data releases from the ONS².
- 1.4 The TFR is the average number of live children that a group of women would bear by the end of their child-bearing years if the current trends on births (adjusted according to the age to the women in that group) applied throughout that period.
- 1.5 Table B1 sets out the live birth numbers and TFR for England and Wales for the period 2010 to 2020. Please note that this information relates to the calendar year. There will be differences, therefore, with data published elsewhere by the borough in relation to school place planning, which is based on academic year figures.

¹ [Births in England and Wales: 2020](#). Office of National Statistics, 14 October 2021

² [Births in England and Wales explained: 2020](#). Office of National Statistics, 14 October 2021.

Table B1: Live Births and TFR rates for England and Wales

Calendar year	Number of Live Births		Total Fertility Rate	
2010	723,165		1.94	
2011	723,913		1.93	
2012	729,674		1.94	
2013	698,512		1.85	
2014	695,233		1.83	
2015	697,852		1.82	
2016	696,271		1.81	
2017	679,106		1.76	
2018	657,076		1.70	
2019	640,370		1.65	
2020	613,936		1.58	

Data for the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

- 1.6 Similar trends for live birth numbers and the TFR are apparent in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, as shown in Table B2.

Table B2: Live Births and TFR rates for the Royal Borough

Calendar year	Number of Live Births		Total Fertility Rate	
2010	n/a		2.00	
2011	1,784		1.88	
2012	1,860		1.99	
2013	1,696		1.83	
2014	1,671		1.80	
2015	1,617		1.75	
2016	1,757		1.91	
2017	1,657		1.81	
2018	1,574		1.75	
2019	1,515		1.72	
2020	1,407		1.60	

- 1.7 The number of live births in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead in 2020 was 1,407. This is the lowest in the period shown, and represents a 24% decrease since the 2012 peak of 1,860.
- 1.8 The TFR has fallen from a peak of 2.00 in 2010 to 1.60 in 2020.

Data for Maidenhead

- 1.9 Data on the number of live births for Maidenhead is available by academic year. The latest data, for the 2019/20 academic year, is expected shortly. No TFR data is available for Maidenhead.
- 1.10 In this data Maidenhead includes the town, plus the surrounding villages of Bisham, Bray, Burchetts Green, the Cookhams, Holyport and the Walthams.

Table B3: Live Births for Maidenhead

Academic year	Number of Live Births	
2009/10	961	
2010/11	947	
2011/12	1,000	
2012/13	906	
2013/14	883	
2014/15	912	
2015/16	944	
2016/17	936	
2017/18	906	
2018/19	831	
2019/20	n/a	

- 1.11 As might be expected, the situation in Maidenhead is similar to that in the borough as a whole. The number of births in 2018/19 was 831, which is well below the 2011/12 peak of 1,000 (a 16.9% decrease). The 2019/20 data is expected to show a further drop.

International Migration into the UK

- 1.12 The birth rate isn't the only factor that impacts on demand for school places. Migration in and out of the Royal Borough is also important, whether driven by national trends or more local aspects such as new housing.
- 1.13 The latest available release from the Office of National Statistics relating to international migration is from August 2020³. The impact of the pandemic has created some significant challenges for the ONS in updating their international migration statistics.
- 1.14 The key points in that release are:
- in the year ending March 2020, around 313,000 more people moved to the UK, intending to stay for 12 months or more, than left. This figure is the net migration, which is the balance between immigration and emigration.
 - this is a significant increase on previous years, where the net migration was around 221,000 in the year ending March 2019, and around 231,000 in the year before that. The jump in the year to 2020 is the result of increased immigration, rather than a drop in emigration.
 - the recent increase in immigration, and therefore net migration, is being driven by an increase in non-EU nationals arriving in the UK for study reasons, mainly from China and India.
 - Net migration from the European Union has been falling since peak levels in 2015 and 2016, although stabilised in 2018/19. Non-EU net migration has been increasing since 2013.
- 1.15 These figures are shown in tables B4 and B5.

³ [Migration Statistics Quarterly Report: August 2020](#), Office of National Statistics, 27 August 2020.

Table B4: Net migration into the UK

Financial year	Immigration ('000s)	Emigration ('000s)	Net Migration ('000s)	
2010/11	612	336	277	
2011/12	567	352	215	
2012/13	521	357	164	
2013/14	583	350	233	
2014/15	680	349	331	
2015/16	667	342	326	
2016/17	617	374	243	
2017/18	623	392	231	
2018/19	614	393	221	
2019/20	715	403	313	

- 1.16 These figures show that emigration rose slightly in the 2019/20 financial year, but immigration increased by more than 100,000, leading to the much higher net migration figure for that year.

Table B5: EU and Non-EU net migration into the UK

Financial year	British net migration ('000s)	EU net migration ('000s)	Non-EU net migration ('000s)
2010/11	-50	112	215
2011/12	-77	107	185
2012/13	-65	123	106
2013/14	-50	161	123
2014/15	-48	219	159
2015/16	-43	207	162
2016/17	-59	123	179
2017/18	55	85	200
2018/19	-54	62	213
2019/20	-61	58	316

- 1.17 There is no more up-to-date analysis on the ONS website about migration. The covid pandemic, of course, resulted in national and international lockdowns for much of the 2020/21 financial year, and it seems almost certain that both immigration and emigration will have fallen significantly in that period.

Hong Kong

- 1.18 In July 2020 the British Government announced a new visa route for Hong Kong residents who hold a British National Overseas (BNO) passport. This allowed BNO passport holders to live and work in the UK for five years, with a path to citizenship.
- 1.19 These changes came into effect on 31st January 2021. The Home Office estimate that there are 2.9 million BNO status holders eligible to move to the UK, with a further 2.3 million estimated eligible dependents. The Home Office impact assessment's central range analysis estimates between 123,000 and 153,700

BNO holders/dependents arriving in the UK in 2021, and between 258,000 and 322,240 over the five year period from 31st January 2021⁴.

- 1.20 If these estimates are realised, there will be a clear impact on the national net migration figures.

Afghanistan

- 1.21 The impact of immigration from Afghanistan is likely to be much lower. According to discussions with the DfE, there are approximately 11,000 Afghan nationals accommodated in bridging hotels across the UK. Many of these families have pre-school and primary-age children.
- 1.22 The impact on individual local authorities and schools is likely to be small in numerical terms, although clearly there may be challenges arising from language barriers and mental health.

Net migration in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

- 1.23 Information about international migration into local authority areas is not available in the way it is for the UK as a whole. It does, however, provide a context within which local migration figures (which don't distinguish between national and international migration) can be considered.
- 1.24 The main dataset used for the pupil projections comes from the NHS, who, each Autumn, provide the local authority with a breakdown of the number of children aged 0 to 18 who are resident in the borough.
- 1.25 This information is provided by postcode, which means that the data can be aggregated to various levels, including by town, e.g. Maidenhead. As the data is also provided annually, we can compare figures to provide net inward migration over time.
- 1.26 Table B6 shows the changes in sizes of the cohorts of children resident in Maidenhead, aged 0, 1, 2 and 3, as they move up into the cohorts of 1, 2, 3 and 4 year olds each year. The data is shown for each age group as at 31st August each year, between 2013 and 2021.
- 1.27 By way of an example, the section in red in Table B6 says that:
- on 31st August 2015 there were 1,084 children resident in Maidenhead aged 3.
 - a year later, on 31st August 2016, that same cohort of children was aged 4.
 - there were 1,080 children in that cohort on 31st August 2016.
 - this is a decrease of 4, although there will have been many more movements of children in and out of the borough in the cohort over this period.
 - the net movement was, therefore, -4.
 - proportionally, the 2016 cohort was 0.996 times the size it was in 2015 (rounded to 1.00 in the table).
- 1.28 Table B6 colour codes the proportions calculated, so that yellow cells show strong year on year growth in cohort size, whilst blue cells show decreasing cohort sizes.

⁴ [Media factsheet: Hong Kong BN\(O\) Visa Route](#), Home Office, 29 January 2021.

Table B6: Change in size of Maidenhead resident cohorts, as they get older each year

Movement:	Age 3 to Age 4		Age 2 to Age 3		Age 1 to Age 2		Age 0 to Age 1	
	Age	Count	Age	Count	Age	Count	Age	Count
Resident 31/08/2013:	3	1,010	2	994	1	1,014	0	895
Resident 31/08/2014:	4	1,028	3	1,036	2	1,031	1	927
Change:		+18		+42		+17		+32
Proportional change:		1.02		1.04		1.02		1.04
Resident 31/08/2014:	3	1,036	2	1,031	1	927	0	832
Resident 31/08/2015:	4	1,045	3	1,084	2	980	1	935
Change:		+9		+53		+53		+103
Proportional change:		1.01		1.05		1.06		1.12
Resident 31/08/2015:	3	1,084	2	980	1	935	0	909
Resident 31/08/2016:	4	1,080	3	1,044	2	993	1	936
Change:		-4		+64		+58		+27
Proportional change:		1.00		1.07		1.06		1.03
Resident 31/08/2016:	3	1,044	2	993	1	936	0	917
Resident 31/08/2017:	4	1,025	3	992	2	961	1	994
Change:		-19		-1		+25		+77
Proportional change:		0.98		1.00		1.03		1.08
Resident 31/08/2017:	3	992	2	961	1	994	0	913
Resident 31/08/2018:	4	1,004	3	986	2	1,009	1	921
Change:		+12		+25		+15		+8
Proportional change:		1.01		1.03		1.02		1.01
Resident 31/08/2018:	3	986	2	1,009	1	921	0	883
Resident 31/08/2019:	4	1,049	3	1,075	2	973	1	973
Change:		+63		+66		+52		+90
Proportional change:		1.06		1.07		1.06		1.10
Resident 31/08/2019:	3	1,075	2	973	1	973	0	857
Resident 31/08/2020:	4	1,059	3	979	2	966	1	888
Change:		-16		+6		-7		+31
Proportional change:		0.99		1.01		0.99		1.04
Resident 31/08/2020:	3	979	2	966	1	888	0	812
Resident 31/08/2021:	4	989	3	981	2	910	1	833
Change:		+10		+15		+22		+21
Proportional change:		1.01		1.02		1.02		1.03

1.29 Table B7 condenses the proportional change given in Table B6, and also provides:

- the five year average for the proportional change in size for each cohort as it ages by a year. Four averages can be calculated on the available data, and these are given in the last four rows at the bottom of the table. The cells with a red border show that, for the cohorts of two year olds turning into three year olds, the 5 year average annual change was 1.04. This is based on the average of the figures for the movements from 2014 to 2015, 2015 to 2016, 2016 to 2017, 2017 to 2018 and 2018 to 2019.
- The average annual proportional change for all the age groups 0 to 4, and also for 0 to 18.

Table B7: Change in size of Maidenhead resident cohorts, with averages

Movement:	Age 3 to Age 4	Age 2 to Age 3	Age 1 to Age 2	Age 0 to Age 1	Average annual proportional change for each movement in age groups 0 to 4	Average annual proportional change for each movement in age groups 0 to 18 (not shown)
2013 to 2014	1.018	1.042	1.017	1.036	1.028	1.010
2014 to 2015	1.009	1.051	1.057	1.124	1.060	1.010
2015 to 2016	0.996	1.065	1.062	1.030	1.038	1.060
2016 to 2017	0.982	0.999	1.027	1.084	1.023	1.020
2017 to 2018	1.012	1.026	1.015	1.009	1.015	0.990
2018 to 2019	1.064	1.065	1.056	1.102	1.072	1.060
2019 to 2020	0.985	1.006	0.993	1.036	1.005	0.970
2020 to 2021	1.010	1.020	1.020	1.030	1.020	1.010
5 Year average (2013 to 2018)	1.003	1.037	1.036	1.056	1.033	1.018
5 Year average (2014 to 2019)	1.013	1.041	1.043	1.070	1.042	1.028
5 Year average (2015 to 2020)	1.008	1.032	1.031	1.052	1.031	1.020
5 Year average (2016 to 2021)	1.011	1.023	1.022	1.052	1.027	1.010

1.30 Table B7 shows that, in the period 2013 to 2019, most cohorts grew in size from year to year in Maidenhead. Only three of the 24 data points in that period are below 1, indicating a shrinking cohort.

1.31 In early 2020, however, the covid pandemic resulted in national lockdown for most of the second half of the 2019/20 academic year. The impact on net migration into the Royal Borough is shown here. Between 2019 and 2020 two of the four 0 to 4 cohorts shrank, and one remained almost static. Only the youngest cohort grew in size. The average proportional growth across all 0 to 4 cohorts fell to just 1.005 (shown in the penultimate column). The impact across all cohorts aged 0 to 18 was even worse, with a drop to just 0.97.

1.32 That impact has continued into the 2020/21 academic year. Growth in cohort sizes has returned between 2020 and 2021, but at generally quite low levels, particularly compared to levels reached previously.

- 1.33 Due to the volatility in the proportional change in cohort sizes, the pupil projections model uses five year averages, as given in the last four rows of Table B5. These rows give the five year averages for four periods:
- 2013 to 2018 (as used for the 2019 pupil projections).
 - 2014 to 2019 (as used for the 2020 pupil projections).
 - 2015 to 2020 (as used for the 2021 pupil projections).
 - 2016 to 2021 (as will be used for the 2022 pupil projections).
- 1.34 The averages are particularly high when based on the 2014 to 2019 period. The last two sets of averages, for 2015 to 2020 and 2016 to 2021, show reduced values as the impact of the pandemic starts to become evident.
- 1.35 Of course, the values given in the table only seem to change by a relatively small amount. The smallest average figure given is 1.003, whilst the largest is 1.070. However, applying this to 1,000 pupils means a year on year growth in a single cohort of either 3 pupils ($1,000 \times 1.003 = 1,003$) or of 70 pupils ($1,000 \times 1.070 = 1,070$). This is illustrated in full in Table B8.
- 1.36 Table B8 shows two scenarios, both calculating the likely future sizes of resident cohorts in Maidenhead aged 0 to 4 for the Reception intakes in September 2022 to 2025. Scenario 1 uses the high 5 year average proportional change in cohort size, as based on the 2014 to 2019 data. Scenario 2 uses the lower 5 year average proportional change in cohort size, as based on the 2016 to 2021 data.
- 1.37 The black cells show the resulting projected cohort sizes. In Scenario 1, the cohort applying for September 2025 Reception places is expected to have 964 children. In Scenario 2, the lower net migration brought on by the pandemic suggests that cohort will only have 911 children. This is a difference of 53 pupils, not far short of two full classes, at 1.8 Forms of Entry.
- 1.38 The pupil projection model is slightly more sophisticated than outlined above, as it also takes account of net migration into new housing, which is then discounted from the migration factors (as the impact of new housing is added via the pupil yields). Nevertheless, net migration remains a very significant factor, and relatively small changes in the rates can have major impacts on future projections.

Table B8: difference scenarios showing the impact of higher and lower proportional change on future cohort sizes.

Scenario 1: calculated using the high levels of average proportional change from 2014 to 2019:

Starts Reception in September:	2022	2023	2024	2025
Current no. resident in Maidenhead:	981	910	833	819
Proportional change (Age 0 to Age 1):				1.070
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>				$819 \times 1.070 =$ 876
Proportional change (Age 1 to Age 2):			1.043	1.043
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>			$833 \times 1.043 =$ 869	$876 \times 1.043 =$ 914
Proportional change (Age 2 to Age 3):			1.041	1.041
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>			$910 \times 1.041 =$ 947	$869 \times 1.041 =$ 904
Proportional change (Age 3 to Age 4):	1.013	1.013	1.013	1.013
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>	$981 \times 1.013 =$ 994	$947 \times 1.013 =$ 960	$904 \times 1.013 =$ 916	$914 \times 1.013 =$ 964
Resulting cohort size:	994	960	916	964

Scenario 2: calculated using the lower levels of average proportional change from 2016 to 2021:

Starts Reception in September:	2022	2023	2024	2025
Current number resident in Maidenhead:	981	910	833	819
Proportional change (Age 0 to Age 1):				1.052
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>				$819 \times 1.052 =$ 862
Proportional change (Age 1 to Age 2):			1.022	1.022
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>			$833 \times 1.022 =$ 851	$862 \times 1.022 =$ 881
Proportional change (Age 2 to Age 3):			1.023	1.023
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>			$910 \times 1.023 =$ 931	$851 \times 1.023 =$ 871
Proportional change (Age 3 to Age 4):	1.011	1.011	1.011	1.011
<i>Calculation & resulting cohort size:</i>	$981 \times 1.011 =$ 992	$931 \times 1.011 =$ 941	$871 \times 1.011 =$ 880	$901 \times 1.011 =$ 911
Resulting cohort size:	992	941	880	911

Hong Kong numbers in the Royal Borough

- 1.39 Currently, it is estimated that around 60 children from Hong Kong have applied for school places in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead this year. More analysis may be available once the October 2021 school census information is available later in the year.
- 1.40 These numbers are significantly below what is being experienced in some neighbouring authorities – Wokingham have had around 600 Hong Kong background applicants since August 2020.

APPENDIX C - Schools Capacity Survey 2021 - Local Authority CommentaryLocal Authority Name: **The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead**Local Authority Number: **868**

Black text – the template from the Department for Education

Blue text – the Royal Borough’s response.

1. General LA overview indicating LA wide trends (Primary and Secondary age).

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead has both a two-tier and a three-tier system. Windsor, Eton and Old Windsor operate a three-tier system with first, middle and upper schools. The rest of the borough, including Maidenhead and Ascot, has a two-tier system.

2. Factors affecting overall LA pupil numbers e.g. migration, housing development, live births. If you experience cross local authority boundary movement please identify the other local authorities involved and the scale of places affected.

The chart and table below shows the numbers of children resident, based on the Births data plotted against the year in which that cohort starts Reception. The shaded box indicates the cohort that started in September 2021. The borough does not yet have the 2019/20 births data.



There is a higher than usual level of uncertainty around the projections, particularly for primary, as there have been some significant demographic shifts over the past 18 months. Most notably, net inward migration fell significantly between 2018/19 and 2019/20. This is based on comparisons of the numbers of children resident in the borough by age group, from the NHS GP registrations data.

This may, in part, be due to the impact of the Covid-19 crisis and lockdown, which affected most of the second half of the 2019/20 academic year. We are awaiting the NHS GP registrations data for 2020/21, to see whether net inward migration rose again, or whether there has been a more permanent shift. In the absence of this data, the projections provided here assume that migration patterns going forward are in line with the average from the past five years. This assumption will need to be re-examined once the new data is available.

The number of new dwellings being completed had been expected to rise over the past 18 months, but some schemes were delayed and will now complete later than previously expected. It is not yet clear whether there will be a temporary bulge in completions as all delayed developments are completed at once.

The effect of these changes is generally to depress the projections below previous expectations. The local authority is aware, however, that new data reflecting progression out of the pandemic may have a significant impact and potentially reverse this calculated reduction in demand.

There is significant cross-border movement. Some borough schools have designated areas that cover parts of neighbouring local authority areas (and vice versa). Two neighbouring authorities also have grammar school systems, leading to large flows of pupils in and out of the borough at secondary transfer.

The Royal Borough has a policy of providing 5% surplus places wherever possible. This is to maximise parental choice, ensure that there are places available for children moving into the area and provide some leeway in case the projections underestimate the actual level of demand.

NOTE – this commentary provides two sets of projections for each area:

- (a) The Full Projection.** This projection is the borough’s projection of places and Includes demand from the borough’s current best estimates of all future new housing.
- (b) Maximum cohort projection.** This takes into account the impact of inward and outward migration, and new housing as a cohort moves up through the schools, and gives the largest projected size of that cohort.

For the Full Projection figures no demographic data is available for the 2025 intake cohort. Projections for this cohort are based on the 2024 projected figure, adjusted for the 2025 housing.

3. Summary of PRIMARY AGE pupil places in individual planning areas experiencing pressure on places either currently or projected and for which action is required to address.

You should include the local factors affecting each area identified and the impact of those factors, relating them, where appropriate, to the Local Authority wide factors described in 2 above in addition to area specific issues. Schools experiencing particular shortfalls of places, current or projected, should be identified here.

8680001 Ascot Primary Schools

There are five primary schools in Ascot. Part of the area is served by a Bracknell Forest School – Ascot Heath Primary School.

Demographic trends

- Adjusting for migration, the resident Reception age cohort for September 2021 (158) is similar to 2020 (157), but remains lower than in previous years (170 or above) (row 'a').
- Net inward migration has fallen, with the average annual growth between 2018/19 and 2019/20 of each cohort aged 0 to 4 being only 4%, compared to the longer-term average of 8%.
- Taking account of the impact of new housing, the future Reception cohorts are now expected to fall further during the projection period (row 'd').
- No demographic data is available for the September 2025 Reception cohort.

New housing

There continues to be a low level of additional demand arising from new housing in Ascot. By the end of the projection period, recent and planned developments are expected to increase the number of Reception pupils by 4 (row 'b'). This is in addition to normal inward migration.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	
a	Transfer Cohort*	246	170	157	157	158	138	111	128		
b	Housing demand					0	1	2	2	4	
c	Total transfer cohort	246	170	157	157	159	138	112	130		
d	Reception PAN	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
e	Temporary places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
f	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
g	Total places	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
h	Actual Intake NOR	128	134	132	138	121	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>				
i	FULL projection					124	111	100	113	115	
j	Surplus/Deficit	+22	+16	+18	+12	+26	+39	+50	+37	+35	
k	% Surplus/Deficit	+15%	+11%	+12%	+8%	+18%	+26%	+33%	+25%	+24%	
l	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
m	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	137	123	111	122	123	
o	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	+13	+27	+39	+28	+27	

*this is the number of children resident in Ascot (including North Ascot), based on GP registrations data, adjusted for net migration.

The projections

- The projections show that there will be sufficient Reception places available in the period to September 2025 (rows 'i' and 'j').
- Demand from residents within the main Ascot area is projected to drop further.
- In recent years this low demand has been offset by higher numbers of out-borough children, reaching 0.9 FE, above the long-term 0.6 FE average. This is projected to continue.

- There was, for a short period, increased demand from the North Ascot area, served by Bracknell Forest's Ascot Heath Primary School. This was mainly into the expanded Cheapside CE Primary School. This movement has, however, returned to its historical average of about 0.2 FE.
- The projections suggest, therefore, significant surpluses of places at Reception in Ascot, potentially reaching 33%. This is much higher than the target of 5% (row 'k').
- Historically, Ascot cohorts have grown as they move up through the schools, and this is expected to continue (row 'm'). This will help reduce the overall surpluses of places.

The projected numbers are significantly lower than the 2020 projections, following the fall in net inward migration and reduced movement in from North Ascot.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

The local authority will need to assess the 2020/21 GP registrations data when it becomes available this Autumn, to determine whether the fall in net inward migration is temporary, or likely to be more permanent. If it is more permanent, then the surpluses of places projected at Reception will pose significant challenges to primary schools in the area. The local authority will need to work with schools to determine the best way forward, whilst still preserving the capacity within the system to cope with future increases in the birth rate and the impact of new housing.

In response to planned new housing in the area, feasibility works have already been carried out on the possibility of expanding local primary schools. Any proposals for new school places which be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680004 Datchet & Wraysbury Primary Schools

There are two primary schools in Datchet and Wraysbury.

Demographic trends

- Adjusting for migration, the resident Reception age cohort for September 2021 (118) is slightly smaller than that for 2020 (111) (row 'a').
- There do not appear to have been major changes in migration patterns in Datchet/Wraysbury in recent years.
- Taking account of the impact of new housing, the future Reception cohorts are set to continue fluctuating around current levels (102 to 119) for the projection period (row 'd').
- No demographic data is available for the September 2025 Reception cohort.

New housing

There continues to be a low level of additional demand arising from new housing in Datchet and Wraysbury. By the end of the projection period, recent and planned developments are expected to increase the number of Reception pupils by 5 (row 'b'). This is in addition to normal inward migration.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes								
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025				
a	Transfer Cohort*	110	124	120	111	118	101	111	106					
b	Housing demand					1	1	2	4	5				
c	Total transfer cohort	110	124	120	111	119	102	113	110					
d	Reception PAN	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90				
e	Temporary places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
f	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
g	Total places	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90				
h	Actual Intake NOR	87	88	89	88	86	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>							
i	FULL projection					88	77	84	83	84				
j	Surplus/Deficit	+3	+2	+1	+2	+2	+13	+6	+7	+6				
k	% Surplus/Deficit	+3%	+2%	+1%	+2%	+2%	+14%	+6%	+8%	+7%				
l	Places to give 5% surplus	+1	+2	+3	+2	+3	0	0	0	0				
m	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	90	79	86	85	86				
o	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	0	+11	+4	+5	+4				

*this is the number of children resident in Datchet & Wraysbury, based on GP registrations data, adjusted for net migration.

The projections

- The projections show that there should be sufficient places to meet demand during the projection period (rows 'l' and 'j').
- Around 0.5 FE of Datchet and Wraysbury residents take up Reception places in Windsor each year, and this is projected to continue.
- A dip in demand is expected in September 2022, although it is possible that this may be partially offset by out-borough demand.
- The surplus of places is expected to be above the 5% target for most of the projection period, although the numbers of places involved is very small (row 'k').
- The projections include approximately 0.4 FE out-borough demand.
- There is generally only limited growth in the cohort sizes as they move up through the schools (row 'm').

The projections are in line with those from 2020.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

No additional primary school places are currently planned. Although there are only a relatively small number of new dwellings expected in the Datchet and Wraysbury area over the longer-term, feasibility works have nevertheless been carried out on the possibility of expanding the local primary schools. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680003 Maidenhead Primary Schools

There are twenty-five primary schools in Maidenhead, including four infant and three junior schools.

Demographic trends

- Adjusting for migration, the resident Reception age cohort for Sept. 2021 (987) is lower than in recent years (row 'a').
- Net inward migration has fallen, with the average annual growth between 2018/19 and 2019/20 of each cohort aged 0 to 4 being only 1%, compared to the longer-term average of 4%.
- Taking account of the impact of new housing, the future Reception cohorts still expected to reduce in size (between 957 and 1,018) (row 'd').
- No demographic data is available for the September 2025 Reception cohort.

New housing

There continues to be a additional demand arising from new housing in Maidenhead. By the end of the projection period, recent and planned developments are expected to increase the number of Reception pupils by 54 (row 'b'). This is in addition to normal inward migration.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes							
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025			
a	Transfer Cohort*	1,025	1,004	1,053	1,059	987	1,005	952	916				
b	Housing demand					6	13	23	41	54			
c	Total transfer cohort	1,025	1,004	1,053	1,059	993	1,018	975	957				
d	Reception PAN	965	968	971	971	972	972	972	942	942			
e	Temporary places	17	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
f	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
g	'Mothballed' places		(11)	(11)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)	(41)			
h	Total places	982	974	971	971	972	972	972	942	942			
i	Actual Intake NOR	903	862	886	897	867	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>						
j	FULL projection					863	884	850	838	850			
k	Surplus/Deficit	+79	+112	+85	+74	+109	+88	+122	+104	+92			
l	% Surplus/Deficit	+8%	+11%	+9%	+8%	+11%	+9%	+13%	+11%	10%			
m	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
o	Maximum cohort size					863	884	850	838	850			
p	Surplus/Deficit					+109	+88	+122	+104	+92			

*this is the number of children resident in Maidenhead, based on GP registrations data and adjusted for net migration.

**Mothballed places indicate temporary PAN reductions, which could be reversed if needed. These are *not* included in the places total.

The projections

- The projections show that there will be sufficient places overall in Reception (rows 'i' and 'j') during the projection period.
- The surplus of places is expected to be between 9% and 13%, which is well above the target of 5%.
- At present, cohort sizes are not expected to grow as they move up the schools (row 'o').
- The projections include approximately 0.8 FE out-borough demand.
- The overall projection masks significant variation within the town, with the potential for shortages of places locally in South East Maidenhead in particular.

The projected numbers are significantly lower than the 2020 projections, following the fall in net inward migration and delays to some housing developments arising from the Covid-19 crisis.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

The local authority will need to assess the 2020/21 GP registrations data when it becomes available this Autumn, to determine whether the fall in net inward migration is temporary, or likely to be more permanent. If net inward migration returns to previous levels, then it is much more likely that new primary school places will be required, particularly with the planned new housing developments.

The local authority has already carried out public consultation on a number of options for providing new primary school places in Maidenhead, and will be considering next steps this Autumn.

Due to the significant numbers of new dwellings planned for the Maidenhead area, feasibility works have already been carried out on the possibility of expanding local primary schools.

8680002 Windsor First Schools

There are fourteen first schools in Windsor.

Demographic trends

- Adjusting for migration, the resident Reception age cohort for Sept. 2021 (479) is smaller than the previous year's (520) (row 'a').
- Net inward migration has fallen, with an average annual decline between 2018/19 and 2019/20 in each cohort aged 0 to 4 of 4%, compared to the longer-term average of 2% growth.
- Taking account of the impact of new housing, the future Reception cohorts are expected to remain around current levels (between 465 and 483) for the projection period (row 'd').
- No demographic data is available for the September 2025 Reception cohort.

New housing

There continues to be additional demand arising from new housing in Windsor. By the end of the projection period, recent and planned developments are expected to increase the number of Reception pupils by 10 (row 'b'). This is in addition to normal inward migration.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes							
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025			
a	Transfer Cohort*	533	528	559	520	479	465	474	483				
b	Housing demand					2	3	6	9	10			
c	Total transfer cohort	533	528	559	520	481	468	480	491				
d	Reception PAN	545	545	545	545	545	545	545	545	545			
e	Temporary places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
f	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
g	Total places	545	545	545	545	545	545	545	545	545			
h	Actual Intake NOR	500	478	502	488	449	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>						
i	FULL projection					450	438	449	458	459			
j	Surplus/Deficit	+45	+67	+43	+57	+95	+107	+96	+87	+86			
k	% Surplus/Deficit	+8%	+12%	+8%	+10%	+17%	+20%	+18%	+16%	+16%			
l	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
m	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	450	438	449	458	459			
o	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	+95	+107	+96	+87	+86			

*this is the number of children resident in Windsor, based on GP registrations data and adjusted for net migration.

The projections

- The projections show that there will be sufficient Reception places during the projection period (rows 'i' and 'j').
- The surplus of places at Reception could reach 20% in September 2022, and will be well above the 5% surplus place target (row 'k') during the projection period.
- The projections include approximately 1.7 FE out-borough demand, which is marginally down on previous numbers.
- There is no growth in the cohort size (row 'm') as they move up through the schools.

The projected numbers are significantly lower than the 2020 projections, following the fall in net inward migration.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

The local authority will need to assess the 2020/21 GP registrations data when it becomes available this Autumn, to determine whether the fall in net inward migration is temporary, or likely to be more

permanent. If it is more permanent, then the surpluses of places projected at Reception will pose significant challenges to primary schools in the area. The local authority will need to work with schools to determine the best way forward, whilst still preserving the capacity within the system to cope with future increases in the birth rate and the impact of new housing.

A request has been made to the Office of the Schools' Adjudicator for an in-year variation to one school's admissions arrangements, so that an immediate reduction in PAN can be made. If successful, this will temporarily reduce the number of Reception places available by 15 from this Autumn.

In response to planned new housing in the area, feasibility works have already been carried out on the possibility of expanding local primary schools. Any proposals for new school places which be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

4. Summary of SECONDARY AGE pupil places in individual planning areas experiencing pressure on places either currently or projected and for which action is required to address.

8680005 Ascot Secondary Schools

There is one secondary school in Ascot, Charters School. It admits children from the five Ascot primary schools, and also has formal links with two Bracknell Forest schools.

Demographic trends

- Based on historical primary to secondary transfers.
- The total Year 6 transfer cohort in 2021 is larger than the 2020 cohort (row 'a'); 175 compared to 150. This is due to the transfer of a bulge class up from the primary schools.
- Subsequent transfer cohorts are expected to remain at around 150 pupils, but could start falling by the end of the projection period, as the lower numbers starting in the Ascot primary schools start to feed through (row 'a').
- The Ascot resident Year 6 transfer cohort – those who live in Ascot and go to a primary school in Ascot – follows a similar pattern (row 'b').
- The cohorts at the feeder Bracknell schools are not expected to change significantly.

New housing

The impact of planned new housing on the secondary demand has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. Analysis of pupil yields data demonstrates that the impact of new dwellings on demand for secondary school places tends to be delayed. Broadly, new houses tend to attract young families, with children of primary school age or younger. These children then lead to larger cohorts transferring to secondary schools in future years. The projections may nevertheless slightly underestimate future demand at secondary – this issue will be addressed in the 2022 projections.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes						
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
a	Total transfer cohort*	144	154	149	150	175	155	151	153	141	153	137
b	Resident cohort**	126	128	120	118	145	116	118	120	106	123	112
c	Year 7 PAN	240	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	270
d	Temporary places	30	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-		
e	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
f	Total places	270	270	300	270	270	270	270	270	270	270	270
g	Actual Intake NOR	270	271	302	276	270	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>					
h	FULL projection					270	276	274	271	274	256	277
i	Surplus/Deficit	0	-1	-2	-6	+0	-6	-4	-1	-4	+14	-7
j	% Surplus/Deficit	0%	-0%	-1%	-2%	+0%	-2%	-1%	-0%	-1%	+5%	-3%
k	Places to give 5% surplus	+14	+15	+17	+20	+13	+20	+18	+15	+17	0	+21
l	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	272	278	276	273	275	258	279
m	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	-2	-8	-6	-3	-5	+12	-9

*this is total number of children on roll in an Ascot school in Year 6.

**this is the number of children resident in Ascot and on roll in an Ascot school in Year 6.

The projections

- The projections indicate that there should, broadly, be enough places for Ascot and designated area residents in the period to 2027 (rows 'h' and 'i').
- The projections include approximately 4.0 FE out-borough demand; a significant part of this is from within the school's designated area. The popularity of the school means that any spare places following the admission of designated area children are usually filled by children from further afield.

- There is some minor growth in the size of the cohorts as they move up through the school, as children moving into the local area are sometimes offered a place over and above the Published Admission Number (row 'I').

The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

No further expansions are currently planned. Due to the numbers of new dwellings planned for the Ascot area, feasibility works have been carried out on the possibility of expanding Charters School. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680009 Datchet and Wraysbury Secondary Schools

There is one secondary school in Datchet and Wraysbury, Churchmead School. It admits children from the two local primary schools, and also has formal links with a number of Slough schools. Many of the children on roll at Churchmead live in Slough and attended a Slough primary school.

Demographic trends

- Based on historical primary to secondary transfers + information from Slough Borough Council.
- The Year 6 transfer cohort in 2021 is slightly smaller than the 2020 cohort (row 'a'); 79 compared to 91.
- Subsequent transfer cohorts are expected to remain at around 75 pupils (row 'a').
- The Datchet and Wraysbury resident Year 6 transfer cohort – those who live in Datchet/Wraysbury and go to a primary school in Datchet/Wraysbury – follows a similar pattern (row 'b').

New housing

The impact of planned new housing on the secondary demand has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. Analysis of pupil yields data demonstrates that the impact of new dwellings on demand for secondary school places tends to be delayed. Broadly, new houses tend to attract young families, with children of primary school age or younger. These children then lead to larger cohorts transferring to secondary schools in future years. The projections may nevertheless slightly underestimate future demand at secondary – this issue will be addressed in the 2022 projections.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes						
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
a	Total transfer cohort*	78	66	88	91	79	74	77	76	77	78	76
b	Resident cohort**	68	48	75	75	68	59	58	61	62	55	60
c	Year 7 PAN	140	110	110	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
d	Temporary places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
e	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
f	'Mothballed' places***	-	(30)	(30)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)
g	Total places	140	110	110	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
h	Actual Intake NOR	77	96	90	119	120	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>					
i	FULL projection					120	114	112	115	113	115	118
j	Surplus/Deficit	+63	+14	+20	+1	+0	+6	+8	+5	+7	+5	+2
k	% Surplus/Deficit	+45%	+13%	+18%	+1%	+0%	+5%	+7%	+4%	+6%	+4%	+2%
l	Places to give 5% surplus					+6	+0	0	+1	0	+1	+4
m	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	120	114	112	115	113	115	118
o	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	+0	+6	+8	+5	+7	+5	+2

*this is the total number of children on roll in a Datchet & Wraysbury school in Year 6.

**this is the number of children resident in Datchet and Wraysbury and on roll in a Datchet & Wraysbury school in Year 6.

***Mothballed places indicate temporary reductions in PAN, which could be brought back into use when needed. These are *not* included in the places total.

The projections

- There should be sufficient places (in all year groups) throughout the projection period (rows 'i' and 'j').
- The surplus is projected to be below 10% for most of the projection period (row 'k').
- The school continues to attract more children transferring from the Datchet and Wraysbury primary schools, although the proportion has dropped again slightly in 2021.
- The projections include approximately 2.2 FE out-borough demand. A significant part of the school's designated area covers Slough.

- The school has previously taken one teaching block out of use, reducing its PAN to 110. The PAN has been increased slightly for 2020 onwards, to 120.
- There is no growth as the cohorts move up through the schools (row 'm').

The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

There are currently no plans to expand secondary school provision in this area. Although there are only a relatively small number of new dwellings expected in the Datchet and Wraysbury area, feasibility works have nevertheless been carried out on the possibility of expanding Churchmead School. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680008 Maidenhead Secondary Schools

There are six secondary schools in Maidenhead, including two single-sex schools (one for boys and one for girls). One of the secondary schools reserves part of its intake for boarders.

Demographic trends

- Based on historical primary to secondary transfers.
- The Year 6 transfer cohort in 2021 is smaller than the 2020 cohort (row 'a'); 875 compared to 913.
- The size of the Year 6 cohort is set to increase again slightly for September 2022, then remain at around 870 for most of the projection period. Demand could potentially start dropping by September 2027, as the lower numbers starting in primary schools in Reception start to feed through to secondary.
- The Maidenhead resident Year 6 transfer cohort – those who live in Maidenhead and go to a primary school in Maidenhead – follows a similar pattern (row 'b').

New housing

The impact of planned new housing on the secondary demand has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. Analysis of pupil yields data demonstrates that the impact of new dwellings on demand for secondary school places tends to be delayed. Broadly, new houses tend to attract young families, with children of primary school age or younger. These children then lead to larger cohorts transferring to secondary schools in future years. The projections may nevertheless slightly underestimate future demand at secondary – this issue will be addressed in the 2022 projections.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes						
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
a	Total transfer cohort*	832	876	894	913	875	949	865	870	874	885	852
b	Resident cohort**	798	839	850	861	826	884	812	791	801	819	786
c	Year 7 PAN	944	1,004	1,008	1,038	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064
d	Temporary places	60	4	9	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
e	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
f	Total places	1,004	1,008	1,017	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064	1,064
g	Actual Intake NOR	875	1,011	955	988	942	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>					
h	FULL projection					955	921	977	907	887	898	915
i	Surplus/Deficit	+129	-3	+62	+76	+109	+143	+87	+157	+177	+166	+149
j	% Surplus/Deficit	+13%	-0%	+6%	+7%	+10%	+13%	+8%	+15%	+17%	+16%	+14%
k	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
l	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	955	921	977	907	887	898	915
m	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	+109	+143	+87	+157	+177	+166	+149

*this is the total number of children on roll in a Maidenhead school in Year 6.

**this is the number of children resident in Maidenhead and on roll in a Maidenhead school in Year 6.

The projections

- There are expected to be sufficient places available in Year 7 during the projection period (rows 'h' and 'i').
- The surplus of places is expected to be above the target of 5% for the projection period, potentially reaching 17% in September 2025 (row 'j'). In recent years, the proportion of Maidenhead residents who have transferred to a Maidenhead secondary has fallen, from a previous average of 92% to 87%.
- The projections include approximately 6.6 FE out-borough demand, which is down from the 7.3 FE average in recent years.
- The number of Maidenhead children taking up places in selective schools in neighbouring local authorities remains high, reaching 5.0 FE for September 2021. This compares to a 2010 to 2017 average of 90. Two selective schools in Buckinghamshire extended their catchment/designated areas to cover Maidenhead from September 2020. One of these, and a third selective school, are also

increased their PANs. The proportion of successful applicants from Maidenhead fell sharply, however, to 52% (down from recent 74% average), perhaps reflecting a significant increase in the number of applications.

- There is not expected to be growth in the cohort sizes as they move up through the schools (row 'I').

The 2021 projections are lower than those from 2020, reflecting the reduction in the proportion of Maidenhead residents choosing a Maidenhead secondary school and the fall in out-borough applicants.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

There are currently no further plans for expansion. Due to the numbers of new dwellings planned for the Maidenhead area, feasibility works have been carried out on the possibility of expanding the secondary schools. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680006 Windsor Middle Schools

There are four middle schools in Windsor: three in Windsor itself; the fourth in Old Windsor village.

Demographic trends

- Based on historical first to middle transfers.
- The Year 4 transfer cohort in 2021 smaller than the 2020 cohort (row 'a'); 478 compared to 497.
- The size of the Year 4 cohort is set to gradually decline in size, reflecting reductions in the intakes to the Windsor first schools (row 'a').
- The Windsor resident Year 4 transfer cohort – those who live in Windsor and go to a first school in Windsor – follows a similar pattern (row 'b').

New housing

The impact of planned new housing on the middle school demand has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. Analysis of pupil yields data demonstrates that the impact of new dwellings on demand for middle school places tends to be delayed. Broadly, new houses tend to attract young families, with children of first school age or younger. These children then lead to larger cohorts transferring to middle schools in future years. The projections may nevertheless slightly underestimate future demand at middle – this issue will be addressed in the 2022 projections.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes						
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
a	Total transfer cohort*	497	514	498	497	478	455	460	453	418	406	417
b	Resident cohort**	418	429	421	409	399	372	366	361	330	321	330
c	Year 5 PAN	480	510	510	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540
d	Temporary places	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
e	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
f	Total places	480	510	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540	540
g	Actual Intake NOR	449	473	494	467	482	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>					
h	FULL projection					482	468	449	454	453	420	408
i	Surplus/Deficit	+31	+37	+46	+73	+58	+72	+91	+86	+87	+120	+132
j	% Surplus/Deficit	+6%	+7%	+9%	+14%	+11%	+13%	+17%	+16%	+16%	+22%	+24%
k	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
l	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	482	468	449	454	453	420	408
m	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	+58	+72	+91	+86	+87	+120	+132

*this is the total number of children on roll in Windsor schools in Year 4.

**this is the number of children resident in Windsor and on roll in Windsor schools in Year 4.

The projections

- The projections show that there will be sufficient places to meet demand during the period to 2027 (rows 'h' and 'i').
- The surplus of places will be well above the 5% target, potentially rising to over 20% towards the end of the projection period (row 'j').
- As cohorts move up through the Windsor first schools, there is generally a small loss of pupils. This accelerated between 2018/19 and 2019/20, reflecting the lower net inward migration. This will impact future middle school intakes.
- The projections include approximately 1.5 FE out-borough demand. Most of these children are on roll in the first schools.
- The projections also include approximately 0.7 FE from Datchet/Wraysbury residents. Half are on roll in the first schools.
- There is generally little growth in the cohort size (row 'l') as they move up through the schools.

The projections are lower than the 2020 projections, largely reflecting the impact of reduced net inward migration on the cohorts transferring from the first schools.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

No further middle school expansions are planned, following on from the expansions at St Peter's CE Middle School and Dedworth Middle School. Due to the numbers of new dwellings planned for the Windsor area, feasibility works have been carried out on the possibility of expanding the middle schools. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

8680007 Windsor Upper Schools

There are two upper schools in Windsor, one for boys and one for girls, which together form the Windsor Learning Partnership (WLP). Windsor is also currently served by a secondary school (Holyport College) that has an intake at Year 9, prioritising children from the Windsor system. The projections below for the Windsor upper schools exclude the numbers moving up from Year 8 into Year 9 at this school (as these are included in the Maidenhead numbers). Similarly, the PAN set out below for Windsor excludes the 44 places at that school taken up by the pupils moving up from Year 8. Finally, Holyport College has a boarding intake of 18 places at Year 9. Historically, only around 4 of these places are taken up by borough residents. The remaining 14 places are not included in the Year 9 PAN given below.

Demographic trends

- Based on historical middle to upper transfers.
- The Year 8 transfer cohort in 2021 is almost the same size as the 2020 cohort (row 'a'); 444 compared to 448.
- The size of the Year 8 cohort is set to remain roughly the same size for most of the projection period (row 'a'). The lower numbers starting in Reception in the Windsor first schools will not affect the upper school intakes during this period.
- The Windsor resident Year 8 transfer cohort – those who live in Windsor and go to a middle school in Windsor – follows a similar pattern (row 'b').

New housing

The impact of planned new housing on the upper school demand has not been specifically calculated this year, whilst the methodology is revised. Analysis of pupil yields data demonstrates that the impact of new dwellings on demand for upper school places tends to be delayed. Broadly, new houses tend to attract young families, with children of first school age or younger. These children then lead to larger cohorts transferring to middle schools in future years. The projections may nevertheless slightly underestimate future demand at middle – this issue will be addressed in the 2022 projections.

row	Year	Actual intakes				Projected intakes						
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
a	Total transfer cohort*	364	418	429	448	444	457	439	454	442	440	446
b	Resident cohort**	306	341	338	367	367	373	369	370	366	361	353
c	Year 9 PAN	498	498	498	498	498	472**	472	472	472	472	472
d	Temporary places	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-		
e	Planned places	-	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	22	22	22
f	Total places	498	498	498	498	506	494	494	494	494	494	494
g	Actual Intake NOR	457	418	451	462	499	<i>allocated places as at July 2021</i>					
h	FULL projection					501	477	484	473	484	471	474
i	Surplus/Deficit	+41	+80	+47	+36	+5	+17	+10	+21	+10	+23	+20
j	% Surplus/Deficit	+8%	+16%	+9%	+7%	+1%	+4%	+2%	+4%	+2%	+5%	+4%
k	Places to give 5% surplus	0	0	0	0	+20	+6	+14	+3	+14	+1	+3
l	Maximum cohort size	-	-	-	-	510	484	492	480	491	479	481
m	Surplus/Deficit	-	-	-	-	-4	+10	+2	+14	+3	+15	+13

*this is the total number of children on roll in Windsor schools in Year 8.

**this is the number of children resident in Windsor and on roll in Windsor schools in Year 8.

***Holyport College has approved changes to its admissions arrangements that end the current intake of 26 (day) children into Year 9 in September 2022. The boarding intake of 18 remains unaffected.

The projections

- The projections show that the demand will remain close to current levels for most of the projection period (rows 'h' and 'i').
- The surplus of places is expected to remain below 5% (row 'j').
- The reduction of the Year 9 places at Holyport College from September 2022 has required the expansion of Windsor Girls' School, adding 22 places per year group.
- The projections include approximately 2.0 FE out-borough demand. Most of these children are transferring up from the middle schools.
- There is a small amount of growth as the cohorts move up through the schools (row 'l').

The 2021 projections are in line with those from 2020.

Actions (current/planned) to address shortage/excess of places. Include no. of places to be added/removed in each school and by what date. You should include funding, levels & sources, allocated to the creation of additional places in each area.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead has approved the expansion of Windsor Girls' School, by 22 places per year group from September 2022. This is subject to planning permission and DfE approval of the expansion of an academy.

Due to the numbers of new dwellings planned for the Windsor area, feasibility works have been carried out on the possibility of expanding the upper schools. Any proposals for new school places will be brought forward for public consultation as and when demand rises.

Report Title:	New primary school places in Maidenhead
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	Report and appendices A, B, C, D and F are Part I. Appendix E is Part II, and not for publication by virtue of paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Stuart Carroll, Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet, 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Kevin McDaniel, Executive Director of Children's Services
Wards affected:	All wards

www.rbwm.gov.uk



REPORT SUMMARY

This report considers the demand for primary school places in Maidenhead, following on from the latest pupil projections for the town. Those projections indicate demand is likely to be lower than previously expected. The report also provides the outcome of public consultation on a number of options for providing new places. That consultation asked for views on proposals to open a new primary school on the 'Chiltern Road' site in Maidenhead; and to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School, Lowbrook Academy, St Luke's Church of England Primary School and St Mary's Catholic Primary School. The report proposes a strategy to allow new primary school places to be provided quickly if demand rises more quickly than now anticipated.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) gives in principle agreement to the opening of a primary free school on the Chiltern Road site.**
- ii) notes the inclusion of budget in the Royal Borough's 2022/23 capital programme for new primary school provision, which could be used to fund the wider refurbishment of the site.**
- iii) requests a report in February 2022 on options for temporary occupation of the Chiltern Road site, and its refurbishment, ahead of any new free school opening.**
- iv) requests that demand for primary school places in Maidenhead is kept under review, and that proposals for expansion at Lowbrook Academy, St Luke's Church of England Primary School and St Mary's Catholic Primary School are brought back to Cabinet for consideration in Autumn 2022, or earlier if required.**
- v) delegates authority to the Executive Director of Children's Services, in consultation with the Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, to:**
 - start the free school competition process for a new primary school at Chiltern Road.**
 - carry out public consultation on a more detailed proposal for the expansion of Larchfield Primary & Nursery School.**

In both cases decisions to proceed should take into account the target of 5% surplus places, both locally and across Maidenhead as a whole.

- vi) **requests a new report, in Autumn 2022, providing an update on school places and a review of the strategy for primary places in Maidenhead.**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Background

- 2.1 In December 2020 Cabinet considered a report on the demand for new school places in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead. The 2020 pupil projections provided in that report indicated a need for additional primary school places in Maidenhead to meet demand between September 2022 and September 2024.
- 2.2 The report noted the significant level of uncertainty around the projections, given a sudden fall in the number of pupils on roll in Reception classes in Maidenhead. It was unclear whether this was a temporary change or more permanent shift in local demographics, and so public consultation on proposals to provide more primary school places was approved.
- 2.3 The November 2021 Cabinet report *Demand for School Places* provides the 2021 pupil projections, with further amendments made to take into account the very latest available demographic data. That report concludes that:

“Given the small local deficits in September 2022, 2023 and 2024, and the surpluses of places in other parts of Maidenhead, it is not currently proposed that any new places are provided in those years. Additional provision could be needed for September 2025, when the shortfall in South East Maidenhead is projected to be over one form of entry. Nevertheless, the Royal Borough should be ready to provide more places more quickly, if the rate of net inward migration increases again, and taking account of overall and more local levels of surplus places.”¹
- 2.4 For ease reference, the table of expected surpluses and deficits in different parts of Maidenhead at Reception is reproduced here:

¹ Paragraph 2.19, Demand for school places, Report to Cabinet, November 2021

Table 1: Projected Year R surplus/deficits in Maidenhead, by subarea

Subarea	Actual				Projected				
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Bisham and Cookham	+22	+21	+20	+23	+16	+11	+18	+14	+18
Central Maidenhead	0	+2	+4	0	+8	-6	+14	+17	+8
Maidenhead Villages	-1	+8	+8	+13	+12	+15	+19	+16	+24
North East Maidenhead	+14	+10	+6	+8	+20	+20	+22	+25	+15
North West Maidenhead	+17	+17	+9	+10	+23	+27	+28	+44	+35
South East Maidenhead	+20	+36	+28	0	+4	+1	-13	-3	-32
South West Maidenhead	+7	+15	+10	+20	+27	+35	+48	+20	+27
Maidenhead Total	+79	+109	+85	+74	+109	+102	+135	+133	+95
Maidenhead % total surplus	8%	11%	9%	8%	11%	11%	14%	14%	10%

2.5 This report sets out, therefore, the outcome of the public consultation on providing new primary school places in Maidenhead. It then proposes the next steps to ensure that more primary school places can be provided when required.

Proposals for new primary school places in Maidenhead

2.6 In December 2020 Cabinet approved public consultation on the following options for new primary school places in Maidenhead:

- Expanding Oldfield Primary School onto the Chiltern Road site.
- Expanding Braywick Court School onto the Chiltern Road site.
- Expanding St Luke’s Church of England Primary School.
- Expanding St Mary’s Catholic Primary School.
- Expanding Larchfield Primary and Nursery School.
- Expanding Lowbrook Academy.

2.7 The Chiltern Road site is what was formerly the old Oldfield Primary School site, which was temporarily occupied by Forest Bridge School before they moved to their new Braywick Park site in March 2021.

2.8 These options were identified following a prioritisation exercise that assessed:

- Ofsted inspection judgements.
- School attainment.
- Oversubscription on places.
- Commitment to inclusion.
- Cost/value for money.
- Geographical need (so new places are provided where they are needed).

2.9 Following discussions with the schools in early 2021, the options to expand Oldfield Primary School and Braywick Court School onto the Chiltern Road

site were dropped, and it was agreed to consult instead on opening a new free school on the Chiltern Road site.

- 2.10 Public consultation on the above proposals was carried out in late Spring 2021. The consultation document is provided as Appendix A.
- 2.11 More details are provided in Section 8, with a summary in Tables 2 and 3 below. Overall, the response rate was 5.5%, which is a good response rate. There was support for all five proposals, with the most popular being the opening of a new free school on the Chiltern Road site. Table 3 excludes those respondents who expressed 'No view' – many are unwilling to comment on schools that their children do not attend, or that they are not local to.

Table 2: Summary of the consultation outcome

Answer	Open a new primary school at Chiltern Road		Expand Larchfield from 30 to 60 pupils per year group		Expand Lowbrook so that it can take 60 pupils in all year groups		Expand St Luke's from 45 to 60 pupils per year group		Expand St Mary's from 45 to 60 pupils per year group	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes , the proposal should go ahead.	390	54%	238	33%	306	42%	254	35%	217	30%
No , the proposal should not go ahead.	90	12%	137	19%	148	20%	109	15%	130	18%
I don't know if the proposal should go ahead.	65	9%	89	12%	66	9%	83	11%	76	11%
I have no view on whether the proposal should go ahead.	177	25%	258	36%	202	28%	276	38%	299	41%
TOTAL	722	100%	722	100%	722	100%	722	100%	722	100%

Table 3: Summary of the consultation outcome (excluding those with 'No view')

Answer	Open a new primary school at Chiltern Road		Expand Larchfield from 30 to 60 pupils per year group		Expand Lowbrook to take 60 pupils in all year groups		Expand St Luke's from 45 to 60 pupils per year group		Expand St Mary's from 45 to 60 pupils per year group	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes , the proposal should go ahead.	390	72%	238	51%	306	59%	254	57%	217	51%
No , the proposal should not go ahead.	90	17%	137	30%	148	28%	109	24%	130	31%
I don't know if the proposal should go ahead.	65	12%	89	19%	66	13%	83	19%	76	18%
TOTAL	545	100%	464	100%	520	100%	446	100%	423	100%

Proposal to open a free school at the Chiltern Road site

- 2.12 The Chiltern Road site is located in South East Maidenhead and is earmarked for continued primary school use in the draft Borough Local Plan.
- 2.13 Last year's pupil projections suggested a significant shortfall of Reception places locally from September 2022, with little spare capacity in neighbouring areas.
- 2.14 This now looks less likely, with Table 1 in this report showing small shortfalls in September 2023 and 2024, with substantial spare places elsewhere in Maidenhead. The number of births locally has fallen, although net inward migration of 0 to 4 year olds into this subarea has largely recovered from a dip last year. Of course, a return to higher net inward migration could raise demand again, and a larger deficit of places – of around one form of entry - is already expected by September 2025.
- 2.15 The significant number of new dwellings that have recently completed or that are due to complete over the next few years means that any new provision here would be well located to serve new demand.
- 2.16 The proposal would be to remodel and refurbish the buildings, possibly with a small extension to replace the two modular classrooms with permanent accommodation. A condition survey has been carried out on the site and buildings, identifying a number of urgent works to be carried out to ensure that the buildings remain watertight.
- 2.17 The proposal would provide a primary school with 30 places per year group (210 places overall).
- 2.18 54% of respondents (72% excluding 'No view') supported the proposal to open a new primary school on the Chiltern Road site. There was also a good level of support from local residents, with 52% in favour. Excluding 'No view', 17% of respondents were against the proposal. The impact on traffic and parking was frequently mentioned (25 respondents) and, for many residents, their support of the proposal was conditional on those issues being resolved. More details about the consultation are provided in Section 8 and in Appendix B.
- 2.19 If the proposal goes ahead, the Royal Borough will need to run a competition to attract sponsors for a new free school. The competition would invite proposals based on a specification for a 210 place primary school, for boys and girls. Once the competition ends, the Royal Borough's Cabinet will be asked to recommend which proposal should be approved, although the final decision would rest with the Department for Education (DfE).
- 2.20 Once the DfE has approved a sponsor for the new free school, that sponsor will need to carry out their own local consultation on whether the proposal should proceed. The sponsor could already run a school or schools locally or nationally. The free school could also be run by a new sponsor, set up by a parent, teacher or community group.
- 2.21 Alternatively, a new voluntary aided school could be opened without a competition. This would need to be able to meet the demands of a particular faith. Responses from the consultation, however, suggest that the new school

should not have a religious character (mentioned by 14 respondents). Only one respondent spoke in favour of a religious school.

- 2.22 Given the likelihood that the site will need to be brought back into primary school use, either in September 2025 or before, it is proposed that Cabinet:
- gives in principle agreement to the opening of a primary free school on the Chiltern Road site.
 - Delegates authority to the Executive Director of Children's Services, in consultation with the Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, to start the free school competition process for a new primary school at Chiltern Road as and when required in response to local and wider demand, and the 5% surplus places target.
 - notes the inclusion of budget in the Royal Borough's 2022/23 capital programme for new primary school provision, which could be used to fund the wider refurbishment of the site.
 - requests that options are brought back to Cabinet in February 2022 for temporary uses for the site, to keep Chiltern Road occupied and maintained ahead of occupation by a new free school. The report will also recommend a specific refurbishment option. The current estimated costs are provided in Appendix E (Part II item).

Proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

- 2.23 Larchfield Primary and Nursery School is located in the central subarea of Maidenhead. This part of the town is likely to come under increasing pressure for school places as the new housing developments in the town centre move to completion.
- 2.24 Last year's pupil projections suggested a local bulge in demand for September 2022, with further shortages in subsequent years.
- 2.25 This now looks less likely, with Table 1 in this report showing only a small shortfall in September 2022, and substantial spare places elsewhere in Maidenhead. The number of births locally has fallen, although net inward migration of 0 to 4 year olds has not fallen as far as in some other parts of Maidenhead.
- 2.26 Analysis also shows that, at Reception, the schools in central Maidenhead are usually full, resulting in a net export of around 0.7 Forms of Entry (FE) children (that is around 22 children each year) to schools elsewhere in Maidenhead.
- 2.27 The proposal to expand the school would involve the demolition of the existing school, and the construction of a new two-storey school on the same site. This would increase the size of the school from 30 to 60 places per year group, with overall numbers rising from 210 to 420. There would be a small increase in the size of the school's own nursery class.
- 2.28 The site is shared with a Children's Centre and private nursery and the current preference is that both would remain on site.
- 2.29 The new school buildings would be built on the school's playing field, and the existing buildings would continue to be used until the new accommodation is complete. The old buildings would then be demolished, to make way for the

new playground and all-weather pitch. During the construction period, access would need to be provided to playing field space elsewhere.

- 2.30 If the school is rebuilt, then pupils and staff would benefit from significantly improved facilities, as well as a larger school. There would, however, inevitably be a period of disruption for the school and local residents.
- 2.31 A complete rebuild of a school also carries significant costs. The government's School Rebuilding Programme plans to rebuild 500 schools nationally over a ten year period². Our latest understanding of the prioritisation of schools in that programme suggests that it is unlikely that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School will be included, based on the DfE's Condition Data Collection survey of the school in 2019. The Royal Borough's Education Infrastructure Delivery Plan, produced to support the Borough Local Plan process, did allow for some schools to be rebuilt, in order to better use existing sites to provide sufficient capacity to meet the demand arising from new housing.
- 2.32 33% of respondents (51% excluding 'No view') supported the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School by rebuilding it. From the local community, 49% (50% excluding 'No view') were in favour. 19% of respondents overall were against the proposal, rising to 44% of the local community (excluding 'No view'). The biggest issue raised was the impact of expansion on traffic and parking locally (mentioned by 45 respondents), and particularly the potential for blocking access for residents (16 respondents) and impact on road safety (7 respondents).
- 2.33 A number of respondents suggested that other local schools (All Saints CE Junior School, Wessex Primary School and Woodlands Park Primary School) had spare places, making an expansion unnecessary. A small number of respondents agreed that the nursery and/or community centre should be retained on site (no-one disagreed). More details about the consultation are provided in Section 8 and in Appendix B.
- 2.34 On the basis of the pupil projections and analysis set out in the *Demand for School Places* Cabinet report, it is not proposed that this expansion should happen yet. The rebuild was always considered to be a longer-term project. With a relatively good support for the option, it is proposed that a possible rebuild is kept under review, and brought forward for reconsideration as demand for primary school places rises in Central Maidenhead.
- 2.35 It is proposed that, if the need for new primary school places in central Maidenhead does arise, authority is delegated to the Executive Director of Children's Services, in consultation with the Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health to undertake a new public consultation on a specific proposal for how the school would be rebuilt and expanded. There would be a presumption that the proposal would retain the Children's Centre and private nursery on site. The outcome of the new consultation would then be brought back to Cabinet for consideration.

² <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-rebuilding-programme/school-rebuilding-programme>, DfE, July 2021

- Proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that all year groups can admit 60
- 2.36 Lowbrook Academy is located in the South West Maidenhead subarea.
- 2.37 The expansion of the school was proposed so that the school can retain a permanent Published Admission Number (PAN) of 60. At present, its accommodation of only 11 classrooms means that the school can only have 60 pupils in four of its seven year groups. The other three year groups have only 30 pupils.
- 2.38 At present, Reception, Year 1, Year 5 and Year 6 have 60 places per year group. As the Year 5 and 6 cohorts leave, they will create space for new intakes of 60 in September 2022 and September 2023. Without additional accommodation, however, the school will need to return to a PAN of 30 for the Reception intakes in September 2024, 2025 and 2026.
- 2.39 Last year's pupil projections suggested that this reduction in available places would lead to a shortfall locally in September 2024.
- 2.40 That shortfall currently looks much less likely. Table 1 in this report shows substantial expected surpluses in South West Maidenhead over whole projection period. Both the birth rate and the net inward migration of 0 to 4 year olds have fallen significantly in the subarea. Of course, a return to higher net inward migration could raise demand again.
- 2.41 If Lowbrook Academy were to be expanded to take 60 pupils into each year group, the preferred option is to build a new classroom block behind the Lowbrook Suite, containing the three additional classrooms and new hall.
- 2.42 42% of respondents (59% excluding 'No view') supported the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it could take 60 pupils in all year groups. From the local community, 54% (56% excluding 'No view') were in favour. 20% of respondents overall were against the proposal, rising to 42% of the local community (excluding 'No view'). The biggest issue raised was the impact of expanding a school whilst there is capacity in other local schools (mentioned by 49 respondents). The impact of the proposal on traffic and parking was mentioned by 46 respondents. More details about the consultation are provided in Section 8 and in Appendix B.
- 2.43 Given the changing demographic picture, it is proposed that no decision is taken yet on the expansion of Lowbrook Academy. A decision will be required in late 2022, or early 2023, in order to provide the new accommodation and give certainty to parents applying for places in Reception to start in September 2024. It is proposed, therefore, that the proposal is kept under review, and revisited by Cabinet in Autumn 2022.

Proposal to expand St Luke's Church of England Primary School

2.44 St Luke's Church of England Primary School is located in North East Maidenhead.

- 2.45 Last year's pupil projections suggested a significant shortfall of Reception places locally from September 2022, with little spare capacity in neighbouring areas.

- 2.46 This now looks less much likely, with Table 1 in this report showing surplus places locally throughout the projection period. The number of births locally remains around longer-term levels, but net inward migration into this subarea has fallen sharply, leading to lower projected demand. If this is reversed, demand could rise again.
- 2.47 St Luke's Church of England Primary School is located close to Maidenhead town centre, where a number of significant new developments are expected to complete over the next few years.
- 2.48 Expansion at St Luke's would involve the demolition of the existing single-storey nursery block and replacing it with a new two-storey building. It is likely that the hall would also need to be extended. The school is also on a small site, and arrangements would need to be made to give the school ongoing access to offsite playing fields for seven hours a week, for older year groups.
- 2.49 The proposal would increase the school from 45 to 60 pupils per year group; an increase overall from 315 to 420 (excluding nursery numbers).
- 2.50 35% of respondents (57% excluding 'No view') supported the proposal to expand St Luke's Church of England Primary School. From the local community, 52% (55% excluding 'No view') were in favour. 15% of respondents overall were against the proposal, rising to 35% of the local community (excluding 'No view'). The biggest issue raised was the impact on traffic and parking (mentioned by 25 respondents), followed by problems expanding the school due to the small site (17 respondents). More details about the consultation are provided in Section 8 and in Appendix B.
- 2.51 Given the changing demographic picture, it is proposed that no decision is yet taken on funding the expansion of St Luke's Church of England Primary School. It is proposed, therefore, that the proposal is kept under review, and revisited by Cabinet in Autumn 2022.

Proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School

- 2.52 St Mary's Catholic Primary School is located in North East Maidenhead. As a Catholic school it serves a wider area (generally, the eastern half of the town, whilst St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School serves the western half).
- 2.53 As noted in paragraphs 2.45 and 2.46 above, demand in North East Maidenhead is expected to be lower than previously anticipated, although it could rise if recent falls in net inward migration are reversed.
- 2.54 There are two options for expanding St Mary's, either by building a new block or constructing a second storey over an existing block. The hall would need to be extended slightly. An expansion is also likely to involve a new exit from the school onto the Cookham Road, to allow for a onsite drop-off for pupils.
- 2.55 The proposal would increase the school from 45 to 60 pupils per year group; an increase overall from 315 to 420 (excluding nursery numbers).
- 2.56 30% of respondents (51% excluding 'No view') supported the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School. From the local community, however, 71% (73% excluding 'No view') were against, including 76% of parents (excluding 'No view'). The biggest issue raised was the impact of on

traffic and parking (mentioned by 21 respondents). Also important to respondents was the potential loss of the swimming pool (mentioned by 17 respondents). More details about the consultation are provided in Section 8 and in Appendix B.

- 2.57 Given the changing demographic picture, it is proposed that no decision is yet taken on funding the expansion of St Mary’s Catholic Primary School. It is proposed, therefore, that the proposal is kept under review, and revisited by Cabinet in Autumn 2022.

Keeping options under review

- 2.58 No decisions are being made at this stage, therefore, on whether or not to proceed with expansion at Lowbrook Academy, St Luke’s Church of England Primary School and/or St Mary’s Catholic Primary School. All three proposals will be kept under review and revisited in Autumn 2022.

- 2.59 As set out in paragraphs 5.12 and 5.13, it is not thought that the public consultation on the proposals for Lowbrook Academy, St Luke’s Church of England Primary School and St Mary’s Catholic Primary School will need to be repeated ahead of next year’s review.

- 2.60 If demand for new places is identified sooner, a report may be brought to Cabinet before Autumn 2022.

Prioritisation of options

- 2.61 The report to Cabinet in December 2020 provided a prioritisation of a full range of options for new primary school provision in Maidenhead. This will be updated again when options are brought back to Cabinet for consideration.

Options

Table 4: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Gives in principle agreement to the opening of a primary free school on the Chiltern Road site This is the recommended option	This will confirm the future, permanent, use of the Chiltern Road site for a primary school.
Notes the inclusion of budget in the Royal Borough’s 2022/23 capital programme for new primary school provision, which could be used to fund the wider refurbishment of the site. This is the recommended option	The 2022/23 capital programme is being considered in a separate report to November Cabinet. Approving the budget for refurbishment will allow the buildings to be brought up to standard for a new primary school.
Requests a report in February 2022 on options for temporary occupation of the Chiltern Road site, ahead of any new free school opening. This is the recommended option	Temporary occupation of the Chiltern Road site will ensure that the site and buildings are maintained in the interim before a new school is opened.
Requests that demand for primary school places in Maidenhead is kept under review, and that proposals for	Public consultation on these options has already happened, which means that Cabinet could

Option	Comments
<p>expansion at Lowbrook Academy, St Luke's Church of England Primary School and St Mary's Catholic Primary School are brought back to Cabinet for consideration in Autumn 2022, or earlier if required.</p> <p>This is the recommended option</p>	<p>quickly consider which options to implement if demand rises more quickly than currently projected.</p>
<p>Delegates authority to the Executive Director of Children's Services, in consultation with the Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • start the free school competition process for a new primary school at Chiltern Road. • carry out public consultation on a more detailed proposal for the expansion of Larchfield Primary & Nursery School. <p>In both cases decisions to proceed should take into account the target of 5% surplus places, both locally and across Maidenhead as a whole.</p> <p>This is the recommended option</p>	<p>Delegation will allow the first stages of opening a new free school on the Chiltern Road site to go proceed, with Cabinet then considering the outcome of that competition process.</p> <p>For Larchfield Primary & Nursery School, a new public consultation should happen if the school is to be rebuilt and expanded, as parents, staff, governors and the other occupants of the site will need to see the specific details of such a proposal.</p> <p>In both cases, any decision to proceed should take into account the need for school places locally and across the town, with reference to the 5% surplus places target.</p>
<p>Requests a new report, in Autumn 2022, providing an update on school places and a review of the strategy for primary places in Maidenhead.</p> <p>This is the recommended option</p>	<p>The 2022 pupil projections will be produced in July 2022, which will allow for a re-examination of the strategy proposed in this report.</p>
<p>Do nothing</p> <p>This is not the recommended option</p>	<p>Although there is no immediate projected need for primary school places in Maidenhead, there are risks around the projections requiring the borough to be ready to provide additional capacity at relatively short notice.</p>

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

Table 5: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded/ significantly exceeded	Date of delivery
Sufficient places for primary age children in Maidenhead	>0 Maidenhead resident children without a primary school place	All Maidenhead resident children have a primary school place	n/a	1 st Sept. 2022; 1 st Sept. 2023; 1 st Sept. 2024.

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

Capital funding for the refurbishment of the Chiltern Road site

- 4.1 Estimated capital costs of refurbishing the Chiltern Road site, with and without an extension to replace the modular classroom building, are provided in Appendix E (Part II). These estimates include all construction costs, professional fees, surveys, feasibility costs, statutory fees and a significant contingency. VAT will be recovered by the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead.
- 4.2 The draft capital programme for 2022/23 includes an allocation of funding for providing new primary school places in Maidenhead. It is recommended that this funding is used to carry out the refurbishment of the Chiltern Road site. The proposed February 2022 report to Cabinet on the Chiltern Road site will seek a decision on the options for refurbishment.

Basic Need funding

- 4.3 Basic need funding is the money given by the DfE to local authorities each year to help them fulfil their duty to make sure that there are enough school places for children in their local area.
- 4.4 Basic Need can be spent at any state school (e.g. academy (including free schools), community, voluntary controlled and voluntary aided). Allocations are reduced proportionally, however, if projected need for new school places is partially or wholly met by a centrally funded free school.
- 4.5 The figures allocated are based on the pupil projections and school capacity information submitted by local authorities each July in the annual School Capacity (SCAP) survey. In the past, this survey also collected information about how the grant had been spent/how new school places had been funded. That element of the survey has now been separated out into the Capital Spend Survey.
- 4.6 Recent Basic Need allocations for the Royal Borough are set out below:
- 2016/17: £2,763,424

- 2017/18: £2,435,239
- 2018/19: £1,164,054
- 2019/20: £1,226,537
- 2020/21: £0
- 2021/22: £790,954
- 2022/23: £1,349,079

4.7 These sums have now been largely spent, with the outstanding balance supporting the expansion of Windsor Girl's School for September 2022. Based on the projections provided to the DfE this year, the 2023/24 allocation is expected to be very low. Of course, if net inward migration into Maidenhead rises again, then future projections will be higher. In turn, this could lead to higher Basic Need allocations again, but probably not until 2024/25.

4.8 Accordingly, the refurbishment of the Chiltern Road site will need to be funded through the Royal Borough's own resources. In this case Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funding has been identified to cover the total costs.

Capital funding for other primary school expansions in Maidenhead

4.9 At present, no commitment to further primary school expansion in Maidenhead is proposed. To help, however, the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead to manage its capital programme, it is recommended that the medium term financial plan includes potential funding for new primary school places in Maidenhead. The estimated capital costs of the options set out above are included in Appendix E (Part II).

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Provision of school places

5.1 Local authorities are under a statutory duty to ensure that there are sufficient school places in their area. This is set out in the Education Act 1996, Section 14, subsections 1 and 2. The borough receives the 'Basic Need' grant from the government for this purpose, which can be spent on new school places at all types of school (Academy (including free schools), Community, Voluntary Aided and Voluntary Controlled).

5.2 There is no legal duty to provide any particular level of surplus places.

Free school competition

5.3 The DfE has published guidance called [The free school presumption](#), which provides advice for local authorities and new school proposers looking to open new schools.

5.4 In short, the guidance sets out how section 6A of the Education and Inspections Act 2006³ requires local authorities to seek proposals to establish a free school, where they have identified the need for a new school. There are some limited exceptions that allow a local authority to establish a new Voluntary Aided school instead.

³ Section 6A, [Education and Inspections Act](#) (as amended), DfE, 2006

5.5 The process for a new free school requires:

- an initial consultation on the proposal by the local authority (as carried out and reported on here).
- the preparation of a specification for the new school, by the local authority, which should be detailed enough to enable proposers (i.e. those interested in opening a new school) to understand the needs of the local authority and submit the strongest proposal possible.
- a competition, based on the specification, to attract proposers to submit proposals for the new school. The competition may run for between 6 to 14 weeks.
- once the competition is completed, the local authority must then assess the proposals based on the criteria set out in the published specification. The DfE has provided templates and model documentation to assist with this.
- the local authority then makes its recommendation to the DfE regarding which proposer should open the new school. The Secretary of State will make the final decision.

School expansions

5.6 There are two different sets of guidance for expanding academies ([Making significant changes to an open academy](#)) and community schools ([Making significant changes \('prescribed alterations'\) to maintained schools](#)).

Lowbrook Academy, St Luke's Church of England Primary School and St Mary's Catholic Primary School are academies. Larchfield Primary and Nursery School is a community school.

5.7 In both cases, public consultation is required significant expansion is proposed. There are slightly different definitions⁴ of 'significant' expansions for the two types of school, but all four expansion proposals qualify. Section 8 of this report sets out the consultation that has happened already, in accordance with the above guidance.

5.8 For the academies, the government expects that only academies that are 'Good' or 'Outstanding' will usually be expanded. Academies that meet this criteria (which is all three in this case) can apply for 'fast track' DfE approval of the expansion as long as the most recent Progress 8 score is at least the national average; the academy trust is in good financial health and the change is not contentious or subject to objections from the local authority. Where these requirements are not met, the proposals will need to be submitted to the DfE as a full business case.

5.9 The Regional Schools Commissioner will then consider the proposals, taking into account whether:

- the necessary consultation has taken place.
- capital funding has been secured.
- the expansion is in line with local pupil place planning.
- planning permission has been obtained⁵.

⁴ Page 13, [Making significant changes to an open academy](#), DfE, November 2019 and:

Page 7, [Making significant changes \('prescribed alterations'\) to maintained schools](#), DfE, October 2018.

⁵ Page 17, [Making significant changes to an open academy](#), DfE, November 2019.

- 5.10 Where a full business case is required, further information about the educational track record of the academy, local context, the financial health of the academy and potential issues/risks will also be considered by the RSC. Experience with previous fast track applications suggests that the RSC will often request this additional information anyway. The borough will need to commit the capital funding for the scheme in order for it to receive approval.
- 5.11 For the expansion of a community school (Larchfield Primary and Nursery School), if the council were to proceed then it would need to publish a proposal, providing a four week representation period for comments. After this, the local authority would have two months in which to consider the further responses and make a final decision.
- 5.12 The guidance on community school expansions also states that there is no maximum time limit between the publication of a proposal and its proposed date of implementation. The local authority would, however, be expected to show good reason if the timescale for implementation is more than three years.
- 5.13 There is no specific mention, in the guidance for either academies or community schools, of an appropriate period between the consultation already carried out (as set out in Section 8) and the implementation period. Working on the principle set out in paragraph 5.12, however, it would be prudent to assume that expansions later than September 2024 would require new consultation. There would, in any case, be continued engagement with schools, parents and local residents as any proposals are developed.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

Table 6: Impact of risk and mitigation

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
Demand for primary school places in Maidenhead rises quicker than currently anticipated, resulting in a shortfall in provision.	High	The recommendations in this report will allow the local authority to move to the next steps for providing new primary school places quickly, particularly at the Chiltern Road site, which could serve the part of Maidenhead most likely to see shortfalls of places.	Low
Demand for primary school places in Maidenhead doesn't rise as anticipated,	High	By keeping the expansions under review, no decisions are being made about providing new places yet. Temporary use of the	Low

leading to high surpluses.		Chiltern Road site (to be considered in a further Cabinet report) could help maintain the buildings and site until it is needed for a school.	
----------------------------	--	---	--

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equalities. Equality Impact Assessments are published on the [council's website](#). An Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) is attached at Appendix G.
- 7.2 Climate change/sustainability. The government is placing increasing importance on the sustainability of school buildings. The borough already meets high carbon reduction targets in its new school buildings, and officers will be looking at how to minimise environmental impact with any new primary place schemes that go ahead.
- 7.3 Data Protection/GDPR. Personal data received by the council as part of the public consultation has been processed in accordance with the requirements of the Data Protection Act 2018. The consultation responses are available to view at Appendix C to this report, and have been anonymised.

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 Public consultation on proposals to provide more primary school places in Maidenhead has been carried out.
- 8.2 The consultation ran from Monday 15th March 2021 to Friday 23rd April 2021 (six weeks). The consultation was extended by a week until Friday 30th April 2021 following a complaint that some local residents had not received their consultation letter. A consultation document (Appendix A – More primary school places in Maidenhead) was produced in consultation with the schools involved. This was distributed, electronically or in hard copy, to parents, staff, governors and other interested parties as set out in Table 7 below.
- 8.3 All Maidenhead schools (primary, secondary and special) were asked to use their electronic parents messaging services to send the consultation weblink to their parents. A small number of documents were sent in hard copy to schools who had parents with no access to the internet.
- 8.4 The consultation was available on the Achieving for Children website, linked from the Royal Borough website, together with an online (SmartSurvey) response form.
- 8.5 A letter was sent to 509 residential addresses in the roads immediately around the four schools and the Chiltern Road site, informing them of the consultation and providing ways to access the consultation and survey.

Table 7: Summary of consultation document distribution

Who	Where	Number distributed
Parents, staff, governors	All Maidenhead schools	12,549
Local residents	In addresses near the Chiltern Road site, Larchfield Primary, Lowbrook Academy, St Luke's CE Primary and St Mary's Catholic Primary	509
Others	Local parish councils Diocesan authorities Local Multi Academy Trusts Neighbouring local authorities Early years providers	42
TOTAL		13,100

- 8.6 722 responses were received, almost via the online survey form. This represents a response rate of 5.5%, which is above the 3% sought.
- 8.7 Respondents were asked whether or not they agreed with each of the five proposals. The outcome has been summarised in Tables 2 and 3 in the main body of the report.
- 8.8 Appendix B provides a more detailed summary of the responses, including, for each proposal:
- A summary of the overall response.
 - A breakdown of response by school of respondent.
 - A summary of responses from the local community
 - A summary of the issues raised.
- 8.9 Appendix C provides the anonymised consultation responses.
- 8.10 Appendix D provides commentary on the main issues raised in the consultation.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 9.1 Implementation date if not called in: Immediately. The full implementation stages are set out in table 8.

Table 8: Implementation timetable

Date	Details
February 2022	Report to Cabinet on options for temporary use and refurbishment of the Chiltern Road site.
Autumn 2022	Report to Cabinet on the 2022 projections and review of the school expansion options identified in this report.

10. APPENDICES

10.1 This report is supported by 7 appendices:

Contained in paper copies

- None

Electronic only

- Appendix A – *More primary school places in Maidenhead*, RBWM consultation document, March 2021.
- Appendix B – Analysis of consultation responses.
- Appendix C – Anonymised consultation responses, split into two parts, a and b, due to size of document.
- Appendix D – Commentary on the main issues raised in the consultation.
- Appendix E – Estimated budget and budget impacts (Part II) Not for publication by virtue of paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.
- Appendix F - Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA).

11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

11.1 This report is supported by four background documents:

- *Demand for School Places*, Cabinet Report, November 2019.
- School Rebuilding Programme guidance, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-rebuilding-programme/school-rebuilding-programme>, DfE, July 2021.
- [Education and Inspections Act 2006](#), DfE, 2006
- [Chiltern Road Site Expansion Feasibility Study](#), RBWM/HLM, March 2020.

12. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
Mandatory:	Statutory Officers (or deputy)		

Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	19/10/21	10/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	19/10/21	22/10/21
Deputies:			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	19/10/21	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	19/10/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	19/10/21	20/10/21
Other consultees:			
Directors (where relevant)			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	19/10/21	
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	19/10/21	
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	19/10/21	29/10/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	19/10/21	19/10/21
Heads of Service (where relevant)			
Insert as appropriate	Head of		
	Head of		
	Head of		
External (where relevant)			
Insert as appropriate or N/A			

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Deputy Chairman of Cabinet, Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	Yes
---	--	-----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key decision First entered into the Cabinet Forward Plan: 19/05/2021	No	No

Report Author: Ben Wright, School Places and Capital Team Leader

More primary school places in Maidenhead

Public Consultation: March 2021

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is consulting on providing new primary school places in Maidenhead.

We are considering opening a new primary school at the former Forest Bridge School site in Chiltern Road, Maidenhead.

We are also considering possible expansions at:

- Larchfield Primary and Nursery School
- Lowbrook Academy
- St. Luke's Church of England Primary School
- St Mary's Catholic Primary School

You can find out more about these proposals, and how to give us your views, in this document.

Responses must be received by **midday on Friday 23rd April 2021.**



achieving
for children

www.rbwm.gov.uk



Summary of the proposals

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is seeking your views on a number of proposals to provide more primary school places in Maidenhead over the next few years.

The proposals are:

- A. To provide new school places in south east Maidenhead by re-opening the primary school site on Chiltern Road, Maidenhead to primary age children.** The Royal Borough expects to run a competition to decide who would run the new school, which would have 30 places per year group.
- B. To provide new school places in north east Maidenhead by expanding:**
 - iii. St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group;
and/or
 - iv. St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group.
- C. To provide new school places in south west Maidenhead by expanding:**
 - v. Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.
- D. To provide new school places in central Maidenhead by expanding:**
 - vi. Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group.

There is more detail about all of these proposals later on in this document.

What you say matters...

Parents, staff, governors, residents and community organisations are all being consulted. Your views are vital. You can tell us what you think by:

- Completing the online response form at www.rbwm.gov.uk/home/council-and-democracy/consultations/education-consultations.
- Completing the paper response form (in the centre of this document) and posting it to Maidenhead Primary Places Consultation, School Places and Capital Team, Zone D, Town Hall, Maidenhead, SL6 1RF.
- Emailing schoolplaces@achievingforchildren.org.uk.

The closing date for your comments is midday on Friday 23rd April 2021.

What happens after the consultation finishes?

The Royal Borough's Cabinet will meet in early Summer to consider the outcome of the consultation, and decide whether to approve one or more of the proposals. The schools involved will also need to decide whether they want to proceed.

Where proposals are given the go ahead, there will then be further work to develop the schemes, in consultation with the school(s). We will need to apply for planning permission for any new buildings. Where the school is an academy (Lowbrook, St. Luke's and St Mary's) the DfE will need to approve the expansion. Where a school is a community school (Larchfield) a further, formal, consultation will be needed before a final decision is taken by the Royal Borough.

If a decision is taken to open a new school, the Royal Borough expects that that a competition process will be needed to decide who runs it.

There are more details about the next steps for each option later in this document.

Why do we need extra school places?

What have we done so far?

Many primary schools in Maidenhead were expanded between 2009 and 2016, in response to growing demand for places in Reception, the first year in school. New secondary school places in Maidenhead were also added from 2014 onwards.

Growing demand for primary school places...

In recent years, demand for primary school places in Maidenhead has declined slightly, as birth rates have fallen, both locally and nationally. In response, two schools reduced their intakes, so that they did not have too many empty places.

Our latest set of pupil projections for Reception school places suggests that demand will rise again over the next few years. This appears to be driven by movement into the area, including into new housing, rather than any underlying growth in the birth rate. This also means that the growth in demand is mainly in those areas where substantial new housing has already been built, or is expected to be built shortly.

This consultation focuses, therefore, on new school places in South East Maidenhead, North East Maidenhead and Central Maidenhead. There is currently less demand expected for new school places in the west of Maidenhead or in the surrounding villages. Some of the demand could be met by re-opening places in the schools that reduced their intakes, but neither school is close to the areas of new housing.

This is why we are consulting on proposals to add new primary school places as follows:

- South East Maidenhead (new school places in a new school at Chiltern Road).
- North East Maidenhead (new school places at St. Luke's and/or St Mary's).
- Central Maidenhead (new school places at Larchfield Primary).

We are also consulting on a proposal to provide more capacity at Lowbrook Academy. This school currently has enough classrooms for 60 children in four year groups, and 30 children in the other three year groups. This is disruptive to the school and families, and also means that there won't be enough school places in Reception locally in September 2024. It is proposed, therefore, that additional capacity is provided so that the school can admit 60 pupils in all year groups.

There is more information about local demand for school places against the detailed description of the options later in this document.

Some uncertainties about future demand for school places...

There is always some uncertainty about projections of future demand for school places, but this is especially the case in 2021. The Covid-19 pandemic is affecting the decisions that families are making about their futures, and it is not yet clear whether these changes are permanent, and what their impact on demand for school places will be. We are monitoring the situation, and it may be that the strategy outlined in this document will have to be amended, with projects brought forward or delayed as appropriate.

What options have we considered?

Over the past few years, the Royal Borough has looked at every school in the borough to see how it could be expanded. Options have been developed at each school, in partnership with HLM Architects and in consultation with headteachers. You can find out more about these 'feasibility studies' on our consultation webpages at shorturl.at/pFHM3.

We are consulting on potential expansions at those schools serving the areas with growing future demand, based on the options developed above. If schools are expanded, the eventual building schemes may not exactly match options set out in the feasibility studies.

What happens if no new places are provided?

If no new primary school places are added, then it is likely that, from September 2022, some children in Maidenhead will be left without a local school place. These children will need to travel to other parts of Maidenhead, or to schools outside of Maidenhead, for their education.

Funding new school places

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is the local authority for the area and is legally responsible for making sure that there are enough school places to meet demand. The borough receives a grant from the government, called the 'Basic Need' grant, for the purpose of providing new school places. This can be spent at all types of state schools, including academies, community schools, free schools, voluntary aided and voluntary controlled schools.

The proposals

The next part of the document explains the need for new primary school places in the different areas of Maidenhead, and explains the proposals for each, in the following order:

- South East Maidenhead (the Chiltern Road site), see pages 6 to 9.
- North East Maidenhead (St. Luke's and St Mary's primary schools), see pages 10 to 15.
- South West Maidenhead (Lowbrook Academy), see pages 16 to 19.
- Central Maidenhead (Larchfield Primary), see pages 20 to 23.

New school places in South East Maidenhead

This area covers that part of Maidenhead south of the A4 and east of Braywick Road, extending south to include the villages of Bray and Holyport. It is served mainly by Braywick Court School, Holyport C of E Primary School and Foundation Unit, and Oldfield Primary School.

The number of births in the area as a whole has dropped back since its peak in 2010/11, but this fall has been concentrated mainly in Holyport. In the south east corner of Maidenhead itself, the number of births has continued to slowly rise. This is almost certainly a result of the significant number of new dwellings built in this area in recent years.

Explanation of Chart 1

Chart 1 on the opposite page sets out the expected growth in demand for Reception places at the three local schools, Braywick Court, Holyport and Oldfield.

The grey bars in the chart show the numbers of children we expect to be living in South East Maidenhead and of Reception age each year. These figures include the impact of new housing and migration.

The dotted grey line in the chart shows the actual and projected number of pupils in Reception in the three schools, including movement between different parts of Maidenhead.

The black line in the chart shows the number of Reception places available in the three local schools. The number dropped to 120 in September 2020 as Holyport Primary reduced its intake from 60 to 30. This followed a steep decline in the number of Reception age children living in the village.


The final row of the table underneath Chart 1 shows the difference between the expected demand and the places available. This shows that demand will be higher than the number of places available from September 2021, although some of this will be from residents of other parts of Maidenhead.

Proposing new school places

At present, the Royal Borough has agreed with Holyport Primary that their intake should not be increased back to 60. This is because the demand from Holyport Village is still projected to remain low. Most of the built-up parts of south east Maidenhead are also more than two miles away from Holyport Primary. The Royal Borough is, therefore, currently focusing on providing new school places closer to the demand.

We are planning an additional 30 Reception places within South East Maidenhead from September 2022. This may not be enough, if demand is as high as the projections currently suggest. The current uncertainties about future demand, however, mean that we will be doing further work on the pupil projections for September 2023 and beyond, before considering additional options for the area.

Chart 1: Actual and expected demand for Reception places in South East Maidenhead



		Actual numbers				Projected numbers			
Age of pupils as at 31 st August 2020:		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Year of intake into Reception (in September):		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Size of cohort living in South East Maidenhead		131	117	138	148	153	167	199	199
Reception places available:		150	150	150	120	120	120	120	120
Reception pupils on roll:		131	116	123	120	128	145	165	170
Number of extra places needed:		-	-	-	-	+8	+25	+45	+50

The ‘Chiltern Road’ site

The ‘Chiltern Road’ site is where Oldfield Primary School was located before it moved to its new site on Bray Road, Maidenhead in September 2014. Since then, the site has been used by Forest Bridge School, ahead of their move to a new site in Braywick Park.

Once vacated, the site can be used to open a brand-new school. Braywick Court School and Oldfield Primary School have both considered expanding onto the site, but have decided against pursuing it further at the current time.

The Royal Borough has examined how the Chiltern Road site could be returned to primary school use, and you can see the options considered so far in our feasibility study, available at shorturl.at/hmDF9 (Options 1 and 2 only). Although the Royal Borough has considered a complete rebuild of the school, this is likely to be too costly. It is also usually more sustainable to refurbish an existing building.

Proposal A.i New primary school at Chiltern Road

The Proposal

The proposal is to provide new school places in south east Maidenhead by re-opening the primary school site on Chiltern Road, Maidenhead to primary age children.

A new 210 place primary school would be opened, for boys and girls aged 4 to 11 (Reception to Year 6). It is not proposed that the school would have a nursery class. The school would have one class per year group, and, if possible, would open on 1st September 2022.

We would expect the school to serve the south east of Maidenhead, giving priority for places to children living in the area.

The Chiltern Road site will need some refurbishment, and possibly some remodelling of internal spaces.

Opening a new school

If this proposal goes ahead, the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead expects to run a competition to attract sponsors for a new free school. The competition would invite proposals based on a specification for a 210 place primary school, for boys and girls. Once the competition ends, the Royal Borough can then recommend which proposal should be approved, although the final decision will rest with the Department for Education (DfE).

Once the DfE has approved a sponsor for the new free school, that sponsor will need to carry out their own local consultation on whether the proposal should proceed.

The sponsor of the new free school could already run a school or schools locally or nationally. The free school could also be run by a new sponsor, set up by a parent, teacher or community group.

Alternatively, a new voluntary aided school could be opened without a competition. This would need to be to meet the demands of a particular faith.

Timing

It is proposed that the new school would open on 1st September 2022. Families applying for places in Reception starting in September 2022 will need to submit their applications by early January 2022. The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead is speaking to the DfE about managing any competition process so that parents and carers know who will be running the new school as early as possible.

Advantages and disadvantages of opening a new primary school at Chiltern Road

Advantages

- ☑ A new school here would provide additional primary school places in an area of rising demand, reducing the distance children have to travel to school.
- ☑ The Chiltern Road site has previously been a primary school, and this would be a cost-effective way of providing more school places for the local community.
- ☑ The Chiltern Road site is large enough for a primary school.
- ☑ No children are currently being taught at the Chiltern Road site. Refurbishment of the buildings can happen before any children start, avoiding any disruption to teaching and learning. No additional classrooms would be required.
- ☑ A third school in the area could widen choice for local parents, alongside Braywick Court School and Oldfield Primary School.

Disadvantages

- ☒ The new school would be relatively small, at just 210 places. In general, larger schools are more financially viable. No local schools have, however, decided to seek expansion onto the site.
- ☒ It may not be possible to complete the legal processes for setting up a new free school before parents have to submit their applications for children to start Reception in September 2022. The timetable is being discussed with the DfE.
- ☒ There could be some traffic implications, although the site is already a school. The specification for the new school is likely to include a requirement that the school prioritises places for local children.
- ☒ The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead will not have the final say over who runs the new school following any competition. We can indicate our preferred choice to the DfE.

New school places in North East Maidenhead

This area covers that part of Maidenhead north of the A4 and east of the Maidenhead to Marlow railway line. It is served mainly by Riverside Primary School, St. Luke's Church of England Primary School and St Mary's Catholic Primary School. St Mary's also serves a wider area, providing places for Catholic primary pupils.

The number of births in the area as a whole continues to rise, although this growth is concentrated south of Ray Mill Road. This is almost certainly a result of the significant number of new dwellings built in this area in recent years. The number of births north of Ray Mill Road is, however, declining.

Explanation of Chart 2

Chart 2 on the opposite page sets out the expected growth in demand for Reception places at the three local schools, Riverside, St. Luke's and St Mary's.

The grey bars in the chart show the numbers of children we expect to be living in North East Maidenhead and of Reception age each year. These figures include the impact of new housing and migration.

The dotted grey line in the chart shows the actual and projected number of pupils in Reception in the three schools, including movement between different parts of Maidenhead.

The black line in the chart shows the number of Reception places available in the three local schools.

The final row of the table underneath Chart 2 shows the difference between the expected demand and the places available. This shows that demand will be higher than the number of places available from September 2022 onwards, although some of this will be from residents of other parts of Maidenhead.


Proposing new school places

At present, the Royal Borough has agreed with Riverside Primary that now is not an appropriate time to expand, as the school continues to focus on improvements to teaching and learning. Riverside Primary School has also been expanded once already, from 30 to 60 places in September 2014.

We are planning an additional 15 Reception places within North East Maidenhead from September 2022 at either St. Luke's Church of England Primary School or St Mary's Catholic Primary School. This may not be enough, if demand is as high as the projections currently suggest. The uncertainties about future demand, however, mean that we will be doing further work on the pupil projections to decide whether both options for this area should go ahead.

Both schools have expressed an interest in expanding, and you can read more about the options on the next few pages.

Chart 2: Actual and expected demand for Reception places in North East Maidenhead



		Actual numbers				Projected numbers			
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Age of pupils as at 31 st August 2020:									
Year of intake into Reception (in September):		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Size of cohort living in North East Maidenhead		139	137	163	175	160	196	194	193
Reception places available:		150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Reception pupils on roll:		136	141	145	150	147	169	171	173
Number of extra places needed:		-	-	-	-	-	+19	+21	+23

Proposal B.ii St. Luke's Church of England Primary School

The Proposal

The proposal is to provide new school places in North East Maidenhead by expanding St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group.

St. Luke's Church of England Primary School

St. Luke's Church of England Primary School provides education for girls and boys aged 3 to 11, in national curriculum year groups Reception to 6.

Headteacher:	Amanda Butler
School address:	Cookham Road Maidenhead SL6 7EG
School type:	Academy
Ofsted Inspection judgement:	Outstanding (November 2017)
Website:	https://www.st-lukesprimary.com/website



It is proposed that the school is expanded from 45 to 60 pupils per year group from September 2022. If the proposal is approved, then Reception intakes in 2023 and in subsequent years would also be 60, until all year groups have 60 places. The overall size of the school would grow from 315 places to 420. The school would also continue to have 32 nursery places.

New school buildings

The Royal Borough has examined how St. Luke's could be expanded, and you can see the options considered so far in our feasibility study, available at shorturl.at/aoHJ7.

Although the Royal Borough has considered a complete rebuild of the school to use the site more efficiently, this is almost certainly too costly. The most likely option, therefore, would involve demolishing the existing single-storey nursery block and replacing it with a new two storey building. This would contain six classrooms, together with toilets, staffroom and small group spaces. There would be a separate entrance into the building for nursery children. It is likely that the main hall would also need to be extended.

Further work on the design of the new accommodation with the school may result in a different scheme to that described above. A more expensive option, which would retain more outdoor space, would be to demolish the nursery block and the classroom next to it, and build a two-storey block with eight classrooms.

Site size

St. Luke's Church of England Primary School is on a small site and, if expanded, would not have enough playing field space on site for all of its pupils. This will be partly offset by providing an additional all-weather playing surface on the existing field. Arrangements would need to be made to give the school ongoing access to offsite playing fields for seven hours a week, for older year groups. The school has its own minibus, which could be used to take pupils to playing fields and sports facilities.

Advantages and disadvantages of expansion at St. Luke's Church of England Primary School

Advantages

- ✓ The school is graded 'Outstanding' by Ofsted.
- ✓ Expansion here would provide additional primary school places in an area of rising demand, reducing the distance children have to travel to school. Most pupils would be able to walk to the school.
- ✓ Although the school site is small, it is expected to be extended slightly, making space available for additional parking spaces and/or extension to the external play areas.
- ✓ The school would no longer need to mix year groups, if it so chose. Mixed year groups can be unpopular with parents, although they can also allow schools to be more flexible with their teaching.
- ✓ Increasing its size would bring benefits such as more funding and economies of scale. This would allow more funding to be used for teaching and learning.
- ✓ Larger schools can find it easier to recruit staff, and there are more opportunities for professional development.

Disadvantages

- ✗ The school site is already short of outdoor space for team games. A new all-weather pitch onsite could help with this. The school and borough are also investigating off-site playing field provision, for children in Years 5 and 6. The school does have a minibus that it could use to take children to and from this.
- ✗ The larger new classroom block would be more expensive, but would keep more outdoor space.
- ✗ Increasing a school's size is not always popular with parents.
- ✗ There could be some disruption whilst the new classroom block is built, but this would be kept to a minimum.
- ✗ There could be some traffic implications, although as there is significant growth in demand in the local area, it is hoped that most children will walk or cycle to school. Under an agreement with St Joseph's Church, parents who do drive can use their car-park at the beginning and end of the day.

The school already operates a 'soft start' to the school day, allowing children to start within a 15 minute window. This has reduced congestion, and could be extended to the end of the day if needed.

Views of the Headteacher and Governing Body of St. Luke's Church of England Primary School

"We are excited about the possibility of expanding our school capacity; as a school we are passionate about providing a high-quality inclusive experience to all our families. Thus, the opportunity to expand our core offer of 'Life in all its fullness' and our holistic provision through increasing pupil numbers and developing our site is an opportunity we are keen to explore".

Proposal B.iii St Mary's Catholic Primary School

The Proposal

The proposal is to provide new school places in North East Maidenhead by expanding St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group.

St Mary's Catholic Primary School

St Mary's Catholic Primary School provides education for girls and boys aged 4 to 11, in national curriculum year groups Reception to 6.

Headteacher:	Nick Hart
School address:	Cookham Road Maidenhead SL6 7EX
School type:	Academy
Ofsted Inspection judgement:	Good (Short inspection December 2019)
Website:	https://www.stmarys-maidenhead.org/



It is proposed that the school is expanded from 45 to 60 pupils per year group from September 2022. If the proposal is approved, then Reception intakes in 2023 and in subsequent years would also be 60, until all year groups have 60 places. The overall size of the school would grow from 315 places to 420.

New school buildings

The Royal Borough has examined how St Mary's could be expanded, and you can see the options considered so far in our feasibility study, available at shorturl.at/ajpM5.

There are a number of potential options for providing new accommodation on the site. One is to build a new two-storey block, with four classrooms and a studio, and extend the hall slightly.

Alternatively, a second storey could be constructed over the separate junior block to provide three classrooms. A fourth classroom would be built as a standalone block, and the school courtyard converted to a studio. The hall would be extended slightly.

Further work on the design of the new accommodation may result in a different scheme to those described above.

Traffic and parking

St Mary's Catholic Primary School is located at the end of Brookdene Close, a narrow cul-de-sac with residential parking. This creates problems for local residents at the beginning and end of the school day as parents park along the close. If the school were to expand, one solution might be to create an exit out of the school site onto Cookham Road, allowing an onsite drop-off for pupils. This would require the relocation of the pre-school nursery building.

Advantages and disadvantages of expansion at St Mary's Catholic Primary School

Advantages

- ☑ The school is graded 'Good' by Ofsted.
- ☑ Expansion here would provide additional primary school places close to an area of rising demand, reducing the distance children have to travel to school.
- ☑ The school is a Catholic school, and so this proposal would provide more places for the Catholic community.
- ☑ The school would no longer need to mix year groups, if it so chose. Mixed year groups can be unpopular with parents, although they can also allow schools to be more flexible with their teaching.
- ☑ Increasing its size would bring benefits such as more funding and economies of scale. This would allow more funding to be used for teaching and learning.
- ☑ Larger schools can find it easier to recruit staff, and there are more opportunities for professional development.
- ☑ Depending on how the school is expanded, the proposal could result in the loss of the swimming pool. This is expensive to run, and swimming lessons could be provided off-site.

Disadvantages

- ☒ The school is a Catholic school, which means that Catholic children will be prioritised for places here. This may not meet all of the local demand.
- ☒ Increasing a school's size is not always popular with parents.
- ☒ There could be some disruption whilst the new accommodation is built, but this would be kept to a minimum.
- ☒ Depending on how the school is expanded, the proposal could result in the loss of the swimming pool. This would mean any swimming lessons would need to happen off-site.
- ☒ The site is slightly undersized for 420 pupils, but this can be addressed by installing an all-weather pitch on part of the playing field.
- ☒ As a Catholic school, St Mary's takes from a wider area than many other primary schools. Expansion could, therefore, impact on local traffic. The proposal to create an onsite drop-off with a new exit onto Cookham Road may help with this.

Views of the Headteacher, Diocese, Governing Body and the Directors of Frassati Trust of St Mary's Catholic Primary School

"We are enthusiastic about the opportunity of sharing our passion for education with more children, within new facilities which have many educational advantages".

New school places in South West Maidenhead

This area covers that part of Maidenhead to the south west of the A404(M), including Woodlands Park. It is served mainly by Lowbrook Academy, Wessex Primary School and Woodlands Park Primary School.

The number of births has been gently declining across South West Maidenhead.

Explanation of Chart 3

Chart 3 on the opposite page sets out the expected demand for Reception places at the three local schools, Lowbrook, Wessex and Woodlands Park.

The grey bars in the chart show the numbers of children we expect to be living in South West Maidenhead and of Reception age each year. These figures include the impact of new housing and migration.

The dotted grey line in the chart shows the actual and projected number of pupils in Reception in the three schools, including movement between different parts of Maidenhead.

The black line in the chart shows the number of Reception places available in the three local schools.


The final row of the table underneath Chart 3 shows the difference between the expected demand and the places available. This shows that demand will be higher than the number of places available in September 2024, although some of this will be from residents of other parts of Maidenhead.

Lowbrook Academy

Lowbrook Academy has previously been expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group, but not in all year groups. At present, the school has enough classroom space for four year groups of 60, and three year groups of 30. Without further classroom space, the school's Reception intake will fall to 30 again in September 2024.

This will reduce the local capacity, so that there are not enough places to meet demand for the three schools from September 2024.

Chart 3: Actual and expected demand for Reception places in South West Maidenhead



		Actual numbers				Projected numbers			
Age of pupils as at 31 st August 2020:		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Year of intake into Reception (in September):		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Size of cohort living in South West Maidenhead		125	99	125	132	134	143	112	135
Reception places available:		120	120	120	150	150	150	150	120
Reception pupils on roll:		119	113	122	130	129	139	115	135
Number of extra places needed:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-15

Proposal C.iv Lowbrook Academy

The Proposal

The proposal is to ensure that Lowbrook Academy can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Lowbrook Academy

Lowbrook Academy is a primary school providing education for girls and boys aged 4 to 11, in national curriculum year groups Reception to 6.

Headteacher:	Dave Rooney
School address:	The Fairway Cox Green Maidenhead SL6 3AR
School type:	Academy
Ofsted Inspection judgement:	Outstanding (February 2008)
Website:	https://www.lowbrookacademy.co.uk/



It is proposed that additional accommodation is added in time for September 2024, so that all year groups can admit 60 children. The overall size of the school would grow from 330 places to 420.

New school buildings

The Royal Borough has examined how Lowbrook could be expanded, and you can see the options considered so far in our feasibility study, available at shorturl.at/gqsBC.

The current preferred option is to build a new classroom block behind the Lowbrook Suite, containing the three additional classrooms and new hall.

Further work on the design of the new accommodation may result in a different scheme to that described above.

Advantages and disadvantages of increasing the capacity at Lowbrook Academy

Advantages

- ☑ The school is graded 'Outstanding' by Ofsted.
- ☑ Providing the additional accommodation here would mean that there would continue to be enough places locally to meet demand.
- ☑ Lowbrook would admit the same number of children every year, providing better stability.
- ☑ There would be less risk that siblings would not get a place. At present, it can be harder for siblings to get a place at Lowbrook if they are applying in a year when there are only 30 places available.
- ☑ Increasing its size would bring benefits such as more funding and economies of scale. This would allow more funding to be used for teaching and learning.
- ☑ Larger schools can find it easier to recruit staff, and there are more opportunities for professional development.

Disadvantages

- ☒ Increasing a school's size is not always popular with parents.
- ☒ There could be some disruption whilst the new accommodation is built, but this would be kept to a minimum.
- ☒ The site is slightly undersized for 420 pupils, but this could be addressed by installing an all-weather pitch on part of the playing field.
- ☒ An increase in numbers could impact on local traffic, although most children attending the school will come from the local area and could, therefore walk or cycle or school.

Views of the Headteacher and Governing Body of Lowbrook Academy

"The Governors of Lowbrook Academy are in favour of completing our expansion to a two form entry school. This will provide certainty for local families and end the cycle of changing admission numbers at Lowbrook Academy. Our preferred option is to use the land behind the Lowbrook Suite, which has been preserved and maintained with future expansion in mind".

New school places in Central Maidenhead?

This area covers that part of Maidenhead between the A4 to the north, the A308 and A308(M) to the east and south, and the A404(M) to the west. It is served mainly by All Saints CE Junior School, Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School, Larchfield Primary and Nursery School, and St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School. St Edmund Campion also serves a wider area, providing places for Catholic primary pupils.

The number of births in Central Maidenhead is currently relatively steady, although there is evidence of a spike in demand for September 2022, particularly in the area served by Larchfield Primary School. In the longer term, new housing developments in the town centre are likely to add to the pressure for school places locally.

Explanation of Chart 4

Chart 4 on the opposite page sets out the expected growth in demand for Reception places at the four local schools, All Saints, Boyne Hill, Larchfield and St Edmund Campion.

The grey bars in the chart show the numbers of children we expect to be living in Central Maidenhead and of Reception age each year. These figures include the impact of new housing and migration.

The dotted grey line in the chart shows the actual and projected number of pupils in Reception in the four schools, including movement between different parts of Maidenhead.

The black line in the chart shows the number of Reception places available in the four local schools.

The final row of the table underneath Chart 4 shows the difference between the expected demand and the places available. This shows that demand will be higher than the number of places available from September 2022 onwards, although some of this will be from residents of other parts of Maidenhead.

Proposing new school places


At present, the Royal Borough has agreed with Larchfield Primary School that a 'bulge' class can be created at their school for September 2022, if the spike in demand for Reception places is as high as currently projected. This is separate to the proposal for permanent expansion discussed in this consultation.

The current uncertainties about future demand, however, mean that we will be doing further work on the pupil projections to decide whether permanent expansion of primary school provision in later years is required.

One option would be for Larchfield Primary School to expand, whether in September 2024 or even later. This could only happen by demolishing and rebuilding the school. As this would take longer to achieve than a normal school expansion, we are seeking your views earlier than we normally would.

Larchfield Primary School has expressed an interest in the proposal, which you can read more about on the following pages.

Chart 4: Actual and expected demand for Reception places in Central Maidenhead



		Actual numbers				Projected numbers			
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Age of pupils as at 31 st August 2020:									
Year of intake into Reception (in September):		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Size of cohort living in Central Maidenhead		163	186	151	166	166	210	168	186
Reception places available:		150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Reception pupils on roll:		150	148	147	148	155	184	157	168
Number of extra places needed:		-	-	-	-	-5	-34	-7	-18

Proposal D.v Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

The Proposal

The proposal is that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School could be permanently expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group, from September 2024 or later.

Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

Larchfield Primary and Nursery School provides education for girls and boys aged 3 to 11, in national curriculum year groups Reception to 6.

Headteacher:	Jacqui Kearney	
School address:	Bargeman Road Maidenhead SL6 2SG	
School type:	Community	
Ofsted Inspection judgement:	Good (Short Inspection April 2019)	
Website:	https://www.larchfieldschool.co.uk/	

Consideration is being given to whether Larchfield Primary School is permanently expanded, to help meet demand from the new housing developments in Maidenhead town centre. There is currently no firm timetable for when this might need to happen, but completion by September 2024 is the very earliest likely date.

New school buildings

The Royal Borough has examined how Larchfield could be expanded, and you can see the options considered so far in our feasibility study, available at shorturl.at/rBK56.

All the options involve demolishing the existing school buildings, and building a new two-storey school on site. Larchfield Primary School shares a site with a Children's Centre and a private nursery, Little Pioneers. Removing either or both of these from the school site would create more space for the primary school. Our current preference is that both would remain on site, however.

The new school buildings would be built on the school's playing field, and the existing buildings would continue to be used until the new accommodation is complete. The old buildings would then be demolished, to make way for the new playground and all-weather pitch. During the construction period, we would need to provide access to playing field space elsewhere.

If the school is rebuilt, then pupils and staff would benefit from significantly improved facilities, as well as a larger school. Further work on the design of the new accommodation may result in a different scheme to those described above.

Traffic and parking

Expansion of Larchfield Primary School could result in additional traffic to the school. There is scope to increase the onsite parking, and to change the main entrance from Bargeman Road to Larchfield Road. Nevertheless, the potential impact of the expansion would need to be carefully considered.

Advantages and disadvantages of expansion at Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

Advantages

- ☑ The school is graded 'Good' by Ofsted.
- ☑ Expansion here would provide additional primary school places close to an area of rising demand, reducing the distance children have to travel to school.
- ☑ Rebuilding the school would provide pupils and staff with better facilities, more suitable for teaching and learning in the 21st Century.
- ☑ Increasing its size would bring benefits such as more funding and economies of scale. This would allow more funding to be used for teaching and learning.
- ☑ Larger schools can find it easier to recruit staff, and there are more opportunities for professional development.

Disadvantages

- ☒ Increasing a school's size is not always popular with parents.
- ☒ There would be disruption during construction, and children would need to use off-site playing fields during that period.
- ☒ The site is slightly undersized for 420 pupils, but this can be addressed by installing an all-weather pitch on part of the playing field.
- ☒ This would be a costly scheme, although some savings would be made over time on the repairs and maintenance to the existing buildings. This would be beneficial to the school's revenue budget.
- ☒ There would be implications for local traffic. Increased onsite parking and an entrance for the school on Larchfield Road could help with this.


Views of the Headteacher and Governing Body of Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

"The Senior Leadership Team and Governing Body of Larchfield Primary and Nursery School welcome the opportunity to explore the proposal to expand our pupil numbers from 30 to 60 per year group through the construction of a new two-storey school on our site and the removal of the existing building, which would meet the 21st century needs of our children.

We are committed to working with RBWM to achieve the best long-term outcome for both our School Family and the surrounding community. While the proposal involves significant challenges, we believe it also offers enormous potential benefits to current and future generations of children within the Larchfield community".

Map showing the primary schools in Maidenhead

This map shows the location of primary schools in Maidenhead.



- A – Chiltern Road site.
- B – St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School
- C – St Mary’s Catholic Primary School
- D – Lowbrook Academy
- E – Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

- 1 – All Saints CE Junior School
- 2 – Alwyn Infant School
- 3 – Bisham Academy
- 4 – Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School
- 5 – Braywick Court School
- 6 – Burchetts Green CE Infant School
- 7 – Cookham Dean CE Primary School
- 8 – Cookham Rise Primary School
- 9 – Courthouse Junior School

- 10 – Furze Platt Primary Federation
- 11 – Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham
- 12 – Holyport C of E Primary School & Foundation Unit
- 13 – Knowl Hill C of E Academy
- 14 – Oldfield Primary School
- 15 – Riverside Primary School
- 16 – St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School
- 17 – Waltham St Lawrence Primary School
- 18 – Wessex Primary School
- 19 – White Waltham C of E Academy
- 20 – Woodlands Park Primary School

- i – Cookham Nursery School
- ii – Maidenhead Nursery School

CHILTERN ROAD PROPOSAL - ALL RESPONSES

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
13,100	722	5.5%


Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations...
578	28	80	88	51

*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Chiltern Road site from 0 to 30 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
390	90	65	545
72%	17%	12%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of Chiltern Road site, by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
No. responding	578	28	80	88	51
Yes, I agree...	344 (75%)	11 (65%)	41 (57%)	27 (59%)	19 (59%)
No, I do not agree...	65 (14%)	1 (6%)	23 (32%)	12 (26%)	4 (13%)
I don't know...	50 (11%)	5 (29%)	8 (11%)	7 (15%)	9 (28%)
I have no view...	[119]	[11]	[8]	[42]	[19]

126

CHILTERN ROAD PROPOSAL - RESPONSES BY SCHOOL OF RESPONDENT

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021

Where a respondent has indicated they are a parent of a child at a school, a governor or a member of staff at a school, their response has been included in the table below.

Respondents may be counted at several different schools, but only once at any single school.



4. Summary of views on the proposed expansion by school of respondent.

School of respondent	Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total	
A private nursery, playgroup or daycare centre	23	1	1	4	29	
All Saints CE Junior School	7	26	3	8	44	
Altwood Church of England School	8	3	1	3	15	
Alwyn Infant School	16	1	0	5	22	
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School	23	6	4	8	41	
Braywick Court School	7	2	0	1	10	
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	2	0	0	1	3	
Cookham Dean CE Primary School	3	3	0	1	7	
Cookham Nursery School	1	0	0	0	1	
Cookham Rise Primary School	0	0	1	0	1	
Courthouse Junior School	15	2	2	1	20	
Cox Green School	19	3	7	4	33	
Desborough College	25	2	5	7	39	
Forest Bridge School	2	0	0	0	2	
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham	2	0	0	1	3	
Holyport C of E Primary school & Foundation Unit	5	1	3	2	11	
Holyport College	0	2	0	1	3	
Knowl Hill C of E Academy	1	0	0	0	1	
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School	23	5	4	19	51	
Lowbrook Academy	25	7	6	26	64	
Maidenhead Nursery School	2	1	0	1	4	
Manor Green School	6	1	2	0	9	
Newlands Girls' School	14	7	0	3	24	
Oldfield Primary School	45	17	5	1	68	
Other	36	10	5	12	63	
Riverside Primary School and Nursery	1	0	0	0	1	
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School	13	2	1	3	19	
St Luke's Church of England Primary School	36	2	3	9	50	
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	31	3	2	9	45	
The Furze Platt Primary Federation	26	1	6	6	39	
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School	3	1	0	0	4	
Wessex Primary School	24	3	12	19	58	
White Waltham C of E Academy	4	0	0	2	6	
Woodlands Park Primary & Nursery School	6	2	2	4	14	

CHILTERN ROAD PROPOSAL - CHILTERN ROAD COMMUNITY

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
160	58	36.3%

Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***
0	0	0	58


*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the school + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.

'Local residents' also (on this page) only includes those in the roads immediately around the site.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Chiltern Road site from 0 to 30 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
30	21	6	57
53%	37%	11%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of , by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents
No. responding	0	0	0	58
Yes, I agree...				30 (53%)
No, I do not agree...				21 (37%)
I don't know...				6 (11%)
I have no view...				[1]

128

CHILTERN ROAD PROPOSAL - ISSUES RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION

This sheet summarises the issues raised in the consultation in response to the proposal to open a new primary school on the Chiltern Road site

9. Most popular issues raised in the consultation (all respondents).	No. raising issue
1. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road	40
2. CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures	15
3. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents	13
4. GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	10
5. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea	8
6. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access	7
7. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School	7
8. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety	6
9. GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?	6
10. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - invest money in existing school sites instead	6
11. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - re-use of site is cost effective option	5
12. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will enable children to attend a local school	4
13. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will bring more choice locally	4
14. GENERAL - need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just south east Maidenhead	4
15. SECONDARY - need to make sure that enough places are being built for secondary pupils	4
16. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - outdoor, flexible learning	4
17. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - site will need a significant amount of investment	3
18. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - forest school	3
19. GENERAL: Run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces	3
20. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Braywick Court Primary should expand onto the site	3
21. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Oldfield Primary should expand onto the site	3
22. GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places	3
23. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - sell the site for family homes	2
24. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - will provide places for residents in the Riverside ward	2
25. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, brings more pollution	2
26. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand	2
27. GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones	2
28. GENERAL - too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead	2
29. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - should consider a larger school on the site	2
30. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - re-use of site is not a cost-effective option	2
31. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - for SEND pupils (with or without EHCPs)	2
32. GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Saints	1
33. GENERAL: SECONDARY - open a grammar school in Maidenhead	1
34. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch	1
35. CHILTERN ROAD: MODIFY - make sure doesn't then become a 60 place school	1
36. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - proposal will have a negative impact on Oldfield Primary	1
37. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - build upwards to save space	1
38. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - site is too small	1
39. ST LUKES: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education	1
40. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - building should remain within existing single-storey footprint	1
41. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - not an academy	1
42. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - not be a free school	1
43. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - should not split Oldfield Primary School across two sites	1
44. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most pupils should be walk or cycling to school	1
45. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - expansion will increase class sizes	1
46. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - other schools have empty places (HOLYPORT), so why expansion?	1
47. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - expand Oldfield Primary School (on its current site)	1

10. Most popular issues raised in the consultation (CHILTERN ROAD community).	No. raising issue
1. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road	25
2. CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures	13
3. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents	12
4. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access	7
5. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety	6
6. CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea	3
7. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School	3
8. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, brings more pollution	2
9. GENERAL - need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just south east Maidenhead	2
10. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Oldfield Primary should expand onto the site	2
11. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - sell the site for family homes	2
12. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - site will need a significant amount of investment	1
13. GENERAL: SECONDARY - open a grammar school in Maidenhead	1
14. CHILTERN ROAD: MODIFY - make sure doesn't then become a 60 place school	1
15. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch	1
16. CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - not be a free school	1
17. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - concerned could make it harder to get child into Oldfield Primary	1
18. CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, onsite drop-off will encourage more traffic	1
19. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - build upwards to save space	1
20. CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - building should remain within existing single-storey footprint	1
11. Comments on type of school proposed for Chiltern Road site (all respondents).	No. raising
1. no religious character	14
2. for SEND pupils (with or without EHCPs)	3
3. academy	3
4. forest school/school with outdoor learning	2
5. no mixed year groups	1
6. organisation with proven track record	1
7. free school	1
8. school with outdoor space	1
9. Church of England school	1
10. an excellent school	1
11. Montessori	1
12. parent led school	1
13. people passionate about education	1
14. a primary school	1
15. Larchfield Primary school expand onto site	1
16. Community run	1

LARCHFIELD PROPOSAL - ALL RESPONSES

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



achieving for children

No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
13,100	722	5.5%


Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations...
578	28	80	88	51

*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
238	137	89	464
51%	30%	19%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of Larchfield Primary and Nursery School, by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
No. responding	578	28	80	88	51
Yes, I agree...	209 (54%)	10 (59%)	28 (43%)	15 (35%)	12 (40%)
No, I do not agree...	100 (26%)	5 (29%)	29 (45%)	19 (44%)	11 (37%)
I don't know...	76 (20%)	2 (12%)	8 (12%)	9 (21%)	7 (23%)
I have no view...	[193]	[11]	[15]	[45]	[21]

LARCHFIELD PROPOSAL - RESPONSES BY SCHOOL OF RESPONDENT

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021

Where a respondent has indicated they are a parent of a child at a school, a governor or a member of staff at a school, their response has been included in the table below.

Respondents may be counted at several different schools, but only once at any single school.



4. Summary of views on the proposed expansion by school of respondent.

School of respondent	Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total	
A private nursery, playgroup or daycare centre	15	4	1	9	29	
All Saints CE Junior School	6	30	4	4	44	
Altwood Church of England School	3	6	3	3	15	
Alwyn Infant School	8	4	4	6	22	
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School	23	9	4	5	41	
Braywick Court School	1	4	1	4	10	
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	0	0	1	2	3	
Cookham Dean CE Primary School	2	3	1	1	7	
Cookham Nursery School	1	0	0	0	1	
Cookham Rise Primary School	0	0	1	0	1	
Courthouse Junior School	8	6	2	4	20	
Cox Green School	13	8	4	8	33	
Desborough College	15	10	5	9	39	
Forest Bridge School	2	0	0	0	2	
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham	2	0	0	1	3	
Holyport C of E Primary school & Foundation Unit	4	1	3	3	11	
Holyport College	0	1	1	1	3	
Knowl Hill C of E Academy	1	0	0	0	1	
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School	29	17	4	1	51	
Lowbrook Academy	18	6	8	32	64	
Maidenhead Nursery School	2	1	1	0	4	
Manor Green School	1	2	3	3	9	
Newlands Girls' School	6	9	3	6	24	
Oldfield Primary School	35	7	5	21	68	
Other	17	11	9	26	63	
Riverside Primary School and Nursery	1	0	0	0	1	
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School	9	2	2	6	19	
St Luke's Church of England Primary School	18	3	6	23	50	
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	9	7	9	20	45	
The Furze Platt Primary Federation	20	4	5	10	39	
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School	1	3	0	0	4	
Wessex Primary School	11	11	10	26	58	
White Waltham C of E Academy	2	0	2	2	6	
Woodlands Park Primary & Nursery School	5	2	1	6	14	

LARCHFIELD PROPOSAL - LARCHFIELD COMMUNITY

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
397	74	18.6%

Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***
47	2	4	23


*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the school + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.

'Local residents' also (on this page) only includes those in the roads immediately around the site.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group.



2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
36	32	4	72
50%	44%	6%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of , by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents
No. responding	47	2	4	23
Yes, I agree...	25 (54%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	7 (32%)
No, I do not agree...	17 (37%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	15 (68%)
I don't know...	4 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
I have no view...	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1]

LARCHFIELD PROPOSAL - ISSUES RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION

This sheet summarises the issues raised in the consultation in response to the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School

9. Most popular issues raised in the consultation (all respondents).	No. raising issue
1. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield	45
2. GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	24
3. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents	16
4. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?	14
5. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities	11
6. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion	10
7. LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures	9
8. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space	7
9. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety	7
10. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils	6
11. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large	6
12. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?	6
13. GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones	5
14. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea	5
15. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain nursery	4
16. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road	4
17. GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places	4
18. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?	3
19. GENERAL - run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces	2
20. GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Sai	2
21. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion	2
22. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch	2
23. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain community centre	2
24. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor	2
25. GENERAL: too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead	2
26. GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places	2
27. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand	2
28. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - should invest in buildings, but not expand	2
29. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - impact of noise of more children on neighbours	2
30. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - won't need it when new primary built on golf course	2
31. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education	2
32. GENERAL - expansion of existing schools will not allow new educational ideas and approaches	2
33. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - construction works will have negative impact on neighbours	2
34. CONSULTATION - need more information before deciding	2
35. CONSULTATION: Not carried out properly	1
36. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (KNOWL HILL), so why expansion?	1
37. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - investment will be good for the local community	1
38. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for emergency services	1
39. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - will increase the number on non-religious places	1
40. BOYNE HILL: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion	1
41. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils	1
42. GENERAL: consider making changes to the school designated areas	1
43. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - proposed scheme is too expensive	1
44. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education	1
45. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - will be very close to the golf course development	1
46. LARCHFIELD: MODIFY - need to implement the proposal more quickly	1
47. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - approve of installing an all-weather pitch	1

10. Most popular issues raised in the consultation (LARCHFIELD community).	No. raising issue
1. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield	23
2. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents	10
3. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities	7
4. LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures	5
5. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety	5
6. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea	5
7. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion	4
8. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils	3
9. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large	3
10. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space	3
11. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road	3
12. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - construction works will have negative impact on neighbours	2
13. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain nursery	2
14. GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones	2
15. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor	2
16. LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain community centre	2
17. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education	2
18. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - should invest in buildings, but not expand	2
19. GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	2
20. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - impact of noise of more children on neighbours	2
21. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch	1
22. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for emergency services	1
23. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - will increase the number on non-religious places	1
24. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?	1
25. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion	1
26. GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places	1
27. GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?	1
28. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - two storey building would be intrusive for neighbours	1
29. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - won't need it when new primary built on golf course	1
30. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - approve of installing an all-weather pitch	1
31. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - against potential loss of the wildlife pond	1
32. LARCHFIELD: MODIFY - need to minimise disruption for pupils from construction	1
33. LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution	1
34. GENERAL: too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead	1
35. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - larger school will attract staff	1
36. LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - proposal makes it more likely that the school becomes Outstanding	1

LOWBROOK PROPOSAL - ALL RESPONSES

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
13,100	722	5.5%


Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations...
578	28	80	88	51

*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy from 30 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
306	148	66	520
59%	28%	13%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of Lowbrook Academy, by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
No. responding	578	28	80	88	51
Yes, I agree...	272 (63%)	11 (52%)	29 (42%)	19 (44%)	16 (57%)
No, I do not agree...	112 (26%)	6 (29%)	34 (49%)	17 (40%)	9 (32%)
I don't know...	51 (12%)	4 (19%)	6 (9%)	7 (16%)	3 (11%)
I have no view...	[143]	[7]	[11]	[45]	[23]

LOWBROOK PROPOSAL - RESPONSES BY SCHOOL OF RESPONDENT

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



Where a respondent has indicated they are a parent of a child at a school, a governor or a member of staff at a school, their response has been included in the table below.

Respondents may be counted at several different schools, but only once at any single school.

4. Summary of views on the proposed expansion by school of respondent.

School of respondent	Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total	
A private nursery, playgroup or daycare centre	21	1	1	6	29	
All Saints CE Junior School	6	24	5	9	44	
Altwood Church of England School	4	8	0	3	15	
Alwyn Infant School	14	4	2	2	22	
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School	21	6	6	8	41	
Braywick Court School	5	1	0	4	10	
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	2	0	0	1	3	
Cookham Dean CE Primary School	2	0	3	2	7	
Cookham Nursery School	1	0	0	0	1	
Cookham Rise Primary School	0	1	0	0	1	
Courthouse Junior School	11	5	2	2	20	
Cox Green School	10	15	5	3	33	
Desborough College	16	9	4	10	39	
Forest Bridge School	2	0	0	0	2	
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham	1	1	0	1	3	
Holyport C of E Primary school & Foundation Unit	7	1	2	1	11	
Holyport College	1	2	0	0	3	
Knowl Hill C of E Academy	1	0	0	0	1	
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School	22	6	4	19	51	
Lowbrook Academy	40	20	2	2	64	
Maidenhead Nursery School	1	0	2	1	4	
Manor Green School	4	2	3	0	9	
Newlands Girls' School	9	5	2	8	24	
Oldfield Primary School	47	1	3	17	68	
Other	28	7	4	24	63	
Riverside Primary School and Nursery	1	0	0	0	1	
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School	9	1	4	5	19	
St Luke's Church of England Primary School	18	3	6	23	50	
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	12	4	8	21	45	
The Furze Platt Primary Federation	22	6	5	6	39	
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School	1	3	0	0	4	
Wessex Primary School	8	45	2	3	58	
White Waltham C of E Academy	3	1	2	0	6	
Woodlands Park Primary & Nursery School	6	7	0	1	14	

LOWBROOK PROPOSAL - LOWBROOK COMMUNITY

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
336	74	22.0%

Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***
58	1	5	10


*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the school + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.

'Local residents' also (on this page) only includes those in the roads immediately around the site.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy from 30 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
40	30	2	72
56%	42%	3%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of , by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents
No. responding	58	1	5	10
Yes, I agree...	34 (61%)	1 (100%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
No, I do not agree...	20 (36%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (100%)
I don't know...	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
I have no view...	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]

ST LUKE'S PROPOSAL - ALL RESPONSES

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
13,100	722	5.5%


Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations...
578	28	80	88	51

*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand St Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
254	109	83	446
57%	24%	19%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of St Luke's Church of England Primary School, by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
No. responding	578	28	80	88	51
Yes, I agree...	229 (59%)	12 (75%)	26 (42%)	11 (42%)	13 (54%)
No, I do not agree...	90 (23%)	2 (13%)	24 (39%)	8 (31%)	4 (17%)
I don't know...	67 (17%)	2 (13%)	12 (19%)	7 (27%)	7 (29%)
I have no view...	[192]	[12]	[18]	[62]	[27]

ST LUKE'S PROPOSAL - RESPONSES BY SCHOOL OF RESPONDENT

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



Where a respondent has indicated they are a parent of a child at a school, a governor or a member of staff at a school, their response has been included in the table below.

Respondents may be counted at several different schools, but only once at any single school.

4. Summary of views on the proposed expansion by school of respondent.

School of respondent	Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total	
A private nursery, playgroup or daycare centre	15	2	2	10	29	
All Saints CE Junior School	9	22	4	9	44	
Altwood Church of England School	4	6	2	3	15	
Alwyn Infant School	12	3	2	5	22	
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School	23	9	2	7	41	
Braywick Court School	3	2	0	5	10	
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	1	0	0	2	3	
Cookham Dean CE Primary School	2	3	0	2	7	
Cookham Nursery School	1	0	0	0	1	
Cookham Rise Primary School	0	0	1	0	1	
Courthouse Junior School	11	7	0	2	20	
Cox Green School	11	6	6	10	33	
Desborough College	18	4	4	13	39	
Forest Bridge School	2	0	0	0	2	
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham	1	1	0	1	3	
Holyport C of E Primary school & Foundation Unit	5	1	2	3	11	
Holyport College	0	2	0	1	3	
Knowl Hill C of E Academy	0	1	0	0	1	
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School	15	7	7	22	51	
Lowbrook Academy	19	4	9	32	64	
Maidenhead Nursery School	2	1	0	1	4	
Manor Green School	3	1	3	2	9	
Newlands Girls' School	8	4	2	10	24	
Oldfield Primary School	35	5	5	23	68	
Other	29	8	8	18	63	
Riverside Primary School and Nursery	1	0	0	0	1	
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School	9	2	2	6	19	
St Luke's Church of England Primary School	28	16	4	2	50	
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	9	9	8	19	45	
The Furze Platt Primary Federation	22	5	5	7	39	
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School	1	3	0	0	4	
Wessex Primary School	11	4	14	29	58	
White Waltham C of E Academy	4	0	1	1	6	
Woodlands Park Primary & Nursery School	7	2	0	5	14	

ST LUKE'S PROPOSAL - ST LUKE'S COMMUNITY

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
351	54	15.4%

Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***
45	3	2	4


*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the school + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.

'Local residents' also (on this page) only includes those in the roads immediately around the site.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand St Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
28	18	5	51
55%	35%	10%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of , by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents
No. responding	45	3	2	4
Yes, I agree...	24 (56%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
No, I do not agree...	15 (35%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)
I don't know...	4 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
I have no view...	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1]

ST MARY'S PROPOSAL - ALL RESPONSES

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
13,100	722	5.5%


Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations...
578	28	80	88	51

*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 30 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
217	130	76	423
51%	31%	18%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of St Mary's Catholic Primary School, by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
No. responding	578	28	80	88	51
Yes, I agree...	195 (54%)	9 (56%)	31 (51%)	9 (33%)	11 (42%)
No, I do not agree...	111 (31%)	4 (25%)	21 (34%)	7 (26%)	5 (19%)
I don't know...	56 (15%)	3 (19%)	9 (15%)	11 (41%)	10 (38%)
I have no view...	[216]	[12]	[19]	[61]	[25]

ST MARY'S PROPOSAL - RESPONSES BY SCHOOL OF RESPONDENT

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021

Where a respondent has indicated they are a parent of a child at a school, a governor or a member of staff at a school, their response has been included in the table below.

Respondents may be counted at several different schools, but only once at any single school.



4. Summary of views on the proposed expansion by school of respondent.

School of respondent	Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total	
A private nursery, playgroup or daycare centre	12	2	2	13	29	
All Saints CE Junior School	6	22	6	10	44	
Altwood Church of England School	2	5	2	6	15	
Alwyn Infant School	9	5	2	6	22	
Boyne Hill C of E Infant and Nursery School	20	8	3	10	41	
Braywick Court School	3	2	0	5	10	
Burchetts Green CE Infant School	1	0	0	2	3	
Cookham Dean CE Primary School	3	2	1	1	7	
Cookham Nursery School	1	0	0	0	1	
Cookham Rise Primary School	0	0	0	1	1	
Courthouse Junior School	8	8	1	3	20	
Cox Green School	13	4	6	10	33	
Desborough College	17	3	4	15	39	
Forest Bridge School	2	0	0	0	2	
Holy Trinity C of E Primary School, Cookham	2	0	0	1	3	
Holyport C of E Primary school & Foundation Unit	3	3	2	3	11	
Holyport College	0	2	0	1	3	
Knowl Hill C of E Academy	1	0	0	0	1	
Larchfield Primary and Nursery School	13	4	4	30	51	
Lowbrook Academy	17	5	7	35	64	
Maidenhead Nursery School	3	0	1	0	4	
Manor Green School	3	1	3	2	9	
Newlands Girls' School	6	7	0	11	24	
Oldfield Primary School	31	7	2	28	68	
Other	23	12	6	22	63	
Riverside Primary School and Nursery	1	0	0	0	1	
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary School	12	1	2	4	19	
St Luke's Church of England Primary School	17	10	6	17	50	
St Mary's Catholic Primary School	11	32	2	0	45	
The Furze Platt Primary Federation	20	7	3	9	39	
Waltham St Lawrence Primary School	1	3	0	0	4	
Wessex Primary School	10	3	11	34	58	
White Waltham C of E Academy	3	1	1	1	6	
Woodlands Park Primary & Nursery School	8	2	1	3	14	

ST MARY'S PROPOSAL - ST MARY'S COMMUNITY

RESULTS AS AT: FRIDAY 1ST OCTOBER 2021



No. of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
335	49	14.6%

Responses from parents/carers**	Responses from governors**	Responses from school staff**	Responses from local residents***
41	1	5	4


*Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. of families at the school + staff, governors, & addresses in local roads.

**Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

***'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.

'Local residents' also (on this page) only includes those in the roads immediately around the site.

1. Views of all respondents on the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 30 to 60 places per year group.




2. Views of all respondents, excluding those who answered 'I have no view' to this question.

Many respondents chose not to give any view on the proposal. With these responses removed, the numbers and percentages are:

Yes, I agree...	No, I do not agree...	I don't know...	Total
11	35	2	48
23%	73%	4%	100%

3. Percentage breakdown of views on proposed expansion of , by category of respondent.

The percentage breakdown in the chart and table excludes 'No view'.



	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents
No. responding	41	1	5	4
Yes, I agree...	8 (20%)	1 (100%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)
No, I do not agree...	31 (76%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	3 (100%)
I don't know...	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
I have no view...	[0]	[0]	[0]	[1]

144

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8197	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The school is declining (as noted in the ofsted report) so should concentrate on getting back to its previous standards. The loss of the swimming pool would be a great shame as it raises funds for the school and these funds pay for its upkeep. It's a real asset to the school and it's less safe to transport children off site. A drop off system would cause excess traffic on the cookham road and would damage the community spirit of the school. I don't believe the increase is needed when other schools have many vacancies e.g Bisham.

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, don't agree with exit onto Cookham Road

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (BISHAM), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8198	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: School should be non religion school run by passionate people about the education of our children. It could be a parent but not an organisation.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: We moved around 5 times school in primary (different countries and region in UK)and from experience small schools provided better follow up to kids. I am not in favour of expanding any school.However, I noticed that some parents leave their kids on the same school even if they moved and are not in the same catchment area. I think that the council/school should offer these spaces to local resident instead unless there is no waiting list and the kid does not have a space on his new catchment area.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

GENERAL: Make children move schools when they move out of area

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8199
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The school is already extremely busy and with one single, small entrance on North Town road which is already a bit of a choke point, traffic being particularly bad (especially with cars forcing their way in to and out of the church car park) an additional 15 pupils per year group would only make it worse.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking impact on St Joseph's Church car-park

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8200	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The school cannot adequately support my child diagnosed with sever dyslexia due to funding and number of pupils currently in the classroom so increasing number will clearly make it worse for any child with a disability of any nature. We are having to look at private school to ensure adequate education is received. Increased number would impact this more and stretch the school further than it is already stretched. I believe the teachers and Associate Head would like to support children more but they can't in their current position let alone with more children.

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8201	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Why not expand Oldfield school?

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Community run

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Logistically it doesn't work, site is too small for expansion and minimising impact on current students is near on impossible. The location cannot cope with foot fall traffic with narrow pavements let alone higher amounts of non school traffic and extra school traffic. To say a staggered system works is naive and placing safety of the child at risk. Expand the pavement, divert traffic and purchase land and then it would be feasible, currently the plans look to be hitting a square peg in a round hole and just increasing the hammer size to solve the problem. Why is there no plan to expand Oldfield, much more room and less busy route. Summary: Plan needs greater focus on safety getting to the site as high traffic flow, poor infrastructure and extra children does not equal a happy conclusion. Impact on existing children on a micro site will be too great, distraction and ability to catch children up after the devastation of covid will be impossible when adding more upheaval and child to the mix

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The B4447 is already congested at drop off and pick up times for all the schools being served, narrow pavements and congestion adding more cars and children will not help.

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, staggered start/finish times won't work

ST LUKES: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - expand Oldfield Primary School (on its current site)

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8202	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I might want to understand whether the school would be able to expand their teachers and staff count to match the headcount. Also, they have mentioned in the proposal that they are limited in play ground space. If a situation like Covid arise, it would be difficult to maintain the bubbles with lack of space. The headteacher and the council should be able to consider these before choosing to expand. Also, St lukes is currently holds an outstanding report with regards to Ofsted, increasing the count could jeopardise the status.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

ST LUKES: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8203	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No Pta

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not agree, the school needs updating but not rebuilding, it will loose its community feel, the children love all the green space they have, they will loose that which will effect their wellbeing, there is an abundance of wildlife in the school garden, some of which is protected, parking will become a nightmare, to many cars park on residential streets already, this will just become worse, a two storey building will also be an eyesore. When the golf course becomes a housing estate a new school will be built there meaning there is no need for Larchfield to expand. If you wish to increase the amount of pupils then why cant a single storey extension be built, leaving the school fields and playgrounds alone? Also i think the noise level of 400 kids attending a school in a housing estate like Larchfield will be a nuisance

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook has plenty of space to expand

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - should invest in buildings, but not expand

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - two storey building would be intrusive for neighbours

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - impact of noise of more children on neighbours

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - won't need it when new primary built on golf course

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8204	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Being local to Larchfield, it is extremely difficult with traffic in the morning. This should be considered if the school is expanded. The idea of opening on Larchfield road with maybe a drop off system is good.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: To expand the school from 45 to 60 will allow children to be back in a sole year group. I am in big favour of that. My child is struggling with the Year 1/Year 2 system and I do not like it myself. HOWEVER the swimming pool shouldn't be removed! There is plenty of space for the new build. Why closing down the swimming pool? Children need different forms of exercise! St Marys has zero bus to drive children to a swimming pool. That means that it will be expensive to actually bring them from st marys to braywick! For once that they have the chance to not only run one mile in the boring playground but explore other forms of exercise in a fun manner we should punish them? I like the idea of the drop off system. It is currently a big issue with traffic and we should be glad no accidents happened so far.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

ST MARYS : SUPPORT - traffic and parking, agree with exit onto Cookham Road

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8205
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Lowbrook Academy	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook Academy is an outstanding school which offers outstanding education and wider opportunities to all its students. The current set up with some year groups single form and some two-form is both hard to manage from a staffing point of view and also a shame for parents wishing to send their children to the academy

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs across year groups is difficult for school to manage

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8206	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I think the most useful type of school would be a through primary from 4-11 years run as a free school or academy

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The school would need funding to build more classrooms, a hall to accommodate the whole school for assemblies etc, more toilet facilities otherwise the school cannot accommodate more children without causing a detrimental effect to the children already at the school. In order to accommodate 60 places in the year groups in which they have already expanded, the school had to remove their library which is unfair on the current children and also they already do not have space for whole school events as the hall is too small. Toilets and cloakroom facilities are also tight. The school was all set to expand with council funding a few years ago but the council reneged on the deal and the school does not have the funds to be able to do this themselves. Full funding of the expansion would need to be provided. I understand that plans are already drawn up and land next to the school where it adjoins Cox Green School had also been acquired.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as expansion was previously promised

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal will need to be fully funded

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8207	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: The school needs to be near the new housing

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A Church Of England school should be opened to provide a great education and cultural balance.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Larchfield is best placed to accommodate the demand from the new housing in Central Maidenhead so should be expanded.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook offers the best education in the area to children and is close to the demand, so why not expand it?

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The expansion should only be done once the traffic situation on Cookham Road is addressed...the school has been hit by speeding cars showering bricks from the wall into the playground and hitting the school itself, the bollards are frequently hit by cars, there are no cameras or safe crossing places by the school entrance so parents and children often have to our-run cars exceeding 50 mph, some over 70mph.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: This school has the infrastructure in lace to support extra pupils, unlike most of the others.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8208
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Schools are needed and 18 months ago we lost one in Burnham. I don't see the benefit of a school with a religious character or run by particular organisation, I'd like to see a school open to all and that naturally feeds into the secondary school, we need

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Class sizes far to large !!

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: class sizes far to large

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

ST LUKES: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8209	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Windsor Girls School, Bray P	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: There appears to be a huge focus on Bray. Braywick Court, Oldfield and now suggestion of a new school where the Forest School is. Why is this? Surely you need to consider other areas in Maidenhead such as Boulter's/Riverside where there is a very clear shortage of schools? Sure, open one in the old Forest School, it makes sense to have more schools but also think about all the other areas too.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I have no opinion aside from obviously ambitions to make it an excellent school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just south east Maidenhead

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8210	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not think it should be expanded at the expense of potentially losing the Pre-School and the outdoor swimming pool (which has been part of the school for many many years) and although it is stated this is expensive to run, the is mostly paid for by the Parents of the school. The school has had a lot of upheaval lately, with an interim head teacher, a new deputy and lots of new teachers. This is the last thing we need. Traffic is an absolute nightmare now because there is no parking etc so it won't work if you have even more children at the school. Bisham School is undersubscribed. There is a new school in Bray and the other was shut.

The other reason myself and lots of other Parents send their children to St Mary's is because it is a Catholic school and should stay that way. I would honestly be astounded if this went ahead

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (BISHAM), so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose pre-school group

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

ST MARYS: PROPOSAL - should remain as a Catholic school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8211	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Braywick Court School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: A new school on that site will significantly increase traffic on an already busy route. A significant number of children at Braywick Court live within walking distance of Holyport Primary. By increasing a school which already has classroom and playground capacity for an additional 30 pupils a year and ensuring local children attend that school rather than travelling by car to Braywick Court would release more places for the increase in requirement around the Oldfield and Braywick area and actually decrease traffic in the area at rush hour.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Increasing the CofE provision at Holyport would be the best option.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I'm concerned on the traffic implications but don't have much of a view.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am not sure why they reverted to 30 a year after taking four years of 60 but it seems sensible to increase.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: 2 classes of 30 a year rather than mixed age group classes has to be a benefit

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: 2 classes of 30 a year rather than mixed age group classes has to be a benefit

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - other schools have empty places (HOLYPORT), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8212	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I feel this is too close to Oldfield and would cause havoc in the morning round an already congested area. The bray road is extremely busy at drop off time as are the roads around chiltern road for parents parking. Another school in such close proximity could be carnage with cars and traffic not only for the parents and school, but for the residents who live in that area.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

101

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8213	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A religious character school nearly always have a history in achieving good academic results. They also attract a caring community.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I would be concerned about local road traffic in that area as there is only one main road with Desborough College nearby. The road in the morning during school hours, when non lockdown is at a standstill.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Within that local area there are already so many schools (Wessex, Altwood, St Edmunds Campion, Cox Green, Manor Green, Patchwork Montessori Nursery, Ridgway to name a few), increasing the school places would create more traffic on the surrounding roads, which in normal non lockdown are normally gridlocked. Plus you would need to consider rush hour work traffic, when everything is back to normal.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8214	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: It seems the council are only concerned with cramming children (and parents dropping off and picking up) into existing spaces. There are very few school sites in Maidenhead now that teach the number of children they were designed for. I know building new schools is a more expensive option but it is a must at some point. Our children deserve schools fit for purpose.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Build new schools. Don't cram children into existing sites. If it is not affordable then increase council tax.

103

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Im not in favour of expanding school places but I think its right that the school should have the same number of children in each year group.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Im not in favour of expanding school places but I think its right that the school should have the same number of children in each year group.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Im not in favour of expanding school places but I think its right that the school should have the same number of children in each year group.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs across year groups is difficult for school to manage

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8215	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: 1. The school standards have considerably declined in recent years as noted in the last Ofsted inspection, there is currently no permanent head. In my opinion, restoring the standards of the school, appointing a new head and management team should be a priority for the education and welfare of the current students. 2. The swimming pool is noted as being rarely used, this isn't true and it's used by the children twice a week in the summer and used by the school community outside of school hours. The funds raised by running a pool club pays for the maintenance and upkeep of the pool and also is the biggest fundraiser for the PTA/FOSM. It's a great asset for the school and its community. It would be detrimental to lose this facility. I also think it's less safe to take children off site for swimming which in my opinion would not happen and the children would have less of a swimming experience. 3. The building works will directly affect current students (May mean they are moved off site?). This will be a great disadvantages to the current students who have lost almost a year due to lockdown, especially when provisions during the 1st lockdown were inadequate. I believe this will affect their education and mental welfare. 4. I believe that the school have been able to offer all Catholic children a place that have applied and that other criteria children are admitted, suggesting that more places aren't required for Catholic children. 5. Other local schools are not fulfilling all places e.g Bisham have only admitted 10 children when there is 30 places available. 6. Traffic on the cookham road will be increased and potentially create a backlog from cars queueing to get into the drop off system, as I have witnessed around Edmund Campion School. This is a major road going into Central Maidenhead and has three primary schools positioned on it so would cause major disruption. 7. I think schools are/can be a heart of the community and a support to new parents. I also think it's important for parents to meet other parents as it's important for mental well-being. Removing parents from site via a drop off system is not beneficial to some parents and also not to the school as it creates a disconnect between parents and the school. 8. We can't constantly be

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

ST MARYS: MODIFY - there is not sufficient demand for Catholic places

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (BISHAM), so why expansion?

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

adding to sites that are not adequate because of new houses/increased population in the town but instead should be looking at new school that are adequate are built at the same time as new housing.

165

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8216	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: A new primary school, would be great in said area.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I feel it should have diversity like to all backgrounds, not based on religion, abilities or disabilities.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am worried about the size of the school, and it would be a real shame for students to lose too much of the out door school grounds. Especially with current circumstances out door learning is proving to work and be a real benefit to children and teachers. I also worry about the impact on local neighbourhood and parking issues we already see and overloads of parents flocking their children to school.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I feel lowbrook expansion would be a great asset to Cox green/wood lands Park and would hopefully not overcrowd near by schools.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - investment will be good for the local community

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8217
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	John Hampden and Wycom	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Having spent 7 years driving past our local school (Oldfield closest although outside catchment), thus contributing to traffic issues in the area, I would welcome children being able to go to school in the area in which they live so that they can walk to school. That said - unless some regulation is put on parents to stop using their cars for "silly distances that could be walked" which I doubt would occur, i think it would be madness to have two schools so close to each other in Bray/Fisheries area. I doubt the residents there would be very pleased unless you banned car drop offs.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will enable children to attend a local school

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most pupils should be walk or cycling to school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8218	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Braywick Court School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A free primary school, with priority given to those who live closest to the school. It would be lovely if BPET could run this new school, as I am very impressed with the running of Braywick Court School. A school similar to Oldfield or Braywick would be

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8219
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Lowbrook Academy	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Children in the area would benefit highly to more school places, having more places at a school without religious character would help more families place their child into a school they feel best represents their own more broad prospective on religious beliefs.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - will increase the number of non-religious places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8220
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My concern is there is not enough parking space and already huge traffic builds up during school time , therefore I feel without improving accessibility it would be difficult if the number of children will be extended. The other concern is whether the school has got enough space to accommodate extra pupils without compromising on quality of the education.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8221	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: The site at Chiltern Rd was supposed to be sold for development into new homes as was the financial argument for building the new school on Bray Rd. However the site was then leased to Forest Bridge which was supposed to be only for a year, however lasted 5 or 6 years with additional temporary classrooms being added during that period. The increased level of vehicle traffic has been a nightmare all these years with many close misses of pedestrians, mostly school children being run over, as well as actual accidents on the corner of Chiltern Road directly outside the school. Many residents are simply fed up of the increased risk this traffic poses and as a parent that walks my child to school on Bray Rd and back see near misses every other day. My daughter has been asking for some time to be able to walk to school and back on her own as she wishes to be independent and I have to say No, not because she is not able, but due to the very poor driving and observation skills of the numerous vehicles present at school start and end time, most of which was from Forest Bridge before it moved, but also from Oldfield where the parents are parking on Chiltern Rd to then walk to Bray Rd. This was never the case with the old Oldfield school as it was never allowed for cars to come onto the school site, and so parents knew that walking was the best option. I understand that you are looking to introduce car drop off/pick up facility on the site which will mean that there will be the same level of traffic as when Forest Bridge were at the site. In addition the level of pollution coming from parked cars whilst waiting for the children is a risk to health, especially now with the prevalence of the Covid Virus. For these reasons and more I cannot stress enough that the site should not be run as a school in future and instead it be sold off for development of homes in keeping with the existing homes on Chiltern Rd, i.e. not flats of which there have been an abundance already in recent years.

171

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, onsite drop-off will encourage more traffic

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, brings more pollution

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - sell the site for family homes

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8222	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I have lived on Larchfield Road for 14 years and have seen the flow of traffic increase over time, we have residents parking only now for most of Larchfield Road but this is never monitored and has not stopped school parents parking by our house. The road is already used as a cut through from Norreys Drive and speeding cars are a regular occurrence. With the traffics being doubled it is a disaster waiting to happen

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8223
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Braywick Court School	Member of staff at:	Braywick Court School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	Yes I work at Braywick Court School

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: This is a fantastic site for a new school however the building does appear to be in disrepair. As nearby Oldfield and Braywick Court both have new buildings it would seem appropriate to the success of a new school that a significant modernisation or rebuild at Chiltern Road is necessary.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: As a 1FE school is appropriate for that site the financial viability of a small school needs to be considered. With that in mind a school run by a MAT would surely be a safer long term option.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

173

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - site will need a significant amount of investment

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8224	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Parking or drop off or even times of the school day starting need to be considered

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A free school with no religion would be sensible given the number of people who have a religious belief now a days

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Pick up and drop would need to be addressed as the area and roads are full with parked cars and there is a lot of speeding up and down larchfield.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It's an extremely popular school so expansion is lovely. But likely to have issues again with pick up and drop off which need to be addressed. Additionally an expansion to the catchment areas for the schools would be useful

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Extension is good but the parking for the school is less than ideal. The danger of using the car park is maddening. An alternative entrance could be placed at the back of the car park straight into the school. Avoiding the need to walk towards and through the cars turning in and out of the car park. Most parents drive as they are going straight onto work.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL - council should be getting S106 funds for new school places

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

GENERAL: consider making changes to the school designated areas

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8225	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: In the very close proximity, of Chiltern Road we already have a nursery, the Oldfield School and Braywick School. There is already a high volume of car and bus traffic into/out of Chiltern Road, Bray Road and surrounding area. The incremental traffic would create more volume on Chiltern Road, higher journey times, resident parking issues and further delays during the winter months with bad weather. I recommend this is not safe for children or households in the vicinity.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Lowbrook would be a good option such that the school has a class year of 60 students which is in synch with Oldfield Primary School at least where my daughter attends in year 1. it is a great school and has rave OFSTED reviews

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

175

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8226	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No faith schools.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: In theory I agree with expanding it, but the traffic is already a nightmare and I don't see how we can accommodate it. You know there are cars parked along the length of Larchfield Rd and there is also a bus stop right next to it. We struggle to drive safely along this road already, weaving in and out of parked cars. I don't think we can accommodate more traffic (provide a school bus instead?) and also with the Golf Course development just over the road from the school, we could have hundreds and hundreds more cars!

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - will be very close to the golf course development

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8227	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Highfield Preparatory School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I support opening a school here. Children in parts of Kingsquarter no longer get into Oldfield due to the increase in housing over the past few years. Children are instead re-directed to Wessex which is the other side of town, there is a desperate need for primary and secondary age places in this part of Maidenhead.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Free school similar to Braywick

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Not an appropriate site to accommodate a larger school

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will enable children to attend a local school

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8228	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am a local resident, I see no details in the proposal regarding local traffic or parking. The current issues are now getting out of control. If adding between 30 and 60 places goes ahead this could mean between 30 and 60 additional methods of transport. What is being put in place to protect the children and local residents from the additional traffic and horrendous driving of some of the parents / careers.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8229
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No Ward councillor for Cox Green

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook Academy is a fantastic education provider and has previously attempted to expand in previous years. There is demonstrable demand for the high-quality education they provide and they have the space to expand into. This is an opportunity to correct the errors of the previous expansion attempt and ensure that as many children as possible can access an Outstanding education.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as expansion was previously promised

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8230	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My mother lives opposite Lad Shield school, they experience constant problems with cars being parked in front of their drive. Parents also have the audacity to reverse into her drive, which is technically trespass. If you increase the children it will be help for those living there.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8231	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Ginger Jolley Pre School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: no views

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As a parent of the school I was initially drawn to the fact it was a small school with one class entry per year. Whilst I can see the benefits of growing the school with the new building etc I wonder how this might affect the school and the way they interact and know each child.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook is a popular school which cannot accommodate all the catchment area as well a siblings of existing pupils

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8232	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Furze Platt Senior School	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Re using the school buildings is important in order to lower RBWM's carbon footprint

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: non - religious character. The school should be run by RBWM not a free school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Outside space and natural playing fields are very important to children and the environment. I do not believe all weather playing pitches are environmentally friendly. If there are new school places required then a new school should be built on the golf course if this is to be developed in the future.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Outside space and natural playing fields are very important to children. I do not believe this will lower the carbon footprint and I do not think all weather pitches are environmentally friendly.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Outside space and natural playing fields are very important to children and the environment. I do not believe that all weather playing pitches are environmentally friendly.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - won't need it when new primary built on golf course

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - re-use of existing buildings is most carbon effective solution

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8233	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: None

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: They disadvantages far outweigh the advantages in this one. They school will be losing their swimming pool and preschool which are great assets to the school. The new layout would also separate the year groups and classes more and you wouldn't have that community feel as much as before. Removing the courtyard I think is also a bad idea as children spend a lot time on that.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose pre-school group

ST MARYS: AGAINST - want to retain mixed year group teaching

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose the courtyard as part of the scheme

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8234	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I don't believe that the site is big enough and as residents we have more than enough problems with the parents/guardians blocking drives and increased traffic at school start and finish times. The roads are too narrow and can't cope with any more. I would also be concerned about activities outside school hours. There is no consideration for residents and this will make matters worse.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - impact of more out-of-hours activities on neighbours

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8235	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	Wessex Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Careful consideration needs to be given to the organisation leading this school and should incorporate an educational background so full understanding of spending and priorities is appropriate. Previous experience of running a school should be a must.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Concerned that divide between higher achieving children and child with needs in the area. Already crowded drop off and pick up times with congestion of traffic to Lowbrook and long Highway Avenue. Council spending not seen as necessary for this school.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Concerned about the outdoor space and how this will impact on school having to ship children to outdoor space and the time involved with the organisation of this and impact on the childrens learning and interaction time. Could result in rushed lunches, downtime for children to spend with each other and lesson times.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - concern that expansion will perpetuate divide between mainstream higher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8236	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: A school in Chiltern Road would need to plan for parking within the school grounds. There is only one road in and out of the estate and parking for Oldfield School means residents are unable to get in and out at certain times. Parents park across corners and block vision. Double parking means only one way down the middle. When parents are queuing to get out of the road, residents can't get in. The Fisheries has yellow lines so everyone parks in Chiltern and surrounding roads.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: The school should not have a religious character

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8237	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: What will the impact be in the local residents

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Where to start the road already has an extremely large dementia home with out enough car park spaces. We have had to go to permit/ resident only parking due to the lack of car park spaces for residents. There is currently no area for parking for the current 220 children who attend the school at present. The road has a bus service which runs every 20 minutes a nursery and a children's centre all within 200 meters of each other and you want to cram in more in the same area. Looking at all these plans it is obvious that whoever has planned this has given no thought for the local residents. Why is the Oldfield area specifically being targeted for expansion when we are already living on top of each other. Why is the only green space that we have ear marked to have 2000 homes built on it. Does our health and well-being not matter to the RBWM. When our families are unable to breathe due to the massive increase in car fumes and lack of trees. Will we always have to accept what is right for the rich, will money always be the way that the local councillors vote. right now Maidenhead has lost its heart and its community will be lost as people will decide to leave. Families ripped apart as they cannot afford to live here.

187

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Yet again the site isn't big enough

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Haven't you already stated that the site isn't big enough for that amount of children so why do it

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Again you have stated that the school site isn't big enough

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

GENERAL: too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8238	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	.	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I am not familiar with this particular area, and how it would impact on local residents and traffic.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should be a public school that welcomes all. That does not focus on one particular type of religion.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook is a very small school, based within a housing estate. It has one residential road that leads to the school which already causes traffic jams every day. It is also in close proximity to a very large secondary school (Cox Green) so adding more traffic to Lowbrook will have a knock on effect to Cox Green too.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8239	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Larchfield Road is already used as a cut through, with some traffic coming through at a very fast pace. It already gets very congested and the additional traffic will also cause additional parking on the surrounding roads. It is a small local school on a busy thoroughfare and is not a safe, nor appropriate site for a double in numbers of school children.

189

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8240
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
							Representing organisation?
							No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I have 2 areas of real concern.

- Staff parking all day in the roads on the estate.
- Traffic congestion particularly at the Bray Road junction during morning drop off and afternoon pickup.

Please note that parents picking up children from The Existing Oldfield Primary school also use this estate to park. Therefore we will have a major congestion problem during school days.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8241	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Odds Farm Nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: We live along the river in Riverside and all the schools in our catchment area are not within walking distance of our house / are at the other end of Riverside. A school on this site would be significantly closer to Riverside residents that live near the river and would be very welcome.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I recommend it is not a faith. Our family has no religion and this would not assist us in finding a place for our 2 children. I would value a free school being opened on the site.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

191

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will bring more choice locally

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - will provide places for residents in the Riverside ward

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8242	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Not a religious school, ideally LA instead of an Academy

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Close enough to offer places to those in Cox Green and Holyport. Also potential places if the development at the Golf Course happens. Close enough to Desborough Park, Braywick Sports Ground and the Golf Course for P.E and games lessons whilst the school is being built. The school would benefit from a 'kiss and drop' thoroughfare from Larchfield Road to Bargeman Road to keep traffic moving around the estate.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Traffic is already a problem with 3 schools in close proximity

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Increased traffic

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Increased traffic

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - close to parks for temporary off-site playing field space

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8243	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I would like to see that site continue to be used for primary education. Although there will be difficulties with traffic I think overall it is good for the Chiltern Road estate.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I would prefer not to see a religious school. It's a philosophical point of view as I don't think religion should have a role in state education. My preference would be for it to be run by The Borough but I understand it probably needs to be a free school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

103

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8244	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I believe it is better to have bigger schools of 60 children per year rather than lots of smaller schools of 30. That should be financially more attractive but also allows children to interact with a bigger group and be better prepared for secondary school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: This is a great school and with more schools in the area finding a place for our Children has been difficult.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8245	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Patchwork Montessori Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: School should not be of a religious character to allow everyone a fair chance.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am definitely in favour of expanding Lowbrook Academy to 60 places as this will allow local residents such as ourselves to plan ahead and have a great degree of stability both for children and for parents. When the intake drops to 30 places, there will be a huge scampering effort by parents to find accommodation as close as possible to the school. This is something we have personally experienced and it leads to several inflation of property prices in the area.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8246	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	My son hasn't started prima	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Whilst I welcome the additional school places I am concerned which school will end up running it. We are in the Oldfield catchment and have always hoped our son will go there when he starts in Sept 2022, but now I'm concerned that he will be placed in the new school on Chiltern Road without knowing enough information about the school (no Ofsted reports etc). If the new site is run by Oldfield or Braywick then that would give a degree of comfort, but there are too many uncertainties.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I have very good experience of Braywick school (as my son attends the pre-school) and also Oldfield is rated highly. Either of those schools running the new site would be good.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Braywick Court Primary should expand onto the site

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - new school will have no track record

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Oldfield Primary should expand onto the site

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8247	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My issue is that there is not a large amount of outdoor space at St Mary's so to reduce it further would be detrimental. We are trying to encourage our children to be more active and spend more time outdoors so I feel this is counterproductive. Also, my children have had lessons at the swimming pool for many years plus we have been active members during the school holidays. It is suggested that it is not used very much- this is true of the last year but that is due to COVID. I used to be part of FOSM, the St Mary's parents association, and I know that the pool passes paid for a large part of the upkeep. So the cost issue is very misleading. How will it be cheaper to take the children to Braywick?

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8248	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I agree with the proposals to use an already existing site which has served the purpose of providing temporary primary school education previously rather than build elsewhere

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My only concern here would be linked to increased traffic along Cookham Road which is already heavily congested with school traffic as it serves three local primary schools

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As stated in your own plans the site itself is too small for 420 pupils and there is no room for expansion of the site boundaries. St Mary's school have many issues at present and I do not feel they could cope with an expansion with so many recent staff and management/board changes we need a period to settle before implementing further changes. The congestion around St Mary's school is significant at school times, as a parent who lives near to the school and walks to/from school as much as we can, I would be concerned regarding additional traffic. The car park site in my opinion is not large enough to operate an adequate drop off system without causing tailbacks onto Cookham Road and through the Aldebury Road estate and I would strongly object to this unless you are able to implement a separate pedestrian access as there would be more risk to children due to sharing the space directly outside of school with moving cars. St Mary's outside space is limited enough and the site does not have the capacity for increased buildings especially in the case of moving the preschool building onto the school site. I would also be bitterly disappointed to see the pool go. This was absolutely a main factor in us choosing to send our children to the school. The cost of running the pool is 50% covered by the fundraising committee for the school and allows a community feel during holidays when running our pool clubs, it would be such a shame to have this taken away from us and would significantly impact the fundraising for the school. The logistics of arranging transport to a local leisure centres to enable children to carry out swimming must also come with a cost implication. Ultimately I don't see how anything proposed here with the exception of some new/improved buildings would benefit the school.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST MARYS: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, don't agree with exit onto Cookham Road

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - re-use of site is cost effective option

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8249	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I would like to know what other schools in the area have been considered for expansion that are not academies and I am unsure where the increase is coming from.

In reality, local schools are currently under in size and lacking funds to support them. Surely LA funded schools should be looked at first, should be given the funding to help develop their resources/staff. Surely the schools with the most children struggling in many ways should be considered first for funding. Help those children to grow instead of funding 'rich' schools. Wessex is a great school and is currently making redundancies and closing their nursery due to reduced numbers. It is opposite Lowbrook. Why is an academy being given money to expand really? Please also advise on travel plans throughout the area impacted by this expansion.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8250
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	Cookham Rise Primary Scho	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy is apparently due excess school places however in their neighbouring school, not 3 minutes walk away, year group capacity is not being met. Staff members have been made redundant this academic year due to lack of funding which leaves children with less support. As a parent of a child with additional needs on the SEN register at Wessex, I am saddened by this. As a teacher in the Borough I understand budget hardships but find it hard to justify when money is going elsewhere. If there is enough funding to add additional buildings to Lowbrook, why can't these funds be used to help other local schools which have space for children? I worry that with Lowbrook's expansion Wessex will receive even less placements and therefore less funding and result in Wessex moving from two form classes to one. Can anyone guarantee that with Lowbrook's expansion, their neighbouring school and children, not in an academy, will not be adversely affected?

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8251	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: It is already cramped, the parking is dangerous around the school already at drop off and pick times. Closing the school pool would be detrimental for the pupils and it is our best fund raiser for new school resources. The school has no headteacher and has recently lost a majority of staff so now is not a good time to increase the school size!

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST MARYS: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8252	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Claire's Court NurseryPopp	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: A drop off site would still lead to traffic and difficulty pulling out of Aldebury Road. This school is one of my sons choices due to it being a relatively small school. It'd be disappointing if there were to be more pupils and building works disrupting his education.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, don't agree with exit onto Cookham Road

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8253	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Larchfield is a very old building which is not fit for 21st Century learning, I do not believe. The children would benefit from a newer building with newer facilities. For future generations, we need to provide a building that is fit for purpose and I do not believe Larchfield is fit now - it's so dated and dreary.,

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8254	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook has a monopoly in the Cox Green area on pupils already.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Traffic at school drop off and pick up times is ridiculous. People park illegally and dangerously. As a resident it is sometimes impossible to get in or out of the road at peak times. Parents have no consideration for the safety of their children or for local residents. Increasing the number of places will only increase this problem,

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8255	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Sir William Borlase's Gramm	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My 3 children all attended St Mary's and my daughter is there now in year 6. In my opinion there is not room to expand the school and the swimming pool is a huge benefit in the summer. The pool club during the summer generates the most funds out of any fund raising we do as parents and more than covers the cost of the upkeep of the pool. The pool club is also fantastic for even parents with limited funds because for a small sum a family is able to use the pool for the whole of the summer holidays if they wish.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8256	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: If a school is opened on Chiltern Road it could help with the lack of places at Oldfield school. We would love it to be part of Oldfield school as Oldfield is a high performing non-religious school. Many of us in this area are very concerned that housing

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8257
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: My parents live in Chiltern Road and have had problems in the past with parents parking across their drive and not allowing access to their property while waiting to pick children up from school.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No views

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: There is already a bad traffic problem between 8am and 9am then again at from 3pm along the cookham Road and Ray Mill Road West because of the amount of school traffic. You notice a big difference during school holidays. Its OK to say local people can walk but most parents drop their children off on the way to work.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As per my previous comments regarding traffic problems

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most children are driven to school

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8258	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I don't think the school should have a religious view and should be open to all children

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Although I agree and think it would be a great opportunity for children in the area to get into this school I would just worry about if it would disrupt my sons schooling and also my baby would be going to the nursery. When would these works start? Where would the children be going to school when the renovations are happening?

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8259	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I think that it would be a fantastic idea to expand larchfield primary school and nursery because the children love coming to school. Because of the loving family. Larchfield I think I would be great as more parents would love their children to be in that school. Larchfield.

For Larchfield primary school in Maidenhead on Bargeman Road. As a parent I think that the school is amazing the head teacher is fantastic and the staff members are brilliant. They way they all come together and support us the parents to support our children will their learning to help them achieve their goals and do their best. there are lot of parents that would love their children to come to Lachfield primary on Bargeman road because of the whole school that comes together, works together. To help the children do the best as they can be. I would love the school to expand because of the demand places for their child or children to come to that school is because of the love and attention that our children are getting. I think it should expand because of growing demand from parents and the children who would love to get into that school. They deserve it. brilliant school. Great team work. caring for children is their best interest in heart. Amazing school really. My children love it.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Yes Larchfield primary school and nursery because of the love and the care that the children are receiving from their teachers. Amazing school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think it would be beneficial for the children more with learning equipment which will help the children with their learning. And playground equipment for their development extra

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: None

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: None

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: None

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8260	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: The major issue when occupied by Oldfield School was traffic, particularly lack of onsite parking for staff and visitors. Drop off was chaotic, with Chiltern Road being blocked for up to ten minutes. Drop off must be onsite with restrictions to avoid drop off on Chiltern Road. RBWM must enforce any drop off and waiting restrictions or parents will just ignore these.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: If school is to serve local community, best not to be religious or too restrictive.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8261	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Much required, the school offers staffing and provision for this and has been campaigning for a while. There are 3 schools in v.close proximity and despite this the traffic and access to school is perfectly fine. The provision will allow siblings to have a better chance to accessing a place which should affect traffic as parents are mostly dropping off 1 child anyway. Further catchments is all within walking distance.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as expansion was previously promised

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - traffic and parking, not an issue

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8262
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	White Waltham C of E Acad	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I think the school should have a religious character.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I think right now the focus should be on children and what they have missed. I think building and project work will bring too much disruption to students and families now. Covid-19 has meant that children have missed out so much. Demolition, building work at any of the other sites will have a negative impact on students emotional, mental and learning outcomes. As much as school will try to minimise this the children who attend the school will be impacted and it's not fair on them.

I think later down the line - Lowbrook should certainly explore expanding. It's a positive thing. The timing is not right.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8263
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	Wessex Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I do not feel that demand for places in Southwest Maidenhead requires Lowbrook Academy to open up to 60 pupils in every year group. The projection states that the area will only be 15 places short in September 2024 and this includes pupils travelling from other areas of Maidenhead. These 15 places could be covered by the expansion of the other schools/schools in other areas of Maidenhead, therefore preventing the need for pupils to travel from other areas to Southwest Maidenhead to fill the extra 30 spaces, 15 of which will not be required and may leave either Woodlands Park or Wessex Primary schools short on intake as shown with the September 2020 intake when Lowbrook Academy opened a bulge class that wasn't needed. The proposal says more pupils would mean more funding, but other Southwest Maidenhead schools would lose out on funding if Lowbrook Academy admits 60 pupils in every year group causing less uptake of places in other Southwest Maidenhead schools.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8264	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Wessex Primary School	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I feel strongly that Lowbrook school should not be expanded to 60 places permanently. The impact this would have on the traffic situation would be extremely dangerous to local residents walking their children to school. Although most of the pupils who attend Lowbrook should be from the local area a vast majority of parents drive making it dangerous for those of us who walk. I also feel this decision would have a negative financial impact on Wessex. The site at Wessex is much bigger so I feel if new school places are needed they should be offered at the site already set up and ready for them.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8265	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: This will have a negative affect on local area, with traffic already terrible and people parking over pedestrian footpaths. It will cause further danger to people lucky enough to walk their children. Equally, an increase in pollution in areas where children walk is an unnecessary danger of childhood asthma.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8266	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I am in the Riverside Ward and I live closer to the Chiltern site, than to the three primary schools in my catchment area (all which are in the north of the Ward. I believe the south of the Riverside ward would be well served by having access to a primary school within walking distance for young children and to meet the Borough's sustainability agenda.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No view

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will enable children to attend a local school

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - will provide places for residents in the Riverside ward

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8267	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Shoppenhangers Road is already chaos so additional traffic will be awful. Unless these places are only for local kids who walk then we don't want any more 4x4s clogging up the residential streets with engines running and angry parents jostling for a space.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most pupils should walk or cycle

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8268	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There are already sufficient school places in this area, Wessex being an excellent school, however is not currently at capacity. To increase spaces at lowbrook is an unnecessary expansion. If this were to attract more people to the area the traffic / congestion situation in the area would also be totally unmanageable.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8269
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Our town needs a progressive school, different to any in the area. There is no option locally for parents to send their children to schools with a more dynamic and holistic approach to learning. Every school expects children to fit into a round hole. A

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8270	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Although i can understand all the points put in this proposal, the situation regarding access to the school still has not been addressed. The document even admits this saying that the 'kiss and drop' procedures have not been sorted. However, I do not feel that this alone would solve the problem of congestion, which has got worse as more parents seeme to be dropping off with cars. Although the document states that most children who attend the school could walk to school this is definitely not the case. The 'stand off' with cars trying to enter and exit The Fairway at drop off and pick up is dangerous. Cars will not move and there is no flow of traffic. There is little consideration of the children walking and trying to cross the road and the 'neighbours' who may be trying to exit the road. Poor parking of cars whilst drop off/pick up is happening causes movement of cars to stop. If there were an emergency it would be hard to get in or out of this area. Cars exiting the car park very often do not stop to see if anyone is on the road, assuming that as it is a cul de sac and no one will be coming around the corner. I cannot see this improving and only made worse by the expansion of the school. It is a shame that this has not been addressed in the document with some workable suggestions on how this could be improved if the expansion took place. There also needs to be some review of any suggestions to check that they are feasible and workable.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for emergency services

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8271	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: We were told building the new school on Bray road would be better for residents of chiltern road but it has not improved so opening up the old school would bring more traffic problems. Our driveway is blocked with parents cars and we are unable to get in and out as they leave the cars unattended. Also the pollution from the traffic at school runs means I am unable to open my windows as I am have breathing problems and lastly it becomes a problem for emergency services getting access to properties and I encountered this problem with an ambulance struggling to get to us during school finishing times.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, brings more pollution

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8272	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Under school age - due to g	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: There is a limited number of quality schools in Maidenhead forcing house moves and parents to even look at funding independent schools.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should not have a religious character attached as this then reduces the option for parents who are not religious and puts us to the bottom of the list. It should be open to all, it should consider academic and non academic teaching, outdoor and indoor,

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will bring more choice locally

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8273	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: We need a school that isn't a standard school or a special school but a school that can cater for the children who don't learn sitting at a desk or in the conventional way. My son has ADHD and struggles to sit and learn he needs to do and learn. We seem to have schools for everyone else but these children and the children with mild asd or just general children that are not sheep. It's so sad

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Please see previous comment.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

223

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The traffic is busy enough

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - for SEND pupils (with or without EHCPs)

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8274	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Clares Court	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: There are already two primary schools in very close proximity. Extra places should be prioritised in other areas of Maidenhead. It is also already very busy on the estate with Oldfield parents parking on the estate, it could not cope with more cars coming in and out.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: This is a hugely oversubscribed 'Outstanding' school which is very popular with parents and I feel the expansion would be very much welcomed with little negative impact on the local community.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Despite being an 'Outstanding' school it is not a hugely popular choice for many parents

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not expand as the school is not popular with parents

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - investment will be good for the local community

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

GENERAL - need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just south east Maidenhead

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8275	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I absolutely agree that Lowbrook academy should be able to offer 60 places in all year groups. I have 3 out of 5 children at Lowbrook, if the school can't take 60 pupils per year this will lower the chances for parents getting siblings into their chosen school. My children continue to thrive at Lowbrook even with an intake of 60 per year.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8276	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	Forest Bridge School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: We should have some religious schools for all pupils for Muslims and Sikhs in our local borough. We should also consider more places in schools with also a larger area range for catchment or work related areas too. For example if a parent works near the t

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8277	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: You are suggesting there is a need in Cox Green for Primary School expansion even though we are aware that Wessex currently has spaces and I am not aware of any new housing in the area or developments for such. In my view, as Lowbrook is an academy, the money should first go to state-funded schools, the ones we pay for. As we are all aware, Wessex is currently losing staff due to lack of numbers and are struggling financially (their recent letter stated a reduction in funding of over £57k per year). Reading the facts, I can't find a need for Lowbrook to be expanding at Wessex expenses. You should be investing in Wessex Primary

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8278	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Again Wessex is easily accessible, an incredible school and has places

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: You've still got spaces at Wessex which is a brilliant school. Wessex is a full state school and not an academy so why are we going to fund the expansion of an academy? It makes no sense to me. Get it right with what you currently have before looking to expand. You could even invest in arts and creativity for students as we all know these are the first things to get cut. Additionally, Wessex is losing a number of staff due to budget restrictions. Replace their funds instead of expanding a local academy.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: You first need to ensure it's safe with the main road. Make it a 10mph speed limit or even car free or one way during school collection and drop off times

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8279	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Why not fill other local school nurseries that already have space. This will have a knock on effect on reception classes too. Such a shame

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Not sure why other excellent schools were not asked if they needed funding to do the same? They can take more children they have the space and more land available. Local money should be spent on local funded schools and not on academy as they receive funding from central government. Local money is scarce with the the borough announcing bankruptcy previously. How do they pay for this? Dangerous road congestion down a small residential road that is already chaos and over loaded. These things need to be more transparent

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8280	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The volume of traffic within cox green is already crazy, we have four schools all within a stones throw of eachother. With two other primary schools in this exact location which both have spaces available and having to make cuts as not enough funding etc and being forced to make redundancies I strongly disagree with the proposals to expand Lowbrook, this is just not needed.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8281	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: As far as I am aware, both Wessex primary and Woodlands Park have space to accept new pupils so I can't understand why an expansion at Lowbrook is necessary. In addition, Wessex primary have had their funding cut and as such have had to let teachers go. How money can be put into an expansion at an Academy when Wessex is in need of that money, is baffling.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8282
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I don't believe there should be an extension when other local schools in the area have spaces. The Borough should focus on those first and get them filled before thinking on extending another school. Those schools need the funding more to bring them up to the level of Lowbrook, then maybe it won't be oversubscribed.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8283	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should not be of a religious status, it should be fully inclusive and open to all. It should not be an Academy.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As this area is closer to town where more and more flats are being built it feels this area may need more provision.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Woodlands Park and Wessex Primary also cover Cox Green and have more space. As both these schools aren't at full capacity (which means their funding now gets reduced), any investment for this area should be provided to these schools to help them increase/maintain their standards and encourage more pupils into these schools rather than all fighting for the more limited spaces at Lowbrook.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8284	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: My personal feeling is that religion should not be part of schooling.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Morning traffic is already very congested at the school. I cannot see how the school could be expanded without huge consideration as to how traffic would be managed. My husband is a former supply teacher and has taught at most of the Maidenhead schools - he can't think of a single one that doesn't have drop off / pick up issues, aside from Riverside School where he works now, because they have a turning circle.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8285	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Little Muddy Me pre-school,	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No Grandmother of child in Little Muddy

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I am in favour of a free school run on forest school principles to offer an alternative education for those families who want this for their children.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I feel strongly that parents, families and children should have the choice of an outdoor based curriculum for all ages. With the current emphasis on well being, each local community needs to increase access to nature. Forest School is a child-centred insp

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Would this still allow enough outdoor space for learning and well being?

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Would this still allow enough outdoor space for learning and well being?

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: This is a small site and it is imperative that children have enough access to an outdoor learning spaces.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Would this still allow enough space for outdoor learning and well being?

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - forest school

CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - outdoor, flexible learning

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8286	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: My concern about a new primary school on the old Oldfield School site is about parking capacity. Currently, construction workers in the town, other town workers, parents of pupils at the new Oldfield school and staff for the Montessori nursery park on Chiltern Rd and neighboring roads. When Forest Bridge was open parking was a major problem. I know there were a lot of staff working at Forest Bridge but my concern is staff parking but most of all parents parking and causing traffic chaos along the main channel through the estate which is Chiltern Road. The accessibility for residents parking and ability for emergency services to access the estate will be compromised. A strong campaign to get parents to walk their children to and from to school (they should be living locally anyway!) or some other strong incentive to prevent parking here should be initiated. I support the school opening but RBWM must respect the local residents too.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

2306

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: No

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8287	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Wessex Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The expansion of Lowbrook will directly impact the intake of Wessex. Currently our 60 place Reception class has 43 chn. Since I have been at Wessex, we have always been full but not last year due to the bulge class intake from Lowbrook. As a result of this a huge £47,000 budget loss was incurred at Wessex and this problem will only continue if Lowbrook is allowed to expand.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8288
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There is already too much traffic on The Fairway and the surrounding areas. Parents driving in for the kiss and drop gate are not considerate of residents on the road and the road and pavements are frequently blocked. The surrounding roads already carry too much traffic with 2 other schools and so it will become unbearable.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8289	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Sir William Borlase	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
							Next door neighbour to Chiltern Road	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Whilst in agreement, we would not be supportive of further development off the existing building footprint and to maintain the existing one-storey structure. There is reference in the consultation to flooding and the need for change to the existing grass playing area. The area has not flooded in the 15+ years we have lived here and given the Jubilee River relief scheme is highly unlikely to do so. We would not be supportive of a change in surface.

Consideration of parking for staff and for a suitable pick-up/drop-off area would be beneficial. Many of the parents of the new Oldfield School site park on the Chiltern Road estate, so there is potential for significant congestion - and therefore road safety issues.

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - building should remain within existing single-storey footprint

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: We believe that should should continue to be run by RBWM, like the previous Oldfield School, as the local authority is best positioned to allocate places fairly and in the interests of the local community and residents.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8290	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	Other	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	In nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Other schools can be expanded. For the love of God think about what the borough is doing if giving to an academy that already has a school in the area. They are not all ran well, you don't want an academy having a monopoly on policies or influence. Especially BPET

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: If can not extend existing schools then a C of E school. Not ran by BPET

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - not an academy

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8291
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Another church school would be good, as a regular member of church myself. However, it should cater to diverse religions and ethnic minorities

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am against any schools expanding. It puts too much strain on resources, teachers and parking

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am very concerned about increasing the number of children to 60 places per year group. This school is very busy and parking is already difficult. This would be a major strain. I also have concerns about the level of education my child will receive if expanding. I think expanding is a terrible idea

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I don't think any school should be expanding, a new school site is needed

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8292	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I don't agree with the expansion of this school when there are possibly school places available in other schools in the area.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No comments for a new School. I feel existing schools have capacity to accommodating more pupils rather than extending an existing school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Larchfield Junior School already has a problem with parking and is in a residential area with not much space. I would prefer that existing schools that do have capacity take an extra intake of pupils. All Saints Junior School in Westborough Road has the capacity for extra pupils and is an excellent school.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I would prefer that schools within the area with the capacity for accepting new pupils be utilized rather than extensions to existing schools. All Saints Junior School has capacity, why not use them.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I would prefer to see existing schools with capacity for accepting new pupils do just that rather than extending existing schools.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I would prefer that schools with capacity to accommodate more pupils be utilized rather than extensions to existing schools built.

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8293
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: One of my children attends All Saints junior school and I know that they have capacity to take an additional 90 children. It doesn't make sense to create a new school and I suggest we strongly consider getting children to schools such as All Saints so that they can operate at capacity. The school have had to make some very difficult decisions lately bringing together years 3&4 and 5&6 children - which is likely to have a considerable impact to the children in the short and longer term. This would also save the £ms on more buildings / capacity when we have the space and resources to already service others.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: N/A - as commented, we shouldn't be considering a new school when there is sufficient capacity across the existing schools.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8294	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: No I don't agree this new school is needed. It is not required and I don't understand why the local authority would waste money this way.....(sorry this is my opinion). My daughter goes to a WONDERFUL school that my eldest daughter also went to which is All Saints Junior School. This is an incredible school. I have also visited St Luke's before making my school choices and I was very unimpressed with St Luke's and chose not to send my daughter here despite it only being around the corner.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I have visited this school for my daughter in the past as it was around the corner from our house and was unimpressed after my visit and cancelled her place. We chose to send her to All Saints instead as it is worth the drive from our house.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

ST LUKES: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8295	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I do not agree with the expansion because THE SITE IS TOO SMALL to accommodate the needs of extra pupils. The pupils currently have to share the use of the hall for activities such as sport, lunch, non academic activities and my child who attends Lowbrook has often missed out on non-academic activities because of the lack of space. Even if it expanded the size of the hall this would reduce the playing area for the children which again is pretty small already. Parking and access is an issue already. It is a residential area and the access road is too narrow so people park on both sides of the road on the pavement which is very dangerous.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8296	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport College	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I do not see how this would work, when the site was not fit for purpose for current Oldfield, This would, I believe effect current Oldfield numbers - as an overflow it is not ideally located and is no closer in distance to town than other sites.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Should be a PRU or similar or sold to raise funding for other sites

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Not overly familiar with the site but any increase in traffic on local area should be considered

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: As a current parent at Lowbrook the traffic situation is awful, due to a change in their admission criteria a lot of parents are no longer local, whilst this has changed recently the traffic has not. It is dangerous and there is no room in the local area for additional parking

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not see how there is room unless St Edmunds house is incorporated. There would be significant traffic implications

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Again, same as St Lukes

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: PROPOSAL - St Edmunds House land should be part of the school

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - expansion will bring children from out of area

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - site will need a significant amount of investment

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - proposal will have a negative impact on Oldfield Primary

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - site is too small

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8297
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: For the same reasons given on previous page.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Recently, a local school in the centre of the area of need (All Saints CE School) has moved from 3 form entry to 2 and a half due to a low number of pupils. Why has this school been made to decrease numbers and restructure when there is a clear need for more school places in the same area? This school is easily able to accommodate 90 pupils per year group without any changes needing to be made to the existing buildings. It seems to me expansion elsewhere is a total waste of time and money.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8298	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Maidenhead Nursery School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Despite the Head saying that most pupils walk or scooter to Lowbrook, the traffic and congestion in the road is terrible. My worry is that by increasing the amount of pupils, the traffic situation would just get worse. Especially if the catchment area is increased to accept children from further away.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - expansion will bring children from out of area

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8299	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should be a inclusive school open for all. It should cater to the kids in the Autism spectrum as well.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There are other schools in the neighbourhood, where there are places available. Wessex Primary School is just a few hundred metres from Lowbrook Academy. The school is vacant places as we speak. Overall, the number of kids in this neighbourhood is not growing. So, why should Lowbrook Academy get more capacity while other schools such as Wessex are subjected to a funding cut? Wessex Primary's funding has been slashed so much that they had to let go of a few teachers. Why this discrimination against Wessex? Lowbrook Academy is a great school but it should not be getting any extra special treatment from the borough especially when the other schools in the vicinity are struggling due to lack of funding. There's no need to increase Lowbrook capacity - as there are open places in Wessex.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8300	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	My youngest child is 4 so will	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: No information has been provided about unused capacity at existing schools. All saints school is having to restructure to mixed year groups due to not having full intake. Surely it makes sense to use existing capacity rather than simply building more and neglecting the existing sites.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8301
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No Headteacher at All Saints CE Junior Sch

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: All Saints survives in part by taking children new to the general Maidenhead area - we have children from all over the town - and increased provision at other sites across the town will reduce the numbers we take in. Currently, we are carrying 90 vacancies against our capacity as children often leave us to go to more local schools if vacancies arise: arrange travel/transport (far cheaper than £ millions spent on new buildings schools) so that we can take the children here - we would be out of deficit and able to deliver a much more powerful provision with the concomitant improvement in income.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Impactful on ASJS: many of our families live on the Larchfield estate and would draw down further our numbers for reasons already stated.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Again, would be impactful on ASJS numbers for reasons already stated and would be detrimental to local traffic.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: This again would draw people away from All Saints CE Juniors and would contribute to higher traffic volumes in that area. As ASJS stands on the Bath Rd we are a main arterial route and not as impactful if volumes of children directed here.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As comments re St Lukes. Also - as with St Lukes - these are academies and it seems inappropriate that the public purse could be used to fund private initiatives.

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: Run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8302
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I strongly believe that there are schools that exist and operate currently. They are staffed and have the facilities however are not used to their full potential. If something like this surely all current school should be used at their full potential and capacity before and further action such as a new school is built. I also believe the funds spent on such a project could be better spent improving the current infrastructure within the Maidenhead local area.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: None as their a current schools not used to their full potential. These schools are religious and not religious and have the potential to fit all requirements.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - invest money in existing school sites instead

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8303	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Given a ground floor, and no more than a further first floor, could suite the surrounding residential area. if this is the case, this will greatly reduces the building footprint from the existing school building which is on ground level only. A reduced building footprint would allow more land use for 1) Outdoor activities
2) Off road parking for staff
3) A drive in and out, controlled drop off and collect system, similar to that successfully used at the Oldfield school in Bray Road. Walking to school should be highly encouraged.

it is paramount that the congestion of traffic and parked cars associated with the previous Oldfield and Forest Bridge schools at this site is avoided at all costs. On past occasions it has impossible for emergency services to pass along Chiltern Road, particularly to get passed the school to the bottom end of Chiltern Road and Cotswold close. Also this now applies to the increased use of food and utility services to residents. These area's of concern needs to be comprehensively addressed and responded to with written replies and commitments.

I'm sure that these recommendations may help to get the support you seek from the Oldfield locality.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I believe schools in the Uk should must firstly be based on British values. Many of the top rated schools noted are COE and provide a first.class education to varied denominations that are comfortable with a Christian ethos.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - build upwards to save space

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8304
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Wessex Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Expansions in other schools, and spaces at Wessex, will mean there really will not be a shortfall in Larchfield. Look at moving the catchment area instead.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There is a good school around the corner with 15+ empty spaces in reception this year. It's madness Lowbrook should be allowed to continue to have 60 in reception again. Expanding other schools will mean there will be enough spaces by 2024, therefore there will be no need to expand Lowbrook. The Lowbrook site is also too small. If a school was to be expanded, then it should be Wessex, due to the size of the site. Birth rates are falling, by 2024 it will probably be unlikely there will be a shortfall of reception places.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8305
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: being a local resident the disruption in our road due to parent/carers (often inconsiderate) parking in our road when dropping off or collecting children is of real concern. I also feel it is just a matter of time before a child is injured due to unsafe driving.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8306	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: My 'yes' response is subject to a better understanding of how traffic will be managed. During the Forest Hill school tenure congestion was a real problem and at times caused a danger - since inconsiderate all day parking prevented large vehicles such as fire engines accessing some parts of the estate.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I would prefer the school to be run directly by the borough and to NOT have a religious bias.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8307	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Traffic & parking crazy and dangerous already from the new Oldfield school, cars from parents abandoned literally on corners blocking access and if an emergency a fire engine or ambulance cannot access my street because of school parents not giving any consideration to locals! So another school is just putting petrol on the fire where parking & safety is concerned! Been a resident for nearly 30 years and remember the chaos when Oldfield School was in Chiltern Road and police were a regular feature patrolling bad inconsiderate parking on school no parking zone and double yellow lines. Which begs the question of more cars on the road and less parents walking with their children is a accident waiting to happen? The fact a special school was in place & perfect and safe for special needs children, that really makes sense to carry on this school as more deserving! I thought primarily that primary schools were mainly for local children, then why is Bray Road at a standstill when the school is opening & closing?? So definitely against another state primary school opening!

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Special Needs

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8308	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I am concerned about the parking situation and general traffic on a residential road. Our experience while Forest Bridge School operated was not good- terrible parking and blocking of the road as well as driveways being blocked and cars turning around in

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8309	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St.George's Windsor Castle	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Many thanks for consulting with the residents regards this proposal.

No issues whatsoever from us with this proposal and in fact we promote it.

Our only concern and request is "no off site parking by staff" i.e. outside our houses or dangerously parking on bends of these cul de sacs creating blind spots for drivers which previously when the site was used by Forest Bridge School, was a major issue for residents for these roads without permit parking and those of us with limited off street parking meaning we depend on the space outside our houses for visitors and workers to park.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8310	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wycombe High School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: There is a large new Oldfield School within 500m to the proposed site. This already causes traffic issues to local residents and is likely to worsen with 210 additional places.
Also, is another school really needed in this area or the money are better spent elsewhere?

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

GENERAL - need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just south east Maidenhead

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8311
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	Braywick Court School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As I resident that lives opposite Larchfield school, I can not even imagine who would come up with the idea to double the size of the school capacity. The site just isn't big enough. The traffic would be horrendous and dangerous! Especially when we have Desborough college just down the road. Why aren't schools such as Wessex being considered which has more land, or building on a new site. The noise and disruption this would cause for residence is unthinkable.

201

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - construction works will have negative impact on neighbours

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8312
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The road leading to the school is already heavily congested at both drop-off and pick-up times. Parental parking considerations are much to be desired, so unless the school expands its parking area within the school grounds then we object to the expansion proposal.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8313
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Braywick Court is at maximum capacity
 Holyport Primary is too far
 Oldfield is very popular
 Looking at the figures it appears the Chiltern Road site would be perfect
 My only concern would be traffic but hopefully this will be kept to the minimum as it would be local children able to walk / cycle

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8314	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I just worry that it will be made 60 places again... this did not work. My older son was in a portals in for 2 yrs then... not suitable. Oldfield as a 30 intake per year works. Also need to be aware that traffic congestion in the estate can be very high. If living locally... how can you ensure that both oldfield schools will have strong teaching staff??

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Run by the state just like current Oldfield site. A catholic school at this end of Maidenhead would be a bonus.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: MODIFY - make sure doesn't then become a 60 place school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8315	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I have lived here in Chiltern Road for 10 years so I was here when both the Oldfield School and also Forest Bridge were the occupants.

My biggest problem is road access. Not road access for teachers and parents but for the residents. On many many occasions I have not been able to leave or get to my house.

The kiss and drop system introduced by Forest Bridge did not work. Those drivers that were not inside the school to collect pupils simply formed a very long line in Chiltern Road blocking it both ways. Many residents will tell you that it was a no go area twice a day. This cannot be permitted to happen again. No access to emergency vehicles, no access to delivery drivers, bin lorries etc.

Please ensure there is enough on site [not on road] parking for staff and for parents to drop off and pick up.

Ideally we like like to see pupils walking to and from school but we all know that the first wet and windy day brings out the cars in droves!!

On more than one occasion I had to leave my car in the road to approach the person marshalling the traffic into and out of the school and advise they are blocking the road.

I also called the police several times but they had higher priorities to attend to.

The situation of traffic is exacerbated due to the Montessori School next to the proposed site and to the New Oldfield School on the Bray Road. Three schools all within several hundred metres of one another needs a full and proper assessment of the traffic flows etc.

I am in favour of the proposed new school but please please at this very early stage consider the residents, the emergency services, and get it right first time.

265

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I would like to see a multi denominational school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary’s Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary’s Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8316	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: It's a perfectly good site which is still in good condition and is already set up as a school and if more places are needed for children then this seems an ideal solution. I live opposite the school and would much rather have a school than see a perfectly good building knocked down. It would make a good infant school for Oldfield Primary school.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: As mentioned before, maybe an infant school associated with Oldfield Primary School. I am not in favour of faith schools as I think that can become divisive.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

267

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8317	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I fear the impact on traffic on the already busy Larchfield Road, firstly with lorries during the construction phase and afterwards once the school is open - particularly if the entrance is placed on Larchfield Road. There is already an issue with parents of pupils at Desborough School clogging the road and pavement at the end of the school day, so adding more school places in the area will only exacerbate the problem.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - construction works will have negative impact on neighbours

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8318	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Little Muddy Me and Child	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I believe there is a need and desire for a progressive school in Maidenhead. A school which teaches children through experience and exploration and nurtures the skills needed to thrive in the fast paced 21st century. A school which encourages much learn

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8319
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should not have a religious character.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: All Saints school is currently under subscribed and has space in each year group. Wouldn't it be better to use that existing facility as opposed to spending council money on expanding a school in its local vicinity? This goes to an extent for the expansion of other schools as well but particular this one as it is the most local. All Saints could accommodate 20-25 of these students each year so why not take that option?

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Could it not be that the spare places at AllSaints could be used for these pupils?

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8320
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: My main objection to the expansion of Lowbrook Academy is the increase in traffic, which is already too high, if there was no parking in The Fairway, as there is in St Adrian's Close for Wessex juniors, then this would reduce my concern, also why is Wessex not being expanded? nothing to do with too much traffic is it.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8321	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Before you start demolishing and rebuilding schools you should make use of the existing spaces at neighbouring schools. All Saints Junior School operates a 3 form intake but is only receiving about 70 children per year. This means that it is receiving inadequate funding to maintain 3 classes in each year group, so that it is now having to resort to mixing year groups. Larchfield and All Saints are very near to each other and you could easily change the catchment areas so that children currently in the Larchfield catchment are brought within the All Saints catchment. All Saints would be within easy travelling distance for these children. If you have the funding available to build new schools (or demolish and rebuild existing ones) you should first be investing in the other junior/primary schools in the area and making use of the spaces available there - this is consistent with your obligations to manage your budgets efficiently. You must also take into account the needs and interests of all children within your area - the proposal to expand Larchfield and invest in that school while at the same time restricting the funding available to All Saints, so that the latter has to reduce the number of classes, unfairly and irrationally prioritises the interests of children in the Larchfield catchment area over those in the All Saints catchment area.

272

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: consider making changes to the school designated areas

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8322
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I believe that the option that allows the school to retain more outside space on site should be followed. It may be more expensive, yet in the long term it will be substantially more beneficial to the pupils at the school.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I believe that St Mary's will benefit from investment in the school building, increased funding and the ability to move away from non-mixed year groups that expansion would bring. However, there are a number of caveats that I would like to highlight: I believe that Option 1 as outlined in the St Mary's Expansion Feasibility Study is by far the stronger proposal and would be the better solution and preferred option for the school. This proposal optimises the space available on the school site, for example making use of the underused courtyard area in the centre of the school building, and building an additional floor onto the existing block utilises the potential built into the block when it was first constructed, and does not substantially increase the building footprint on the school site. It would allow St Mary's to retain its swimming pool which, contrary to the assumption stated in the study, is regularly used in the summer term and summer holidays by pupils of the school and is a much valued asset to the school. Secondly, I would like to highlight that St Mary's is going through a period of significant change with regard to its leadership, and I do have concerns as to whether the timing of these developments is right for the school. St Mary's has its second interim head in place this year to date, and there is a need for the school to focus on improvements to its current provision, following the last Ofsted inspection. Although St Mary's would benefit from the increased funding and investment that expansion would bring, my recommendation would be that this work is not expedited and that the Borough focuses on expansion at St Luke's in the first instance. When a substantive head is in place at St Mary's and the school's existing provision is improved, then I believe that such developments would be more timely and beneficial for the school.

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: PROPOSAL - should carry out the more costly new buildings plan

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8323	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I think traffic would be a big consideration, but I am not a local resident.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I think fewer and fewer people in the modern age follow a particular religion, so a secular school would make most sense.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There have been years of heartache for families in the area, when siblings are unable to attend the same school due to the fluctuating PAN. Expansion is the only sensible thing to do, with the proviso that some work needs to be done to ease congestion for local residents. Expanding cycle / scooter racks might help encourage greener modes of transport to reduce the number of vehicular drop offs.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8324
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not think the school should expand. Firstly, I do not think the school should lose the swimming pool. This is a fantastic asset to St. Mary's School and to lose the pool would be a great loss. The St. Mary's parents do a lot of fund raising to help fund the so called 'expensive' running of the pool. A great deal of time and money will be wasted in transporting the children to the local leisure center for swimming classes. This extra time needed for this is better spent learning.

Secondly, the children have suffered a lot of disruption in the past year and a half (as many schools have), but I feel the children have suffered even more so due to the high turnover of head teacher. Since Mrs Laycock retired, Mrs Barry became the new head teacher, then the deputy head fell ill and was not replaced for a long time. Mrs Wakeman became the new deputy head teacher in summer 2020. Then Mrs Barry left and the school was given an interim head teacher, who was already the head of Courthouse school (Mr Hart). Now Mr Hart has finished his contract and another interim head teacher has started – Mr Dworzak. On top of that many teaching staff have retired or left St Marys. The children need calm and continuity now, I do not think making the school a building site will be a good idea.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8325
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Would like to see evidence as to where new housing will be built that will create this need

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Where are the new houses to be built that will create the need for this expansion of the school. Hopefully the school will continue to support a local school for local children so as to reduce the heavy traffic and parking and encourage a walk to school policy

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: See previous

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: See previous

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places

GENERAL: don't agree with need for new primary school places

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8326
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No comments

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Woodlands Park school has had to combine year groups, had to put in a 5 year plan to get out of a deficit, going through a consultation period on cutting down teachers and only had 16 on our list to start in Sept however we are a school who can take 30 pupils. How is it that a school less than 5 minutes away needs to double their intake when we are struggling so much? We are severely low on numbers and this would only make us suffer even further.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8327	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
							Grandparent to three children at Wess	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded. There are currently vacancies at both Wessex and Woodlands Park Primaries which indicates no need to expand Lowbrook. If this does go ahead, it would be extremely damaging to these other schools, it would hit their budgets. TAs have already been made redundant at Wessex because of budget constraints. I can see no justification for extra places to be created at Lowbrook. Wessex is a warm, friendly and very good school and I would hate to see it needlessly damaged by this act.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8328	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: With Oldfield primary school being the only school in such a large catchment, there is a dire need for another school. Additionally, there are too many new developments in the area including nearly 100 flats on Oldfield road and the watermark development close to the town hall. Both these will be served by Oldfield school as things stand now. It's unlikely that all children will find a place and for those who don't it's unfair as they do not have preference elsewhere.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I would like the new school to be run by the team at Lowbrook school. To keep it consistent with Oldfield I would prefer if the school wasn't religious.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

279

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook is a highly sought after school and the dilemma of whether it'll take 30 or 60 students is excruciating. To be fair to all children in the catchment, the intake should consistently be 60

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8329	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Please see my previous comments which cover this proposal as well

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I do not think the case has been made for expansion when Woodlands Park, and some other local schools, have capacity. Woodlands Park will continue to face challenges if more capacity is created elsewhere. There should be more work carried out to show the proposed schools' expansion is justified and needed in the area:1)A full impact study on the proposed effects of this expansion on the numbers on roll of other local schools and a full financial audit and breakdown of the impact to other local school budgets where a reduction in NOR will be evident as a result of this.2)What consideration and strategic thinking is happening within the Local Authority to ensure the full financial stability and success of Woodlands Park in the future?3)Round the table discussions to plan for the future need in the area and a sustainable way forward with all the local schools impacted by this potential consultation. We feel it is imperative that the Council, the Headteacher & Governors of Woodlands Park along with Wessex, Lowbrook and Larchfield all can participate in a discussion about the needs of all children in the area and how all the schools can meet these demands.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8330	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: We have concerns regarding traffic issues in Fairlea and The Fairway as follows.

- Cars parking on both corners of Fairlea and The Fairway where the children cross the road make it difficult to cross safely. They also block the sight lines for those cars turning into the corner. We have witnessed many near misses over the years. (The double yellow lines and dropped curbs proposed in the planning application for the extension will not work. The dropped curbs have been installed and now are used by several drivers as convenient parking slots)
- Parking too far onto the pavement resulting in children having to walk on the gardens (This has caused damage to plants), or in the road (potentially dangerous for the children).
- Drivers using private driveways to turn around and in doing so drive over the pavements where children are walking. (The standard of driving is such that they quite often miss the drive and cause damage to the gardens).
- Some parents park up from 2.15pm ready to collect their child at 3.00pm. During this time they quite often leave the engines running and the fumes can be noticed in the house. Also, some stay after normal school time to pick up others from school clubs or the Montessori and can be outside until as late as 6.00pm. So, including the mornings 7.50am to 9.30am and evenings 2.15pm to 6.00pm, we have cars around us for potentially 5 hours per school day.
- We are led to believe that the catchment area for Lowbrook is quite small so we cannot understand why so many people drive rather than walk. We have spoken to some parents with children at Lowbrook that live way outside the catchment area (Altwood and the other side of Maidenhead) so we know the school has previously taken children from outside the area. What assurances can we have that the school will only take local children! (Is Berkshire a non selective school area? as we are convinced that some selection takes place probably to ensure good Ofsted rating.). Having lived here for almost 40 years we don't want to leave the house we love. Living near Lowbrook has never been the problem it is now and has got steadily worse over the last 10 years. With the potential to increase the traffic by 90 more cars the situation will get worse. These issues of course make living here unpleasant at times to say the least but we are also concerned for the welfare and safety of the children walking to and from Lowbrook and other local schools. The increase in the number of potential car journeys have been noted in other letters. Our view is that Fairlea and The Fairway cannot safely deal with the current level of traffic, let alone any increase the school expansion will bring.

Comments re Proposal for Lowbrook Academy. Item 7 Currently there is less demand expected for new places in West Maidenhead - The intake this year for Lowbrook as per their website is 60, 27 of these are catchment, leaving 33 we assume to be out of catchment as they are not identified. If the school were to allocate places only to children in the designated area there would be no requirement for increase. Item 10 Children are already travelling across Maidenhead to get to Lowbrook. (see note above regarding children out of catchment). 16/17 Going on your predicted numbers there is no need for any expansion in South West Maidenhead as forecast shows an excess of 15 places in 2024. 19 Stating Lowbrook is graded highly by Ofsted is no reason for expansion. The money should be spent on the other schools to bring them to a high standard and not given to the select few. In our experience the great majority of children do not walk or cycle to school but arrive by car. Thus the traffic and parking issues listed above. Another 90 potential cars is not feasible. Where will the extra teachers

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - expansion will bring children from out of area

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

and assistants park (i.e. 6 more vehicles)Where will all the teachers park whilst the builders are on site.Neighbours we have spoken to in the past agree. John and Pat Foster12 FairleaCox Green

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary’s Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary’s Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8331	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: 1. I have concerns regarding the impact / disruption on learning for all children during the period of proposed building work 2. The swimming pool is a great assets to the school and it will be a shame to get rid of this. Learning to swim is an important life skill that should be accessible to everyone 3. The kids should not be shipped to other locations to do their PE lessons - this would take time out of their school day for transportation which seems unnecessary 4. Also as a parent of a child who would enter reception in 2022, I have concerns regarding the provisions in place for the increase in intake. Is there enough space currently for 15 extra children? Are the kids going to be put in temporary buildings during construction work? How will the construction work impact their learning/settling in. The school have also proposed to split out Year groups rather than have combined classes. Will this be possible by 2023? Or are the class sizes going to be disproportionately large until building work has been completed? 5. The school has gone through a turbulent time in regards to leadership and high staff turnover and not to mention the impact of the pandemic on the children. The school needs to focus on stability and building the confidence of the children currently at the school before even thinking of expanding.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

ST MARYS: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8332	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Once again RBWM have failed to adequately consider (completely glossed over and generally ignored) the impact of ANY further development on residents of The Fairway, Fairlea and Highfield Lane. This entire area has been well past breaking point for several years, following previous expansion at this site. We SUFFER on a daily basis from traffic, traffic pollution, inconsiderate (mostly illegal and dangerous) parking, general disruption and noise pollution. In addition, nowhere in the proposal document is there ANY assessment of the impact of building noise on local residents - there is ONLY an assessment on the impact of this disruption for the school itself !! This provides a further indication of the lack of thought and consideration for the impact on this proposal for local residents. It is time that RBWM considered the wider impact of expansion at this site, rather than just from an educational perspective. Your role is not JUST to provide education but to ensure the safety and wellbeing of residents (something that has been a significant failing for many years).

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - construction works will have negative impact on neighbours

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8333	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I have lived in Shoppenhangers Road since 1985 and in that time have seen enormous in filling taking place. The infrastructure cannot cope with further expansion. You will recall the outcry over the closure of Shoppenhangers Road and the installation of a bus gate because of the busyness of the road. Larchfield Road and Bargeman Road were never designed for major traffic. They are small residential roads servicing the Larchfield estate. I would contest the projected increase in demand for places for the following reasons-1. Most of the new housing stock in central Maidenhead is small flats and not suitable or affordable for families2. Post pandemic it is projected that there is falling demand for town centre living and people, especially those with families, require houses with space which are not to be found in town centres.If you find that new housing for families is built in the future (e.g.golf course development) why not put the school where the houses are and avoid "the school run" congestion and fulfill some of your "green" ambitions at the same time?

205

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8334
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: All Saints is currently undersubscribed. It is a great school and my daughter is very happy there. Why not ensure current school places are filled before creating more?

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A non-religious school would be a good option. If one had been available when my children started school it would have been my preferred option. That said, I have been very happy with both Boyne Hill and All Saints as multi-cultural accepting places of le

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8335
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The Fairway is already chaos at school drop off and pick up without cars for another 60 children per year trying to get in and out. A proposal to make the street restricted access at school times was rejected. If the school was allowed to increase it's numbers in any way action must be included to prevent further chaos around this school, Cox Green and Wessex. The Fairway and surrounding streets are essentially no go for residents around school opening and closing. We already have to plan our days around the school times as leaving the street at school closing time can take up to 30 minutes.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8336	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: it should if anything be an early years expansion for Oldfield, however the site is small and there is no school car park. The oldfield traffic combined with the new school would be unsafe for parents in the mornings due to the congestion in the local area

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8337	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	Yes
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: The management of which could be suggested to Oldfield Primary. Essentially oldfield operation off two sites. This would mean there is no anxiety in the local people about the school. Oldfield is so good I don't think parents want more options really

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Yes the schools should be of Hindu sect. There is an increase in the number of Hindu families in Maidenhead who do not have a community hub which limits our children to learn about our faith and culture. This school would provide a place for community. Th

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

289

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8338	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It must be a state school not private school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Appears to be plenty of buildable space for Lowbrook expansion

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: There does not appear to be enough space at St Lukes. To use a van to take children to nearest field for sports goes against sustainability. And children loose out on outdoor space which impacts health

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Expansion of St Mary's makes more sense for this area but with the inclusion of a second storey over taking too much from the existing playground/field. Important for children to have enough access of outdoor areas to play and do PE. Second storey set-up works at Braywick Court

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8339	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The traffic during school drop off and collection, is chaotic, with drivers exceeding speed limits, there is no regard for local residents, and most importantly witnessing road rage from parents is becoming more regular, and not particular pleasant for children to see. There is a drop off scheme in place at Lowbrook, however there are certainly more cars parked on 'The Fairway, and nearby roads, and this has grown over the last two / three years. By increasing the school intake, there will certainly be more cars be used in an already congested area, with Wessex Primary and Cox Green Secondary nearby, adding to the dangers of speeding cars and cars parked on both sides of the road (The Fairway), making it difficult for children to cross. Most importantly increasing the intake will add to the car pollution in the area.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8340	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Seems an obvious choice to use an existing school premises on an suitable site in an area of need.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Should not be religious school, should welcome all children. No thoughts on who should run it as I don't know enough about it but given Braywick was set up independently it could be done again

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Again an obvious choice to keep each year group at 60 places and allow siblings and local families to benefit.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I would be concerned about the effect on local traffic on an already stretched part of cookham road and the fact they would then need to bus the year 5/6 out for sport

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I personally like the mixed year classes and if we took 60 children in reception this would have a knock on effect in mixing classes through the school. I think it would be a shame to fill in the pool to build more classrooms. I understand the use of the pool for the school community has been greatly valued. I do not think the school area can cope with increased parental numbers in terms of traffic and parking.

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - want to retain mixed year group teaching

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8341	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	Cookham Dean CE Primary S	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's catholic pre schoo	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I feel we have enough schools with a religious character in the area already.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: We are happy to agree as long as if the swimming pool goes there is a definite plan in place to continue swimming at braywick leisure centre. That was one of the features that drew us to the school. I worry the school could not undertake such a big development with only an interim headteacher as it has at the moment. I would want guarantees that the class size won't increase to over 30. I would want as little green space to be disturbed as possible and playing fields.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST MARYS: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8342	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Private nanny	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Traffic congestion to get into school site is appalling and dangerous to children walking to school

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Traffic in Cookham Road at school drop off and pick up times is quite frankly dangerous for children walking

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Living on the Alderbury Road estate it is currently near impossible to drive out at school drop off and pick up times, increasing children numbers will only exasperate this issue. Also parking on the whole Alderbury Road needs major improvement and upgrading if this plan is to have any legs

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST MARYS - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8343	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: After seeing many posts on Facebook recently from parents who's children had been given the school furthest from them, rather than the closest, there is obviously already a need for this - it is fairly obviously this problem will escalate

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think that Option 1, to expand the overall land would be good - to limit the amount of outside space that is lost. I already feel that the outside is not quite enough for the the current number of children at the school. My only concern regarding the expansion at the school is whether the SEN children will be given at opportunity to see the completed building/s before they are expected to enter the classrooms there in the course of a normal school day. I am worried the degree of change could cause them problems. Presumably, any demolition/construction noise will be closely monitored/muted so as to reduce the impact on those pupils at the school with hyper-sensitive hearing.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As I know that there is already a high demand for places at this school, it is obvious that this demand will only increase over the coming years.

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST LUKES: PROPOSAL - should carry out the more costly new buildings plan

ST LUKES: MODIFY - need to minimise disruption for pupils from construction

ST LUKES: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8344
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No comments.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: St Mary's Catholic Primary School seems too small already. There are real constraints with:- Classroom space, gymnasium and a proper cafeteria. I believe that all the above should be addressed before expanding to accommodate new pupils. The school is having problems in recruiting staff and I believe it does not need more instability until resolving their current problems.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:					Respondent no.	8345
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	
				Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
				Resident near Larchfield:	No	
				Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
				Resident at St Luke's:	No	
				Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: As a resident on a street that is already gridlocked by Lowbrook School drop offs and pick ups, I can on imagine that it more parents dropping children off it will become even more congested and dangerous. Residents in the Fairway and Fairies can't get out of their street now at pick up and drop off times please don't add any more trauma to the already frustrating situation.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8346
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I chose this school over others in the area for my son because of it's size, a smaller school is so much better for his character and I believe the growth in the school would have a detrimental effect on many of the children. With the pandemic our children have been through enough, the increase in places, I believe, will have a huge effect on their mental health my son included. The council needs to stop giving planning permission for new homes or make the developers include amenities in their plans! Current residents should not have to pay the price so the likes of Shanley can line his pockets.

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL - council should be getting S106 funds for new school places

GENERAL - too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8347	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: It was previously the main school for that area and suitable to be a school again

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A state run primary school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: There is no room for more traffic in normal times on the Cookham Road.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I feel the traffic situation on the Cookham road in normal times cannot cope with more traffic even if there is a new opening onto Cookham Road. There is 3 schools on the Cookham road and was and will be again in complete deadlock. The parents will still want to park their cars and walk the children in as many parents have children in different years groups and even more cars blocking the Cookham Road. Not every parent will want to just drop their children off and there will be a queue of cars in Brookdene Close with their engines spewing out their fumes. Arguments will happen and the residents of Brookdene Close will not be able to leave their properties. I am deeply unhappy about these proposals

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, increase in pollution

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8348	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other- Berkshire College of	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No view

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Please fund a less wealthy area. Children travel from Larchfield to go to different schools. Make this a larger and more inviting school for the locals.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am surprised that they have expanded as much as they have already. It is clear that the spaces are not required. They have filled their spaces and left Wessex, their neighbouring school with unfilled spaces. Because of this, Wessex has lost a massive amount of funding, a large amount of teaching assistants and soon the nursery. It seems to me that RBWM are purposefully stifling a much loved, successful school. I have been a parent there since 2007 and have seen funds start to disappear. Now half the teaching assistants have been made redundant, I can't see how the success will continue. The school across the road are getting a disproportionate amount of attention. Our children at Wessex are now losing out on a decent primary education, not because of the teachers, but because the funding is rock bottom. The extra 15 children in 2024 can surely go to the hopefully larger Larchfield where money and social attention is much needed. (Most people I know, would not even put Larchfield as a choice in their school preferences at the moment. That really needs to change.) I can not understand why Wessex have not featured here in this report. Lowbrook have taken around 15 children out of wessex due to it's over expansion, this needs to stop. I am shocked at how much money has spoken and not sense. It is corrupt. I am not sure why Lowbrook is outstanding, when all I hear is that they have too much homework and no focus on emotional and social wellbeing. Cox Green is going to be filled with highly academic zombies by 2035.....

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - investment will be good for the local community

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8349
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Overall I would think it would be better to expand or merge with Oldfield Primary as it is very close and resources could be shared

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Maidenhead is made up of a diverse set of faiths and backgrounds and I would prefer a school ethos that focused on the importance of humanity rather than focus to one particular faith or religious character.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The vicinity/accessibility if St Luke's must be kept in mind. Such expansion will need to be supported with a clear plan for the surrounding area and main school building to provide a safe and workable area for children in the school and accessibility for parents picking and dropping off children.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8350	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I oppose the expansion of St Luke's based on the traffic implications:- Wayside Mews is already used as a drop-off & pick-up zone, by parents driving their children to school, I have seen parents park across driveways, block access to garages, park on pavements and double park and if you dare ask them to park more considerate invariably you get the response " I'm only going to be 5 minutes !" - obviously this will all get much worse if the school increases by 105 pupils.- If there is an agreement to use St Joseph's Church car park why are some parents not using it ?- Has St Joseph's Church agreed to additional cars using the car park after the expansion ?- In the future could St Joseph's Church stop allowing use of it's car park facility ?- Does St Luke's actively discourage parents from using local streets for drop-off & pick-up ?- Does St Luke's keep a record of how many parents drop-off & pick-up by car ? Are these questions asked before accepting new pupils ?- What is your current number of parents dropping off by car, what is your prediction on how this will increase ?- If there really is a need for more places for local children then other than a few exceptions they should be able to walk or cycle to school.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking impact on St Joseph's Church car-park

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most pupils should be walk or cycling to school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8351
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
						I live in Maidenhead and have children	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I believe St Mary's is big enough already and it would be a great shame to reduce the facilities eg to lose the swimming pool or reduce the size of the playing field to accommodate the expansion. There is limited space already and an increase of pupils would be to the detriment of the existing school. We chose the school due to the medium size number of pupils.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST MARYS: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8352	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I appreciate that I have just missed the deadline to respond to your consultation on expanding St Mary's School in Maidenhead but please could you still take my concerns into account. Whilst I appreciate the need for more school spaces in Maidenhead, I have concerns about traffic and safe drop off points for the additional children. I live on the adjacent private road, Garretts Lane, and already our road is often blocked at both ends of the school days. This means it is very difficult to safely get out as cars block our view up and down the road, and equally we can not see children crossing. If the school is to increase its size by 1/3 then there really needs to be adequate plans to ensure the road is safe outside the school and the surrounding roads are not blocked. Whilst the school is good about this now, it needs to be factored into planning rather than dealt with in a continually responsive manner.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST MARYS - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8353	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	Yes
							Parish Priest at St Joseph's RC Church (

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: We have the following comments to make about the proposed expansion of St Luke's Church of England Primary School. As the school's next-door neighbour, we have two points which we expect you to consider when making the decision to increase the number of places at St Luke's. 1 St Joseph's Church car park is adjacent to the school site. We have long extended a purely grace and favour arrangement for parents to use our car park when dropping and collecting their children. Over the years we have had numerous issues, which we have raised with the school, relating to this arrangement. We have found that, because St Luke's school car park is totally inadequate both in size and accessibility, that parents use our car park when there are school events, which can adversely impact on our church and hall users. You may not realise that we let out our church hall during the weekdays to various users who rightly expect to be able to park. We have our own church services daily and we also sadly have regular funeral services during the week, all requiring parking. We have Busy Bee's Nursery permanently in another part of the site, with their parking requirements, not only for staff but also for parents dropping and collecting. This is a very busy site and is absolutely vital for all our diverse activities. 2 We have health and safety concerns for all users, whether they are children or adult. In the past, we have found that parents simply let their children wander around the car park and walk directly out using the road access rather than the foot access. We have repeatedly spoken to the school about this as we cannot be held responsible for accidents. Parents must assume responsibility for their children whilst on our property. It is unreasonable to expect that we will want to continue with this arrangement if it presents too many problems for us, particularly with the proposed expansion plans. We should be pleased to hear how you propose to manage the increased demands for access and parking.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking impact on St Joseph's Church car-park

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8354	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Summary of issues raised:

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I have been reading about the new proposed school changes.
I think Maidenhead is getting too congested with homes, the council is building them in every little space for money and the roads can't cope with it.

I was born and bred in Maidenhead, have lived, gone to school and worked in the town my whole life. I know what it was and it's lost what made it special.

I am now worried that the same will happen to schools. My son is in reception at the moment and my daughter will be starting in 2022 when the proposed changes will be made.

Just like the homes and the roads, the schools will now be over populated and will lose the attention that is placed on children.

Intimate schools with fewer children work well where focus can be made on them. This will get lost in your new plans. I know staff will increase etc and staff to pupil ratios etc, but I am talking about the general feel and experience for the children.

I think the council need to think about these sort of things more before we over populate schools, the homes and the roads.

Maidenhead can't cope!

906

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8355
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No Not indicated

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: St lukes school.St lukes is dangerous enough when you come out of the gates as well going into school. It's not wide enough on path way havin to walk along main road with speeding cars.Before expanding the school look at the safety of coming and goin along foot path with everyone it's dangerous

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

ST LUKES - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8356
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: My name is [], I am the mother of [a child at] Saint Lukes.I find the extension project for Saint Lukes really good, and also it is a shame that the space that used to be the former parking for the staff will be used to build 14 flats, while so many are already been built in the town, instead of re thinking the area and using the space for outdoor play for the children which now will miss some room for their outdoor activities.I find it is a really bad planning choice and regret profoundly that this hasn't been thought through better by the council, which could easily have had a more holistic view on this site, rather than wanting above all to build flats.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: PROPOSAL - St Edmunds House land should be part of the school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8357	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I was somewhat surprised to be told by one of my neighbors that an extension to Larchfield School is being proposed as a home owner in Foxglove Drive I have not had any notification and ask why I have been overlooked? As it will effect the way of life for all the surrounding houses this does not appear to be a logical proposal. In this area we are having a huge extension to the Care Home immediately opposite the School which is accommodating more than one hundred residents in a small area plus their carers, plus Ambulance and School traffic which is a huge problem at the present time. Larchfield Road and Bargeman Road are parking areas for the commuters going to London and morning dropping off and evening for the parents picking up the children from the school and residents in the area have no extra street parking for family etc. It is a densely populated area already with traffic congestion queuing up most mornings and evenings. On top of all this congestion it has been proposed that the golf course which is directly opposite Larchfield Road will be housing 2,000 plus houses thus making the whole area one concrete jungle and a total traffic nightmare. Any quality of life for the present people living here surely must be considered and especially for the extra pupils that will not have space in such a congested area within the school grounds itself. Shoppenhangers Road is a nightmare at the best of times and safety must be considered for all. Planners should think very carefully over this proposal as safety for the children must be a first consideration in a small area such as this.

310

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for emergency services

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8358	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	Yes
								Tyburn Film Productions Limited, who

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: We are the leaseholder of a residential property which shares a boundary with the grounds of Lowbrook Academy ("the School") - [addressed redacted]. Clearly, a local authority requires to ensure that sufficient school places are provided within its catchment area(s); it is also clear that the School appears to be "bursting at the seams". In our view - more specifically, in the view of the Occupiers of the Property - care should be taken in expanding the pupil numbers for the School: (a) Traffic: There is already substantial congestion in the mornings and afternoons when the pupils are being taken to and, subsequently, collected from the School. Arrangements and facilities should be made to avoid this worsening and to increase safety. (b) Buildings: It would seem that there will require to be an extension to the School building(s). Such should only progressed in consultation with the local community and not have an adverse effect on the adjoining residential properties. (c) Noise control: The borders of the School grounds are very badly baffled and, in places, not baffled at all. The noise of the children, coupled with the music played at top volume on a "blaster" device, is already intolerable at times. Any increase, without appropriate mitigation, would make certain of the residential properties which border the School virtually uninhabitable. In addition to the three principal points summarised above, the School already experienced difficulty in properly maintaining its estate. For your information, we enclose copies of our recent correspondence with the School on this very topic. If the School is to expand, this aspect will also require to be dealt with and accommodated. We trust that the above will be of assistance in your deliberations.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - impact of noise of more children on neighbours

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8359	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Thank you for your letter dated 9th April 2021 headed Achieving for Children. I am very pleased that you are proposing to use the school site in Chiltern Road as a primary school again. However, I do hope there will be provision for staff car-parking on

Summary of issues raised:

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8360	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	Yes
								Wessex Primary School staff and paren

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Re: Consultation on more primary school places in Maidenhead Having viewed the reasoning for the school expansions within Cox Green, the parents of Wessex primary school have raised the following concerns on which we request quantifiable evidence and further clarification in your decision making. Firstly, please can you justify why there is a need for expansion in the area? Having reviewed the numbers on the survey, and having read recent school letters/reports, we are advised there is a drop in birth rates in the area, not a rise as suggested. Can you please provide details of the evidence used, calculations, including any assumptions made in determining the estimated future birth rates in Cox Green? We feel this is a valid and a highly significant request as it is the only explanation given as to why an expansion is going ahead, yet evidence to support this statement does not appear to have been made public. We are aware that there are currently a number of unfilled spaces at both Wessex Primary School and Woodlands Park School – both within a mile of Lowbrook Academy and within the local catchment. Can you please explain why these schools were not considered for expansion or even filled first before the expansion of Lowbrook was explored? We request to see evidence that all local schools in this area will be sustainable in the future, we have already witnessed that ‘staff restructuring’ is taking place, which is detrimental to the learning and support at Wessex. There are a number of fundamental areas of Wessex that require attention: We visibly see how classroom windows require updating and school roofs are leaking, parts of the playground are cracked, the outside climbing frames and structures need either replacing or funding to be available and safe and the external doors to the KS1 classrooms are old and dated. What are your plans to improve these schools? How are you going to ensure they are kept afloat whilst maintaining the development and safety of the children? If Wessex and Woodlands Park lose pupil funding due to diminishing pupil numbers, as more children are able to be admitted to Lowbrook under the expansion, please advise how Wessex and Woodlands Park will be expected to manage their budgets, without further cuts being made to already stretched teaching resources. Please can you provide us with a full financial audit for the local schools, it is important that we are able to view this to get a wider understanding. We would also like to know where the public can view the meeting minutes from RBWM showing how the schools in the area were chosen for expansion. Being local parents, we are very aware that Lowbrook Academy made a decision to take a ‘bulge’ year in 2020, we would like to know how this came about and why it was allowed if there are spaces within other schools, especially if there is no space for them in the future? Was this part of a bigger plan and a factor in how the school can now claim to require expansion? If so, why is this funding being met from the local authority and not being sought from central government. Where are the meeting minutes that cover this discussion and please confirm that the other local schools of Cox Green were able to contribute to these meetings? It also seems unusual that one of Lowbrooks governors [name redacted], is the PA to the Head of Planning and Development at RBWM – is this not a conflict of interest and how has this been allowed? We would like to understand this. Wessex is a great school with a great ethos, there are children and families requiring additional support and very dedicated staff that are really stretched. They are working in an old building with old resources and trying to up their standard without the much-needed financial support from the local authority. Parents at Wessex are passionate about the school and will continue to be whatever the outcome of the expansion. All children should be given the

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - concern that expansion will perpetuate divide between mainstream higher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

opportunity to “shine” whatever their abilities, backgrounds and way of learning. There is a great concern that the significant gap in the standard of the environment between both schools will only continue to widen, making Wessex less appealing to potential families and therefore at risk of losing even more placements. We cannot see how anyone can defend this decision with so much at stake. We would be grateful if you could investigate the queries we have raised. We look forward to your response. [This statement was supported by 185 signatures]

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke’s Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary’s Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary’s Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8361	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not think that this proposal of expanding Larchfield School a very good idea. As it is at the moment, the parking in Hare Shoot is beyond belief cars mounted on the pavement on cannot get in our drive we have cars park there all day making it very had to get our car out on to the road. If you ask them to move we get told to F-- off we don't own the road and the rubbish and cigarette ends are everywhere plus the awful noise. I cannot see what difference having the main entrance in Larchfield Road will help as we will still get all the problems and inconvenience in Hare Shoots and [illegible] areas. I know children need schools but there are so many children now but I still think making this school bigger is not a good idea.

315

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8362	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Thank you for your letter dated 9th April 2021 headed Achieving for Children. I am very pleased that you are proposing to use the school site in Chiltern Road as a primary school again.

However, I do hope there will be provision for staff car-parking on the site. The situation was very difficult during the period when Forest Bridge School was in occupation. There were occasions when the road outside the school was blocked with three lines of traffic.

Summary of issues raised:

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8363	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Having looked at your proposal for Larchfield School, my comments are as below: Firstly I think the site is far too small for what you are proposing especially if you keep the children's centre and nursery, although I would welcome moving the entrance from Bargeman Road to Larchfield Road. I live opposite the school and in normal times it schools drop from and pick up is a nightmare. This will only increase with doubling the size of the school. The proposal says most people walk to school. That might be the case at the moment because of COVID-19 but not under normal circumstances. I'd also like to know what you mean by noise not being an issue, I don't think you mean that from a resident's perspective and it will only get worse if you install an AWP where the current playground is. I certainly would object to that. You also say there would be additional parking. I see it says additional car park/drop off area and this would be essential. It's a shame they don't have that facility now. The school does need to provide somewhere for parents/guardians to pick up and drop off without inconveniencing Bargeman Road residents by blocking their drives. If the school entrance were to be move then double yellow lines should be put in on the school side of Bargeman Road (where the current entrances are located). The road is too narrow and it would cause a lot of problems if people were allowed to stop there, which they would do. I hope very careful consideration will be given to this not just for the school but local residents too.

317

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't want all-weather pitch instead of grass pitch

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - impact of noise of more children on neighbours

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8364	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: We have just received your letter through the post this morning, No issue rebuilding the school at 2 story's and yes I agree change the entrance from Bargeman to larchfield road so that's a good ideal.Unfortunately due to extra traffic and people knowing where to park that hare shoots car park gets rammed with cars at drop off & pick up which includes my daughters disabled bay which keeps getting parked in and RBWM don't do much about. They say they do drive bus but I haven't seen any for months. Reducing the car parks at the entrance to hare shoots from Bargeman road is a priority as far too many cars park on yellow lines and in that area and an accident is waiting to happen. Again no RBWM parking attendants do any checks at drop off and pick up times.The extra traffic and cars being parked will still cause problems as people will still park in hare shoots road car park area, which means extra cars trying to park in my daughters disabled bay.Yes I agree with the school being built and entrance changed but more needs to be done to protect parking for local residents especially my daughters disabled bay and the car park in hare shoots road.

318

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8365
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No Not indicated

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If All Saints Junior school is almost empty, why aren't we improving that school and other schools in the same situation so that it is full rather than expanding schools like St. Luke's? Surely that is the more logical and cost efficient way to go about it?

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8366	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Just some quick feedback on the option of a new school at the site on Chiltern Road. I am a resident of Cotswold Close and would very much welcome this idea, the only caveat is that something must be done about the parking situation.

Cars previously were parked most of the day on both sides of the road around the Forest Bridge site, this was dangerous to pedestrians and drivers, especially on the corner with Cleveland Close as there was no visibility going round the corner.

If this could be resolved, we'd welcome a new school.

Summary of issues raised:

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8367	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I would not agree to a faith school however as disagree with them

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Definitely not a religious school as I disagree with religion and education being mixed. A forest school or something of a montessori nature would be a nice option for RBWM. Perhaps a school that is able to help SEN primary children within a main stream environment?

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: This is something that was promised with the increase to 60 for the 2016 intake and never happened. At present the school hall is not big enough and these plans would mean a bigger hall. It would also enable all siblings to get places hopefully. There could be a slight issue with people wanting to choose this school as outstanding and increasing traffic to the area but I believe the benefits outweigh this risk.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as expansion was previously promised

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - expansion will bring children from out of area

CHILTERN ROAD: SCHOOL TYPE - no religious character

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8368	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As someone who uses Cookham Rd every morning and evening when dropping my child to school I feel that 100 extra students and their parents will bring a busy main road to a standstill. Despite encouraging people to walk with their children, the reality will be extra cars, traffic and little or no parking.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The potential problems occurring at St Lukes will be mirrored at St Mary's. Parking has become almost impossible and there are daily issues with local residents and selfish drivers. The ethos, values and spirit of the school is built around a nurturing, caring and intimate setting. As a primary school teacher myself and worked for many years in very large schools, I have seen first hand how difficult it is to maintain this setting as a school scales to larger operations. From the proposal, many of the facilities that make St Marys special and offers unique resources and opportunities for students will be lost through expansion and the additional building will detract from the green status of the school and the wider environmental benefits and local biodiversity.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

ST MARYS: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking, most families drive to school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8369	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	My son attends little pionee	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	Yes
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Oldfield and Braywick schools are well regarded but have small catchment areas. There isn't enough choice here. The new school makes good use of an existing site and will cause minimal disruption, and enables more spaces to be provided in the area with the additional benefit that catchment areas can be widened.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Should not be religious.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The building and site is tired and inaccessible for disabled people so needs improving one way or another. And soon before it becomes dangerous. Also the school has a chance of increased funding and attracting better quality staff if bigger, and should be given that chance to achieve outstanding ofsted which I think it would struggle to do given current funding and site limitations. Critical that the community centre and little pioneers are retained in some way in the same site as these provide valuable services to the local community. Both during build and after. Please don't close them down or move them elsewhere during build. Parking and congestion is bad at present around the school so increasing parking or adding a Larchfield road entrance is essential to make the operation of an expanded site viable. My concern is that the proposed plans locate the new building extremely close to the old. I am extremely worried about the dust and noise pollution during build for the children, limiting their ability to play outside. Also affecting those with asthma and those sensitive to noise (or my son). Proposals must ensure that children have outside play time and there is good provision in particular for the nursery and reception children who have a wonderful outside play area. You mustn't take this away from them during build as outside play is so important at that age. It's not feasible for the younger children at the school to be provided outdoor pe via travelling to another site during build, as is proposed. In terms of options, c and d are far preferable. They include the community centre and little pioneers, and allow for more parking and better access to the site. More swimming pools are needed in the area so I would imagine it to be a huge benefit if a pool could be reinstated on site though I appreciate the space constraints as per the feasibility study. A better option would be to implement c or d but to move the new school building further away from the existing building down towards the back of the playing field. This allows the younger children to retain their outside playgrounds during build and reduces noise and dust pollution.

323

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It's a big site and a very good school. It's daft that there are varied form entry numbers as it affects siblings' chances of going to the same school. A no brainer to expand.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

LARCHFIELD: MODIFY - need to minimise disruption for pupils from construction

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - larger school will attract staff

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - proposal makes it more likely that the school becomes Outstanding

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - condition of existing buildings/site is poor

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain community centre

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain nursery

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - agree with entrance/exit on Larchfield Road

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - re-use of site is cost effective option

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will bring more choice locally

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - no disruption for pupils from construction

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8370	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I feel the school should not have a religious character as there are other local schools that offer this choice. In designing this school's layout I feel there should be adequate space for break out rooms and interventions to take place. Thought should also be given to how to include children with sensory impairments.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If it means that classes can be taught in whole year groups rather than mixed year groups, then there has to be an advantage to this? For families who want to continue in a Cof E school, there are spaces at Altwood CofE secondary usually so this would help give Altwood more pupils too.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - pupils can move onto secondary with Church of England places at Altwood

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8371	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Traffic in the area is already extremely high with the existing Oldfield school. Adding a new school will mean more traffic as most pupils will by definition, be from off the Oldfield estate. It will at least 7 years before it becomes full with 'local' students. There is only a single road access into the estate which already is blocked with parking and drop off cars to the existing Oldfield School. The recent experience of having Forest Bridge on this site increased the the problem. Parking for staff, visitors and waiting parents from Forest Bridge has been a major issue throughout the day, which became gridlock at school start and finish times. If a new school is forced onto the residents, then one stipulation must be made, that all staff and visitor parking must be contained onsite. As agreed by the council when building the new Oldfield School, that this land should be returned to residential housing, in keeping with the existing housing. This included a commitment that flats would not be acceptable.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Ideally any school should be an offshoot of Oldfield School, as they are fully engaged with local residents and requirements. However I understand that the experience of moving to the new Oldfield site was extremely taxing on staff, parents and residents, and especially the Headmaster. At the time, the option of a split site was seen as the worst possible outcome, and I see no reason that this view has changed. Therefore I understand why they do not wish to be involved in this new school. Allowing the school to become any form of faith school would by definition mean busing in students, and have a higher impact of the school run over a 'local' school. If this is forced on the community, it must be be a local school for local children who can walk to school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: However car parking and drop off traffic issues do need to be addressed. Keeping the existing nursery school on site, in new accommodation should be a prerequisite.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Having different capacities at different age groups is very disruptive, for the school, parents and pupils. Therefore consistency is required. However car parking and drop off traffic issues do need to be addressed.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs across year groups is difficult for school to manage

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain nursery

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - sell the site for family homes

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8372	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Having worked on school new builds in a previous role, I am fully aware of the cost that goes into them. For 30 places, I do not agree that a whole new school needs to be built when do many schools need so much extra support and don't have the funding to do it. So many children/ staff suffer, the money could be used to support existing schools.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

327

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Wessex School (which is almost opposite Lowbrook), has recently had a lower intake of Reception children. Wessex School is not a funded academy (Lowbrook Primary is). Why is Lowbrook being offered expansion when places in very local schools are not being filled. Why is money being spent on an academy school when another school is struggling with funding, making redundancies and selling a nursery due to cost? Wessex has a huge number of families under social care, a huge number of children who require additional support and care and not enough staff to do it due to funds. Lowbrook traffic is awful, the school road is dangerous and the council are looking to make this worse? I'd like to see what road improvements will be made in this area, what travel plans the school will be putting in place at their cost? I think schools funded by RBWM, with more additional needs in the area require the support and financial help to ensure they can stay on top...not another unnecessary school building. I'm also not sure where the future figures are coming from, why is there suddenly an increase in the area and where from? Genuinely interested?

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

LOWBROOK - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - concern that expansion will perpetuate divide between mainstream higher

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - invest money in existing school sites instead

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - re-use of site is not a cost-effective option

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8373	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: The school should be run by Lowbrook Academy Trust which has been monumental in achieving exemplary outcomes for children following the Growth mindset strategy. Their efforts can also be seen in the improvement of Holy Trinity School, Cookham. The Borough should ensure good outcomes for the children living locally and should try and replicate the outcomes of Lowbrook to each of its other schools.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It would be only fair to the children living in the catchment of the school to be able to get a place in such an outstanding school. Currently, when intake drops to 30 there are cases where children living in the school's catchment do not get a place because of high number of sibling entries. While in other years when intake is 60, even children from outside the catchment are able to get a place.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8374	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: With the success of a forest preschool Little Muddy Me in the borough I would love to see a school that focuses on a lot more alternative/outdoor learning. The physical and mental health benefits to this type of learning is just amazing. Its time for a change

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook academy is an amazing school, and I think the more children that can attend this outstanding school the better. My only concern, parents who have to drive to drop their child off as they then have to get to work or other children to get to preschool etc, how will the extra flow of traffic affect the already busy roads.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8375	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non religious school, if a school is required. Run by local authority.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As per my answer for Lowbrook. There are spaces at Wessex and Woodlands Park which are both good schools. Why expand to the detriment of other local schools where there are spaces. I work for a school in Bracknell that became a 3 form entry school from 2013 - we are now struggling to fill the three reception classes each year hence budget is smaller so staff are cut and children suffer.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Two local primary schools, Wessex and Woidjands Park have places and are both very good schools. Yet because budgets are shrinking and they gave spaces, they are reducing support numbers which in turn affects the children in these schools. In such circumstances why expand an academy to the detriment of other schools close by that have spaces!

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8376	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	N/A	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If Larchfield is expanded then that will have direct implications on Shoppinghangers lane which is under consultation to become bus only. I feel both education and transport need to make these decisions in tandem or you will waste tax payers money! In addition the council tax has gone up significantly this year and this is not good management of public funds or councillors time.

331

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There are two main reasons why Lowbrook should not be expanded with funding from the LEA. 1) Lowbrook is an Academy run school therefore all funding for expansion should come from the Academy and not from the LEA. 2) Wessex Primary school the closest other school (less than 5 min walk away) is currently not full. There are spaces in both the reception and nursery. Also the nursery is under consultation to close in its current format due to lack of support, resulting in a loss of funding for the school. The latest correspondence from the school informed parents that there is a 57k drop in funding per year. 3) There are no current plans to increase housing in CoxGreen so surely we need to ensure that the current local authority run schools are sufficiently financed and supported by the local authority before expanding other schools. To conclude you need to be filling local authority schools in the area first and providing adequate funding for them before sending additional money to schools that are non LEA.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: should not be funding expansion at academies

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8377
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I think the council should be looking to expand Boyne Hill infants instead because All Saints Junior is already equipped to be a 3-form entry school. Transport such as a school bus system could be put in place to help children get to and from the schools.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think the council should be looking to expand Boyne Hill infants instead because All Saints Junior is already equipped to be a 3-form entry school. Transport such as a school bus system could be put in place to help children get to and from the schools.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Sai

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: Run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Saints

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8378
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: N/A

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: It is difficult to understand how the infant and junior schools close by will operate or be affected. Surely as a borough it would make sense to make these existing schools primary from reception age to Year 6. If not this needs to be carefully considered. If the infants have capacity then the children should then be able to move on to All Saints as a Junior school and consequently cheaper for the borough.

333

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It is difficult to understand how the infant and junior schools close by will operate or be affected. Surely as a borough it would make sense to make these existing schools primary from reception age to Year 6. If not this needs to be carefully considered. If the infants have capacity then the children should then be able to move on to All Saints as a Junior school and consequently cheaper for the borough.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If the problem is reception aged, then why is Boyne Hill not appropriate or the expansion of this or All Saints to be a primary from reception to Year 6?

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: How will it affect other schools in that area?

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Saints

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - make All Saints Junior into a primary school

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - make All Saints into a primary school

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: PROPOSAL - expand Boyne Hill to 90, which will then feed into spare capacity at All Sai

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8379	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
							This page cannot be completed if you	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: An impact assessment / should be arranged to explore the design and operational issues facing residents with the existing use of nearby streets by parents and pupils attending Oldfield School. The issues presented by Forest School with cars blocking access and egress out of Chiltern Road were negated only when the school moved. Community access and use should be considered if a new school of 210 pupils is recommended to meet population growth.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I would suggest any new school should not be a special needs school where the ratio of teacher/ helpers creates increases parking problems. Ideally it should be non specific religious school or multi faith school. It could be Early Years and or Nursery School to provide a joined up links to Oldfield School as a Centre of Excellence. The School could be linked to Retired Residents in the Community to encourage contact between our youngest citizens and our oldest.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: No comment

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: No comments

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8380	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The current traffic in larch field school, parking in bargemen road, dementia/coop centre parking in larchfield road is at its peak as of now. There are increasing incidents to inconsiderate parking within Gage close during and post school hours. Moreover the Larchfield road has two bus stops in front of dementia centre and larchfield school. This causes further chaos as it is. Lastly Larchfield road is not wide enough to accommodate more traffic or parking at this stage. So irrespective of whether there is going to be additional parking within Larchfield school or otherwise this is going to upset the balance of the entire resident community.

335

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Wessex primary being a state funded school has been struggling to keep up with quality trained staff and services. They have been providing a quality environment in spite of the budget hurdles. In this juncture approving this proposal is going to further weaken the case for Wessex primary who has been serving Maidenhead residents for decades.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8381	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: RBWM need to consider parking for both staff & parental collection. With 2 schools & a nursery all fully operating within such a small area the traffic will be horrendous & very difficult to manage. We will need some way of exiting the estate during school drop off & pick-up times, as this often is difficult. Oldfield School parents still park on the Chiltern Road Estate, as will the additional parents, which will make it so dangerous for the children. Therefore the re-opening of the old site needs to be carefully managed. Forest Green School collection regularly blocked the road for residents & any emergency vehicles, in fact I politely emailed the Head Teacher expressing my concerns on this. We cannot allow this to happen again & cars cannot be allowed double park & queue to collect, therefore causing blocking the carriageway for other vehicles, it's just dangerous & unacceptable.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Oldfield was never a religious school & should remain multi-denominational, as is our area & community. With inadequate parking on site it should also not be used for special needs school again because the staff to pupil ratio doesn't suit a site with no parking for the staff.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -


4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It's an excellent school by all accounts, I'm sure it would have no problem managing the extra pupils.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, impact on road safety

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked emergency access

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8382	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: As a family with extraordinary health and mobility constraints, the lack of school place near home was devastating. We were sent to Alwyn. Ultimately a place became available at our catchment school Oldfield after a pupil moved away from the area. A greater provision of school places is essential. We imagine that the increasing annual demand will also impact upon secondary school places, healthcare provision and all forms of infrastructure / services which communities require to operate effectively.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: We would prefer a non denominational school to provide greatest flexibility for the diverse community that reflects the UK today. Braywick Court trust have expressed an interest in operating a new school on the Chiltern road site and we would support their request. A refurbished school is unlikely to be cheaper than a new build. The available programme may determine the type of construction- fast track prefabricated. A new build will avoid parental reluctance based upon 'second best' reactions to refurbishment. If it wasn't good enough for Forrest Bridge why should it be good enough for additional annual intake. There are significant congestion issues along Chiltern road which will need to be addressed.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8383	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Larchfield School has gained an excellent reputation and has worked hard to give their current pupils a balanced and well-rounded education. There is sufficient space in the playing fields for new 2-storey buildings to be added to the school, whilst it is 'in session', ahead of old buildings being demolished. I hope that the existing 'SureStart' building will be incorporated in the expanded school, with provision for outside groups to use the facilities as well.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I used to live in Cox Green and have friends with children at Lowbrook School. The site is already congested with Manor Green School and Cox Green School in close proximity. I do not think there is sufficient space for PRIMARY children to receive a balanced and well-rounded education when the plan will inevitably lead to less outdoor space, through parking and access requirements.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain community centre

LARCHFIELD: PROPOSAL - need to retain nursery

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8384	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I have been a resident in Chiltern Road for 24 years and during that time I have witnessed the massive increase in traffic caused by caused by Oldfield school when it was in Chiltern Road and also the later issues with Forest Bridge School. During the time the school was in Chiltern Road the road was jammed with cars when the school started and when it finished for the day such that the queue often reached almost as far as my house which is close to the school. This would last up as long as 30 minutes or more and caused problems for residents. Emergency vehicles would not be able to pass due to the queue and parked cars. Cars would park across corners; block residents drives (my wife experienced this twice when going to work) and even across the hatching outside the school. The local Community Warden even warned the school about this and parents using mobile phones and sitting with engines running. Speeding has also been an issue and two cars did collide one morning outside the school. The Headmaster mentioned the problems in his weekly newsletter about the issues and even a near miss where a child was nearly run over by a car and the mother was hit on the back of the leg by a car parked on a corner. I have copies if you wish to see them. We now have the problem of Oldfield School in Bray with several parents parking on both sides of the road and on pavements in Cannock Close and also Chiltern Road when dropping and picking up children which will make matters much worse if a new school exists in Chiltern Road. Clearly the Kiss and drop scheme is not totally working. A more recent issue is the number of cars parking along Chiltern Road by people working Maidenhead. Forest Bridge School tried to operate a drop off and pick up scheme and this caused long queues in Chiltern Road with cars leaving their engines running increasing pollution. This usually lasted at least 30 minutes twice a day causing issues for residents. It also meant that staff parked in Chiltern Road and Cleveland Close, creating issues for large lorries that often deliver and would mean residents had nowhere for visitors/tradesmen to park. The Oldfield estate is not the best place for a primary school due to the restricted access and limited parking on the school grounds. Parents will continue to use cars despite all efforts by Oldfield to get parents and children to walk for example. I have some photographs of the traffic issues if you would like to see.

339

Summary of issues raised:

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I don't believe it is a good place for a primary school bearing in my comments previously but if the council ignore the evidence and increase in traffic and pollution then it should be a junior primary school open to all faiths. Traffic pollution must be considered as well. The headmaster of Oldfield himself has commented on the growth of the school and should be consulted. He said that in 2005 the number of pupils was 180 and that was manageable. There is no room for growth.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8385	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Oldfield Primary School	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I think we need to think very carefully about the implications for the Chiltern Road estate before the old Oldfield / Forest Bridge site is re-worked into a 1-FE primary school. We need to take into account that when it was originally Oldfield with 210 pupils we did not have a 2-Fe primary school across the road at that time. We need to consider the traffic and parking implications in both the main Bray Road and also the estate roads if we are to end up with a considerably larger ie 630 pupil intake in this small area.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I have no opinion on religious, free school or any other type of school. I am just a very concerned local resident who can see considerable implications for those of us who would be living between 2 schools.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Lowbrook is an academy and therefore has much more control over the way in which its intake is managed, its budgets and all aspects of how the school is run. As I am not local to the Lowbrook area I have no visibility on whether it would be advantageous to them as a school or to the local residents to be expanded to 60 places in each year group.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CONSULTATION - need more information before deciding

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8386
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport College	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I am struggling to understand why a new school would be built on this site, with Oldfield in such close proximity. I also do not agree with a new school being built in Maidenhead when we have so many schools with surplus places. Whilst I appreciate the money comes from a different pot, it would be wiser to ensure that the schools we have are fit for purpose and full before building a new school.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No school. Too close to Oldfield which will in turn - in the long run be detrimental to them

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As per previous comments - same apply

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I have been a parent at Lowbrook Academy for 15 years. In this time as it has expanded, the traffic in Cox Green has got worse (I was also a Cox Green resident for 16 years until Mar this year) I love Lowbrook, it is a brilliant school but I struggle to see the rationale of expanding Lowbrook, when again there are other local schools with spaces. These could benefit from money spent on them. Lowbrook also, from what I am aware of have very limited space in order to expand.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Look at ways of diverting pupils to other schools that have spaces

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As per previous comments - look at building a transport infrastructure to 'bus' town centre children to other schools in the area that have more space and spaces i.e. Wessex, Woodlands Park, Knowl Hill, All Saints

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (KNOWL HILL), so why expansion?

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WOODLANDS PARK), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (KNOWL HILL), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8387	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: While I appreciate the need for more school places, it seems very difficult and dangerous to increase traffic in this area with another full sized school. The area is already very crowded with children, parents and local residents at school run time (especially in the morning). A new free school would invite more traffic both car and by foot. I currently use the kiss and drop system at Oldfield and observe the footpaths are already very crowded with all the traffic going to Oldfield primary school. The roads are also very busy and additional school traffic into/out of the Chiltern estate would result in grid lock. A real concern is how a new school, so close to Oldfield primary, could ensure drop off/pick ups could run safely as well as ensure Oldfield students and parents are still able to get to school safely and on time.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I think it would work better if this was used as a special school - like Forest Bridge - where class sizes are much smaller and school start times could be flexible if needed. This type of school worked well before and I am sure there is much need in the community.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8388	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: There are a vast number of spaces available at schools close by such as at Allsaints where funding was already used to create 2 additional buildings over 4 years ago and are not using their full potential. They could easily accommodate for an additional 60 children and they have a separate classroom located on the field with its own toilet facilities.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I don't think a religious school would be needed in this area as there is a large mix of religions and a full inclusion school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Allsaints is within walking distance and could accommodate 60+ children right now. The money that would be spent on building could be invested into our children education right now - existing schools are in desperate need of additional staff and suitable devices such as laptops and iPads even PE equipment

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: There are already spaces available right now at Allsaints school, they could easily take 60-90 children, so why spend cash the Borough should be investing into technology at existing schools when children could be put in to an established school right now

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Again Allsaints has enough space to accommodate for more children. With finances the way they are in the Borough it is a waste of funds

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Again Allsaints is in the area and can accommodate

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8389	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	Other	Governor at:	Oldfield Primary School	Resident near Larchfield:	No	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	-
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wycombe High School	Member of staff at:	Claire's Court Senior Boys	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Children living in South East Maidenhead are already disadvantaged in terms of secondary school choice, so until the borough addresses this, it should not be considering opening more primary schools in South East Maidenhead for EVEN MORE children to be disappointed with not receiving their preferred secondary school place. Furthermore the dynamics of Oldfield Primary will totally change, and the new school will probably become the equivalent of the current/old Oldfield....with very local Chiltern Road estate and neighbouring roads demographic, meaning those children would not take their place at the current/new Oldfield, so those places would then be taken by children who live further afield and therefore would have to drive not walk to the school, some of whom would use 'kiss and drop' but some of whom would use the Chiltern Road estate to park and walk. The residents here are already prickly about traffic, so I cannot imagine they would like a new primary school location here.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Access by foot only i.e. no cars.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think Larchfield should focus on its T&L if it is currently a 'good' ofsted school rather than outstanding, if its catchment area is more challenging I don't think it should be distracted from its core purpose by an expansion, and the figures don't support the need for this either, although one bulge year would probably be acceptable as a one off without having too much of a detrimental effect.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I can only imagine the difficulty for the school in terms of different size year groups to manage with staffing etc. and for parents it must be awful for siblings not to get a pace together at the same school...this should never happen and therefore needs to be addressed.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Only a slight change to current PAN, and relatively central in Maidenhead.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Only a slight change to current PAN, and relatively central in Maidenhead.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs across year groups is difficult for school to manage

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils

GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

SECONDARY - need to make sure that enough places are being built for secondary pupils

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8390	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: All Saints CoE Junior school has 90 available places. So why are children not being sent to that school when it is already built and has the capacity and services to offer? The council should rather use the fund, which would be much cheaper than building a new site, to start a bus service or something similar to get school children safely to All Saints from other area's and fill up their capacity. Before considering building new schools

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No new school should be opened until plans are made to make sure other schools are filled to capacity, such as All Saints CoE Junior School.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: All Saints CoE Junior school has 90 available places. So why are children not being sent to that school when it is already built and has the capacity and services to offer? The council should rather use the fund, which would be much cheaper than building a new site, to start a bus service or something similar to get school children safely to All Saints from other area's and fill up their capacity. Before considering building new schools

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: All Saints CoE Junior school has 90 available places. So why are children not being sent to that school when it is already built and has the capacity and services to offer? The council should rather use the fund, which would be much cheaper than building a new site, to start a bus service or something similar to get school children safely to All Saints from other area's and fill up their capacity. Before considering building new schools

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: All Saints CoE Junior school has 90 available places. So why are children not being sent to that school when it is already built and has the capacity and services to offer? The council should rather use the fund, which would be much cheaper than building a new site, to start a bus service or something similar to get school children safely to All Saints from other area's and fill up their capacity. Before considering building new schools. This proposal to expand other schools makes no sense until you have filled all capacity in existing schools

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL - run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL - other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: ALL SAINTS - other schools have empty places (ALL SAINTS), so why expansion?

GENERAL: Run bus services from areas with high demand to schools with spaces

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8391	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	Waltham St Lawrence Prima	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	wycombe high school	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: with all the new properties being build in maidenhead i feel that it would be more appropriate to allocate space within these proposed new developments for a new school/s to be build rather than extend already established schools adding to the already congested road systems in and around these existing schools.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: with all the new properties being build in maidenhead i feel that it would be more appropriate to allocate space within these proposed new developments for a new school to be build rather than extend already established schools adding to the already congested road systems in and around these existing schools. new schools should be considered now to accommodate all the additional families and children entering the area over the next few years as well as those unable to find places now

347

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: with all the new properties being build in maidenhead i feel that it would be more appropriate to allocate space within these proposed new developments for a new school to be build rather than extend already established schools adding to the already congested road systems in and around these existing schools

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: with all the new properties being build in maidenhead i feel that it would be more appropriate to allocate space within these proposed new developments for a new school to be build rather than extend already established schools adding to the already congested road systems in and around these existing schools

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: with all the new properties being build in maidenhead i feel that it would be more appropriate to allocate space within these proposed new developments for a new school/s to be build rather than extend already established schools adding to the already congested road systems in and around these existing schools

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

GENERAL - build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8392	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Senior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8393	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	St Luke's Nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I like that St Lukes has smaller capacity, it's a lot more welcoming and less intimidating for the younger ones starting. Also the parking and amount of cars around is already hideous, more children would make it much worse.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

ST LUKES: AGAINST - larger school more intimidating for young children

ST LUKES: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8394	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8395	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I feel that maybe 60 is too many unless you would be adding additional teachers

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8396
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No My son goes here

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8397
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8398
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Secondary Schoo	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8399	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Whatever is better for children.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8400		
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No		-
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No		-
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes		-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes		-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8401	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	-
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	At Mary's pre school	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8402	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8403	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8404
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8405	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Academy is best

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ^ωDo you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8406	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8407	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8408	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Improved facilities will provide a real benefit to my children and all other and future children attending the school. The all weather pitch is a great idea

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - approve of installing an all-weather pitch

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8409	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I feel that expansion would be great for the school, the children and the local area.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8410	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8411	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No PTA Treasurer

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am concern about the wildlife in the School garden area, will this be disturbed? As there are a number of protected species

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - against potential loss of the wildlife pond

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8412
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8413	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8414	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I currently have a child in Year 1 and another child who I would like to be able to attend the same school when they are of school age

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8415	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: As a parent I can see the benefits however what attracted myself to the school was the fact it is a small school

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: They needed help out of special measures an increase would mean they could struggle with the increase number of children

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: They only allow catholic children

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - would struggle educationally with more pupils

ST MARYS: AGAINST - should not expand a school that is only for Catholic children

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8416	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: School grounds are far too small for this and parking congestion at drop off and pick up is already bad enough with residents constantly complaining to the school.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8417
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8418
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Lowbrook Academy	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	Yes
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8419	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8420	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8421
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ^ωDo you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: without a permanent head teacher in place at present this is very difficult to make an informed judgement

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of Headteacher

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8422
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Lowbrook Academy	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	Yes Teacher at Lowbrook Academy

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I believe the expansion of current primary schools is more important than building a new school on a new site.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8423	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8424	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8425	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other - Leighton Park, Readi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I think a school with just 30 places is v small. If existing schools don't want to expand and use that site, how will a new school work? I think it'd be costly to set up a new shcool and the investment should be made in existing school in the town.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I think it should be open to all and not religious

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I don't know the school so no idea of the facilities but it sounds like investment is needed. If knocking it down and rebuilding is what's needed - it should be done!

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: The site is perfectly able to take more children. the school is well run and can manage this increase too. class sizes and facilities need to be comperable to other schools in town.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not agree the chidren should be taken off site for sport. they should be able to stay on-site - it will be unsustainable for the staff. the more costly plan should be undertaken

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: if children out of area are taken into the school - then no.this expansion is for Maidenhead children - they should accept children from other faiths from the town, or town catholics. After all isn't religion about tollerence of others !!

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

ST LUKES: PROPOSAL - should carry out the more costly new buildings plan

ST MARYS: AGAINST - should not expand a school that is only for Catholic children

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - site is large enough for expansion

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - expansion will bring children from out of area

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - school should be of comparable size to others

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the proposed new school will be very small with PAN of 30

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - invest money in existing school sites instead

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8426
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8427	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8428	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8429	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8430	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8431
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8432	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Larchfeild school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8433	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8434		
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	Other son goes to Woodlan	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No		

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8435	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Little Pioneers Larchfield	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8436	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8437
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8438	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8439	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8440	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Westborough pre school	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8441	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A particular organisation

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8442	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8443	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Lowbrook Academy	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8444
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8445	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8446	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8447	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8448
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St. Luke's Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
						Parent of children studying in St. Luke'	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: My humble opinion is to keep religion out of Children's thoughts, they either be though about all religion and culture or let them learn about the religion based on their interest.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8449	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	All Saints CE Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Concerned about short term disruption for current pupils but think there would be a long term benefit

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Outside space may be tight

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8450
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No religious character. More schools availability for younger children

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8451	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8452	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Agree. My child is unable to secure a space closer to home, and I have another rising and will need to drive over 2 miles each way daily. I believe additions primary school spots will make it easier to gain a place nearer to home.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: non-denominational is best. schooling should be inclusive and informative about all religions in a non-subjective way.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - proposal will enable children to attend a local school

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8453
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8454
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Why is the RBWM allowing for so many flats to be built with no funds being put forward by developers to cover the increasing schooling costs?

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Setting a target of 2024 and beyond is of no use given the immediate need.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Why is the RBWM allowing for so many flats to be built with no funds being put forward by developers to cover the increasing schooling costs?

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Why should the school lose its swimming pool given that the situation has been caused by the incompetence of the RBWM. Stop any further developments until the local infrastructure can handle the additional growth.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

GENERAL - council should be getting \$106 funds from developers for new school places

GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places

LARCHFIELD: MODIFY - need to implement the proposal more quickly

GENERAL - council should be getting \$106 funds from developers for new school places

GENERAL - too many new flats/dwellings being built in Maidenhead

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8455
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: The school should be non religious and have special needs help

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8456	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Robert Piggott junior	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8457	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8458	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8459	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wycombe High	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non-religious, should be by a organisation with a proven track record of success

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8460
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8461	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No religious character and mixed year groups

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8462	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8463	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: The school should not have a religious character

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8464	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8465	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Please reassured parents of children in non central Maidenhead school that their children will still have access to secondary school... I am anxious, we have a child in Holypory Primary school

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Outside space is a must

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

SECONDARY - need to make sure that enough places are being built for secondary pupils

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8466
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	Yes
						Head Teacher at Boyne Hill Infant & Nu	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A school which supports children with SEND (akin to MGS) or children with attachment and trauma (and thus behavioural) difficulties (akin to Beech Lodge) is very much needed in this Borough.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8467
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8468	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Woodlands Park Primary &	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non religious

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8469	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Nurser	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No views on this

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8470
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Patchwork Montessori Scho	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8471
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I understand the expansion of this school will make it easier for some people but looking at the projection of required spaces it makes less sense to have more available school placements in the areas where the demand is bigger.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I believe the children should have enough playing space in the school without the need to be taken anywhere else so if this is not the case it doesn't seem to me to be the best option

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If one of the good things about the school is the swimming pool and there's a risk to lose it I believe the views of the parents should be taken into account more than the ones of the residents in general.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARY: AGAINST - don't want to lose swimming pool

ST LUKES: AGAINST - should not take pupils offsite for sports

GENERAL - don't agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8472	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Nurser	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8473	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8474	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I had understood that Braywick Court school would expand and take over that site as its junior school. Perhaps that would make more sense given the proven track record of Braywickcourt in setting up a school.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non religious

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Braywick Court Primary should expand onto the site

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8475
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should be non-denominational

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8476	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8477	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I prefer academy school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8478	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8479	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Out door learning, make the most of have the Braywick nature reserve on their doorstep. Learn by experience not just book learned. more exercise and being outside.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am not aware if the school has the space to allow expansion so I cannot comment

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am not aware if the school has the space to allow expansion so I cannot comment

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am not aware if the school has the space to allow expansion so I cannot comment

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I am not aware if the school has the space to allow expansion so I cannot comment

Summary of issues raised:

CONSULTATION - need more information before deciding

4335

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8480	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8481	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8482	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8483
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: N/A

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8484	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8485	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Traffic around the current Oldfield site is already busy, re opening the Chiltern Road site would add to volume of cars.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8486	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8487
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Using an existing site seems the most logical and cost effective option. My only concern would be the traffic "spike" around Oldfield and Chiltern road with 2 schools being so close to each other.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: It would be very logical to ensure Lowbrook has entry for 60 pupils each year to avoid the current lottery for families when the intake is only 30.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - re-use of site is cost effective option

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8488
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	Altwood Church of England	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Junior SchoolFu	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8489
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8490
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8491	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8492	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	BCA	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: None

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: However would not want class size to increase, but rather add another class per year group

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - expansion will increase the class sizes

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8493	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Senior School (n	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Consideration should be made to have the new primary school at 300 place capacity rather than just 210 place.

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - should consider a larger school on the site

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: The school should not be a faith school and should be open to all children.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8494	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A community school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: as st Mary's

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not agree with primary school being given academy status

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8495	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Burchetts Green CE Infant S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8496	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8497
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8498	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8499	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8500	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8501
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: This side of Maidenhead is seriously lacking in secondary school places, this needs to be the focus on any further spend

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Again a secondary school is needed, while I appreciate the site isn't huge, I still feel that it could be utilised to cover secondary school aged children far more than primary, as we have options for primary but not secondary

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

SECONDARY - need to make sure that enough places are being built for secondary pupils

457

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8502	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No. I like the growth mindset approach for all children

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The distribution for the current children whilst building works could be difficult but ultimately the children will benefit in future

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - pupils will benefit from the new facilities

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8503	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Wessex Primary School	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Busy Bees Norden Rd	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: However given Wessex Primary has already had to make a number of redundancies due to Lowbrook opening its bulge class. I would hope that Wessex doesn't lose out from funding as a result of Lowbrooks increased capacity

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

GENERAL: other schools have empty places, so why expansion?

GENERAL: other schools have empty places (WESSEX), so why expansion?

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8504	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8505	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I believe that we require additional primary school places but have concerns about two primary school less than 0.3 miles going in and leaving at the same time. It will be chaos for residents.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Free school and not religious.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - the site is too close to Oldfield Primary School

GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8506	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non religious and state school, not academy

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8507	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Oldfield Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I am against the proposal to split Oldfield Primary school across two sites.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: It should be a co-ed single form entry mainstream school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I live next door do this school, and there physical space at this school is already very limited with very limited outdoor space for the existing cohorts.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I do not think St Mary's school school at adequate space for parent drop off and collection on an already busy road. increasing places at this school will but more stain on this.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - should not split Oldfield Primary School across two sites

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8508	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A Non religious, state school should be made which is not a academy

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8509	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8510	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8511	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8512	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Patchwork nursery Cox Gree	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8513	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8514
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8515	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Other	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Co-opted Audit Member SE	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: With the rate of expansion and buildings erected in the Area, I would consider future proofing and having capacity for more than 30 Studentspa

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Academy trusts seem to be doing well (before COVID) but I feel there are several religious schools as it stands. Having said that, there is certainly more demand than supply so any school is better than no school, or a school miles away.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ⁴⁷Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Although I can see how much strain this will bring to the locals. BE advised that this is exactly why future proofing in the early stages is critical!

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - should consider a larger school on the site

GENERAL - agree with need for new primary school places

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8516	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8517	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No, just state primary school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8518	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No -
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8519
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8520	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8521	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: It will spoil the schools 'small family' charm. The loss of the nurture garden and school field will be a huge loss as well as the noisy building work will impact students learning. A increase of 100% is far too big. The school runs would be chaos.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8522	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Senior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If the school are in favour it seems a sensible expansion.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If the school are in favour it seems a sensible expansion.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school wants to expand

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school wants to expand

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8523	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Waltham St Lawrence Prima	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: 30 pupils per class are too many. A congruous number could be 20. The school shouldn't have a religious character.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8524		
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No		

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8525	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8526
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Private Nursery for a child d	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8527	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Not a religious school. There are already plenty of those. Ideally an academy or community school.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8528	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	Riverside Primary School an	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8529	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8530	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8531	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8533	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Should be run by an existing school - Braywick Court or Oldfield

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Impact on traffic, too small a site

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8534
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8535
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8536	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Traffic will be increased if we expanded. I strongly disagree with expansion of lowbrook 60 places.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Lowbrook

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8537	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Courthouse Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Courthouse Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Courthouse Junior School	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8538	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: In my view 60 children per year group is far too much to handle and give each child the attention and help they need. A child can feel lost in such a mass of students, especially if he/her is a bit more shy than others

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposed expansion will make the school too large

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8539	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8540	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No coments

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8541	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund's nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8542
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: St Luke's is a great school vs St Mary's that has suffered from poor leadership (i.e. no online lessons provided to pupils during lockdown / managed zoom calls only to take register)

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Poorly run school

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

ST MARYS: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8543	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8544	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think the traffic would be even worse if you expand this school

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Traffic again on this road would be a nightmare

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Marys

ST LUKES: AGAINST - traffic and parking at St Luke's

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8545
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	Alwyn Infant School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ⁵Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8546
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8547	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Waltham St Lawrence Prima	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: 30 places per class are too many.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Nil

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - expansion will increase class sizes

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8548	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	Oldfield Primary School	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: it should not be religious

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8549
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Member of staff at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: It would be great for the local community and families.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8550	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	I have a daughter in year 8 a	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non-religious.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8551
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Oldfield Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8552	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Braywick Court School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: A sister school to Braywick

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8553
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8554	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation? No
Parent of pupil at:	The Furze Platt Primary Fed	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8555	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ⁵⁷ Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8556	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Mary's Catholic Primary S	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If it is St Marys or St Lukes i think St Mary's offers more benefits

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: If it is going to also change current mixing of the year groups it would benefit existing children learning. Also St Mary's will cause less trouble for traffic on Cookham Road as is a bit off road.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning

ST MARYS: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8557	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: I think it's a good idea my child had a fantastic education once she started larchfield school

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposed expansion is a good idea

LARCHFIELD: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8558
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8559	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: A new school and associated infrastructure will prove more costly than expanding an existing school. E.g new headteacher, new dinner ladies etc etc.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: No religious schools

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Too expensive to build a new school and the council doesn't have enough funds as it is.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: This will minimise disruption to families as children can remain at the same school for their primary years

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The school obviously want to expand, it is an outstanding school so children will benefit from this plus there will be little additional traffic as most families will walk to school.

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Priority will be given to children of one particular faith as opposed to all children.

Summary of issues raised:

ST MARYS: AGAINST - should not expand a school that is only for Catholic children

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - traffic and parking, most pupils will walk to school

ST LUKES: SUPPORT - the proposal should go ahead as the school wants to expand

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - current mismatch of PANs can make it difficult for siblings to get places

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - proposed scheme is too expensive

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - re-use of site is not a cost-effective option

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8560	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: It already gets very busy coming in and out of Ross road and larchfield at drop off/pick up times and doubling the size would only add to the sheer amount of traffic.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8561	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	Desborough College	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Little red hen nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8562
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Courthouse Junior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: Would be great to see St Lukes or Braywick expand to have their juniors here, or a new school here

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: Precedence should be in South East Maidenhead where demand is high

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: The site sounds stretched already

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

ST LUKES: AGAINST - site is too small for expansion

GENERAL - don't agree with the need for new primary school places

GENERAL: Prioritise areas where demand is projected to be high

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - Braywick Court Primary should expand onto the site

CHILTERN ROAD: SUPPORT - the proposal is a good idea

CHILTERN ROAD: PROPOSAL - St Lukes Church of England Primary should expand onto the site

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8563	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	A private nursery, playgroup	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: Until larchfield performs better there is no point expanding it

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8564	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I don't know whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8565
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	Waltham St Lawrence Prima	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Waltham St Lawrence Prima	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8566		
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	Yes	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Governor at:	Boyne Hill C of E Infant and	Resident near Larchfield:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	None	Resident at Lowbrook:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	None	Resident at St Luke's:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No		

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8567	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8568	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8569	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	St Edmund Campion Catholi	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Other	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Furze Platt Senior School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8570	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8571	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Lowbrook Academy	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	White Waltham C of E Acad	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: I feel a grammar school is needed in Maidenhead more so than building a new primary school. Other primary schools should be expanded instead.

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8572	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: open for local children, not religious

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8573	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8574	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: To much traffic already and vehicles parking down the streets not a good idea

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking at Larchfield

LARCHFIELD: AGAINST - traffic and parking, blocked access for residents

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8575	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

No, I do not agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ~~Do~~ you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

No, I do not agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8576	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: I agree with this proposal provided that provision for staff parking is contained on site and parent parking/drop off is adequately managed.

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

CHILTERN ROAD: AGAINST - traffic and parking in Chiltern Road

CHILTERN ROAD - traffic and parking, need mitigation measures

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8577	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	-
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8578
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8579
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	Oldfield Primary School	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Should be for Sen children

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8580	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Oldfield Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No
								-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8581
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	Kiddies Cabin nursery	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. ~~Do~~ you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I don't know whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I don't know whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8582
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road	No
Parent of pupil at:	Holyport C of E Primary sch	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: I am a resident living 2 mins away from Lowbrook and still have to travel everyday 3 and half miles each way to Holyport to drop my boys for school.

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

LOWBROOK: SUPPORT - school is located close to rising demand

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8583	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Wessex Primary School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Cox Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Council run school

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8584
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	Yes	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	Lowbrook Academy	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	None	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No
						Representing organisation?	No
							-

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8585	
A parent?	No	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: Non Religious School

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8586	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Desborough College	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Newlands Girls' School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Manor Green School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

Yes, I agree that a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

Yes, I agree that Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8587	
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	Yes	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	Larchfield Primary and Nurs	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	No	
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	No	
							Representing organisation?	No

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

No, I do not agree that Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX C - INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

Respondent details:						Respondent no.	8588		
A parent?	Yes	A member of staff?	No	A governor?	No	Resident near Chiltern Road?	No	Representing organisation?	No
Parent of pupil at:	Alwyn Infant School	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident near Larchfield:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	Altwood Church of England	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at Lowbrook:	No		
Parent of pupil at:	None	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Luke's:	Yes		
Parent of pupil at:	-	Member of staff at:	-	Governor at:	-	Resident at St Mary's:	Yes		

Questions and responses:

1. Do you agree with the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead?

I have no view on whether a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, should be opened on the Chiltern Road site.

Comments: -

2. Do you have any comments about the type of school that should be opened on the site?

Comments: -

3. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary and Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether Larchfield Primary and Nursery School should be expanded from 30 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

4. Do you agree with the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups?

I have no view on whether Lowbrook Academy should be expanded, so that it can offer 60 places in all year groups.

Comments: -

5. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St. Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

Yes, I agree that St. Luke's Church of England Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

6. Do you agree with the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group?

I have no view on whether St Mary's Catholic Primary School should be expanded from 45 to 60 places per year group.

Comments: -

Summary of issues raised:

APPENDIX D – COMMENTARY ON THE MAIN ISSUES RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION

Table D1: Comments in relation to the proposal to open a new primary school, with 30 places per year group, on the Chiltern Road site in Maidenhead

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
1.	AGAINST – traffic and parking in Chiltern Road.	40	<p>Respondents noted that the local roads are very busy already at school drop-off and pick-up timings, particularly with the new Oldfield Primary School located on Bray Road. It was noted that there is only one vehicular access into the Chiltern Road estate, and that the site is already close to Oldfield Primary School.</p> <p>In addition to mentioning concerns about increased traffic, some respondents highlighted specific issues (as recorded in the following rows). One of the commonest was reference to parents and staff blocking driveways and the road through inconsiderate parking. This could also lead to issues with emergency access. Traffic to the school could also lead to accidents and increased pollution.</p>	<p>The Chiltern Road site was previously a primary school, and the numbers attending the school would be the same as previously. As the rationale for providing the new places is to meet local demand, it is expected that most pupils attending would live within walking distance. This was not the case whilst the site was used for Forest Bridge School. As this was a special school, the pupils came from a wider area, and so were more likely to be driven to school. Additionally, the needs of the pupils meant that the staffing numbers were much higher than would be expected in a primary school, which may have led to difficulties with parking for staff onsite.</p> <p>Of course, since the Chiltern Road site was vacated, Oldfield Primary School has been operating from the Bray Road site, which is close by. This means more children travelling to and from school in a small area. However, if pupil numbers rise locally (e.g. due to new housing) then travel by car can be kept to a minimum if school places are provided locally, as proposed here.</p> <p>When considering the feasibility of options for new school places on the Chiltern road site, the Royal Borough's Transport and Infrastructure noted that having a full 210 place primary school was likely to be better than other options involving split-site infant and junior schools.</p>
2.	...blocked access for residents.	13		
3.	...blocked emergency access.	7		
4.	...impact on road safety.	7		
5.	...site is too close to Oldfield Primary.	7		
6.	...brings more pollution.	2		
7.	Traffic and parking, need mitigation measures.	15	<p>Some respondents felt that there were measures that could be implemented to help with traffic and parking if a new school opens on the Chiltern Road site. These measures included making sure that school staff did not park in the surrounding roads, and putting in double-yellow lines to allow enforcement. An onsite drop-off for pupils is also suggested, although some respondents are concerned that this would encourage parents to drive.</p>	<p>The feasibility study for the potential return of the Chiltern Road site to primary school use highlights the opportunity for an onsite vehicular drop-off, though thought will need to be given to whether this would simply encourage more parents to drive to the school. The school would have enough car-parking for staff, reducing the likelihood of cars being parked on the local roads all day.</p> <p>Getting children to school safely and efficiently is a key part of their education. To achieve this, the Transport and Infrastructure team will work closely with Children's Services to review the existing highways situation for future school expansions. The council carried out an engagement process with councillors and local parishes to discuss the future of active travel in the borough. This included seeking ideas for interventions that improve road conditions for cyclists and walkers such as school streets, modal filters and segregated cycle paths. More than 1,000 responses were received and the Transport and Infrastructure Team are now working through these. The Royal Borough is developing its Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.</p>
8.	AGAINST – other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	10	<p>A number of respondents noted that other schools had spare capacity, and so questioned why it was necessary to open new</p>	<p>The local authority's statutory duties require that enough school places are provided to meet demand. At the time at which the consultation was</p>

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
			primary school places. In relation to the Chiltern Road proposal, All Saints CE Junior School and Holyport CE Primary School were mentioned.	approved, it was expected that the spare capacity in Reception would disappear as demand for place rose. As set out in the main report, the latest pupil projections no longer support that view, although there remains a risk that demand may still rise more quickly than anticipated. The Royal Borough has a policy of providing around 5% surplus places, and takes into account both local and town level supply and demand. Even where there are significant levels of spare places, these may be in the wrong location, or in the wrong year groups.
9.	...e.g. All Saints CE Junior School.	6		
10.	...e.g. Holyport CE Primary School.	1		
11.	SUPPORT – the proposal is a good idea.	8	Some respondents state that, for various reasons, the proposal is a good idea. These reasons included providing more school places locally, and for increasing options for local residents.	Agreed.
12.	AGAINST – invest money in existing school sites instead.	6	There was concern that setting up a new school would be costly (in both revenue and capital terms), and that this money could be better spent at existing schools. For most of these respondents this comment was related to concerns at providing new school places when there is already spare capacity elsewhere.	The Royal Borough will only fund school places where there is a need. For new school places, the borough has consulted on a range of options, including providing a new school and expanding existing schools. The resulting proposed strategy is set out in the main report.
13.	SUPPORT – re-use of site is a cost-effective option.	5	A number of respondents noted that the option to re-use the site as a primary school was an efficient way of providing new school places locally.	Agreed.
14.	SUPPORT – proposal will enable children to attend a local school.	4	Some respondents described how they had been unable to obtain a place at their local school, and instead had to travel to a school out-of-area.	Noted.
15.	SUPPORT – proposal will bring more choice locally.	4	Similar to the previous comments, respondents raising this felt that the new school would increase choice locally.	Agreed.
16.	Need to consider all of Maidenhead, not just South East Maidenhead.	4	Some respondents noted the apparent focus on south east Maidenhead, with the relocation and expansion of Oldfield Primary School, and the opening of Braywick Court School.	South East Maidenhead has been an area of focus, due to significant housing and population growth. However, many primary schools across Maidenhead have been expanded over the past decade, and this consultation looked at options for most of the town, excluding North West Maidenhead and the villages (where even the earlier projections did not suggest a shortage of places).
17.	Need to make sure that enough places are being built for secondary pupils.	4	A number of respondents suggested that residents in South East Maidenhead had difficulties getting secondary school places, and that should be the focus, not primary.	The Royal Borough has recently completed a secondary school expansion, with new places added at Cox Green School, Furze Platt Senior School and Newlands Girls' School. In addition, Holyport College has changed its admissions arrangements to increase the number of children offered Year 7 places. Accordingly, there is not expected to be any shortage of secondary places over the next few years. In the longer term, if primary demand rises it is likely that further secondary school places will need to be provided. Feasibility works on secondary school expansions have already been carried out, and proposals for new secondary places will be put to public consultation when needed.

Table D2: Comments in relation to the proposal to expand Larchfield Primary & Nursery School from 30 to 60 places per year group

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
1.	AGAINST – traffic and parking at Larchfield.	45	<p>Many respondents noted the possible impact of additional traffic on the local road network, saying that Larchfield Road and Bargeman Road were already very busy at school drop-off and pick-up times. This was worsened by parked cars all along the roads, even with 'Residents Only' parking restrictions in place. The narrow local roads meant that the impact of expansion would be worse.</p> <p>In addition to mentioning concerns about increased traffic, some respondents highlighted specific issues (as recorded in the following rows). One of the commonest was reference to parents blocking driveways and the road through inconsiderate parking. It was also felt that some of the parking problems were caused by commuters, and by the care home.</p> <p>There was concern that the increased traffic could impact on road safety, and that higher numbers of pupils would be affected by unsafe driving (e.g. speeding), particularly along Larchfield Road and Bargeman Road.</p>	<p>The impact of a school expansion on the local road network will need to be carefully considered if this proposal is taken forward.</p> <p>The Royal Borough's Transport and Infrastructure Team has considered the feasibility of expansion at the school, noting that most of the current pupils live nearby and walk to schools. It is likely that, if the school is expanded to help meet demand from the town centre, more pupils may arrive by car.</p>
2.	...blocked access for residents.	16		
3.	...impact on road safety.	7		
4.	...blocked access for emergency services.	1		
5.	Traffic and parking, need mitigation measures.	9	<p>Some respondents felt that there were measures that could be implemented to help with traffic and parking if Larchfield Primary School is expanded. These measures included moving the entrance to Larchfield Road; creating an onsite drop-off; making all of the local roads 'residents only' parking, and double-yellow lines (enforced).</p>	<p>The feasibility study for the expansion of Larchfield Primary and Nursery School proposed the relocation of the entrance for the school from Bargeman Road onto Larchfield Road. This would redirect some of the traffic to the slightly wider road. There is some limited potential for an onsite drop-off on the school site, which could help reduce the impact on the local roads. Thought would need to be given as to whether this would encourage more parents to drive to school, and whether this would be a good use of space on a limited site.</p> <p>Getting children to school safely and efficiently is a key part of their education. To achieve this, the Transport and Infrastructure team will work closely with Children's Services to review the existing highways situation for future school expansions. The council carried out an engagement process with councillors and local parishes to discuss the future of active travel in the borough. This included seeking ideas for interventions that improve road conditions for cyclists and walkers such as school streets, modal filters and segregated cycle paths. More than 1,000 responses were received and the Transport and Infrastructure Team are now working through these. The Royal Borough is developing its Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.</p>
6.	AGAINST – other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	24	<p>A number of respondents noted that other schools had spare capacity, and so questioned why it was necessary to open new primary school places. In relation to the Larchfield Primary School proposal, All Saints CE Junior School, Wessex Primary, Woodlands Park and Knowl Hill were all identified as schools with places.</p>	<p>The local authority's statutory duties require that enough school places are provided to meet demand. At the time at which the consultation was approved, it was expected that the spare capacity in Reception would disappear as demand for places rose. As set out in the main report, the latest pupil projections no longer support that view, although there remains a risk that demand may still rise more quickly than anticipated.</p>

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
				The Royal Borough has a policy of providing around 5% surplus places, and takes into account both local and town level supply and demand. Even where there are significant levels of spare places, these may be in the wrong location, or in the wrong year groups.
7.	...e.g. All Saints CE Junior School.	14		
8.	...e.g. Wessex Primary School.	6		
9.	...e.g. Woodlands Park Primary Sch.	3		
10.	...e.g. Knowl Hill CE Primary School.	1		
11.	SUPPORT – pupils will benefit from the new facilities.	11	Although there was some concern about the potential for disruption for existing pupils, many respondents felt that the provision of new facilities for local pupils would provide a long-term benefit. It was felt that the existing building is tired and somewhat inaccessible.	Agreed.
12.	AGAINST – site is too small for expansion.	10	Some respondents feel that the school site is too small to allow for expansion, with a risk that pupils would be left short of outside areas. It was also felt that the small site size exacerbated issues with parking.	<p>The feasibility study for the expansion of Larchfield identified a number of options that would make it possible to expand the school to 420 pupils. It is the case that this would leave the school somewhat short of outdoor space, particularly if the nursery and Children’s Centre are retained. This shortage would be in the team games playing field space, although there would be more than enough informal soft and hard outdoor play areas. If this proposal is taken forward, careful thought will be given to this issue.</p> <p>School sites do not generally include parking for parents or onsite drop-off arrangements. Issues with traffic and parking are, therefore, less a result of site size than the capacity of the local road network.</p>
13.	AGAINST – don’t approve of the loss of playing field space and other outdoor space.	7	Some respondents objected to the likely loss of existing outdoor areas e.g. the nature garden and grassed areas.	A significant proportion of the outdoor area would need to be converted to an all-weather surface. As this can be used more intensively it counts as double in government guidelines for the amount of outdoor space required. The building footprint of a two-storey school would only be a quarter larger than at present, so the site would be able to keep a grassed field and habitat area as well.
14.	AGAINST – building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils.	6	There was concern that the pupils would continue to be taught in the existing buildings whilst the new school is built. It was felt that the noise would be disruptive, and that the dust potentially dangerous to children with asthma.	<p>If the proposal was to proceed, then it is likely that the children would continue to be educated onsite whilst the new school buildings were constructed. As with other school building construction projects, there would be site and noise management plans to minimise impact on pupils (and local residents).</p> <p>One issue would be the loss of the onsite playing fields for the duration of the build. Arrangements would need to be made to provide team games playing field space elsewhere for the build period.</p>
15.	Need to retain nursery	4	A number of respondents, including the onsite private nursery provider, objected to the possible loss of the private nursery. It was also felt that some of the numbers in the feasibility study (i.e. not in the consultation document), misrepresented the impact of the options on nursery provision.	The working assumption is that, if this proposal were to go ahead, both the Children’s Centre and the private nursery would be retained onsite. This will help preserve facilities for the local community, and avoid new homes having to be found for them. There is some clumsy wording in the feasibility study, particularly in the summary, but the only changes to the number of nursery places offered locally is an increase of six places to Larchfield’s own nursery class in each option. The number of private places would stay the same, and are assumed to be onsite in three of the four options. In Option 2, the private provider would need be found another home offsite. This would be difficult, and so it is unlikely that this option would be pursued.

Table D3: Comments in relation to the proposal to expand Lowbrook Academy so that it has 60 places in all year groups

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
1.	AGAINST – other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	49	<p>A large number of respondents noted that other schools had spare capacity, and so questioned why it was necessary to open new primary school places. In relation to the Lowbrook Academy proposal, Wessex Primary, Woodlands Park and All Saints CE Junior School were all identified as schools with places.</p> <p>It was stated that local schools had made redundancies due to lower pupil numbers, and that it would be better to fill places in schools with a surplus. This position was supported by both Wessex Primary and Woodlands Park in responses from their staff/governors.</p>	<p>The local authority's statutory duties require that enough school places are provided to meet demand. At the time at which the consultation was approved, it was expected that the spare capacity in Reception would disappear as demand for place rose. As set out in the main report, the latest pupil projections no longer support that view, although there remains a risk that demand may still rise more quickly than anticipated.</p> <p>The Royal Borough has a policy of providing around 5% surplus places, and takes into account both local and town level supply and demand. Even where there are significant levels of spare places, these may be in the wrong location, or in the wrong year groups.</p>
2.	...e.g. Wessex Primary School.	32		
3.	...e.g. Woodlands Park Primary Sch.	14		
4.	...e.g. All Saints CE Junior School.	11		
5.	AGAINST – traffic and parking at Lowbrook.	46	<p>Many respondents noted the possible impact of an expansion at Lowbrook on local traffic, particularly with other busy schools in the neighbourhood (Cox Green, Manor Green and Wessex). The location of the school on a cul-de-sac (The Fairway) was highlighted, and many respondents also felt that children were attending from outside the area.</p> <p>In addition to mentioning concerns about increased traffic, some respondents highlighted specific issues (as recorded in the following rows). One of the commonest was reference to road safety, with parents parking on pavements and issues with children crossing roads safely.</p> <p>Respondents also commented on the potential for increased pollution, which would affect the health of local residents and pupils.</p>	<p>The impact of a school expansion on the local road network will need to be carefully considered if this proposal is taken forward.</p> <p>The Royal Borough's Transport and Infrastructure Team has considered the feasibility of expansion at the school, and noted that it is feasible. This would require improvements to the onsite drop-off arrangements.</p>
6.	...impact on road safety.	11		
7.	...blocked access for residents.	7		
8.	...traffic and parking, increase in pollution.	4		
9.	...most families drive to school.	3		
10.	...most children will walk to school.	1		
11.	...traffic and parking not an issue.	1		
12.	...blocked access for emergency services.	1		
13.	AGAINST - don't agree with the need for new primary school places.	15	<p>A number of respondents felt that the case for new primary school places in South West Maidenhead was weak, given the relatively low projected shortfall of 15 Reception places in September 2024, and the existing capacity in neighbouring schools. It was noted that some families were temporarily renting properties to get places at Lowbrook, then moving back to their previous address.</p>	<p>Please see answer to 1. above.</p>
14.	SUPPORT – the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education.	14	<p>Some respondents noted that Lowbrook Academy is an outstanding school, that is popular with parents. Expansion here should, therefore, be prioritised.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
15.	SUPPORT – current mismatch of PANS can make it difficult for siblings to get places.	14	A number of respondents stated that the current arrangements make it harder for siblings to get into Lowbrook Academy in the years when the PAN is only 30. Additionally, it is much more difficult for non-siblings to get places in those years as well, as the majority of places are taken up by siblings.	Agreed.
16.	SUPPORT – proposal should go ahead as Lowbrook is a popular school.	10	Respondents noted that the school is popular and oversubscribed.	Lowbrook Academy is consistently oversubscribed on 1 st preference applications.
17.	AGAINST – expansion will bring children from out of area.	8	Some respondents felt that an expansion would bring more pupils from out of area, and that the admissions criteria should be stricter to further prioritise children living in the school's designated area. This movement from elsewhere can result in increased traffic.	The school's admissions criteria already prioritise children resident in the designated area over most other categories of children, but it is impossible to exactly match local supply of places to local demand. In some years, therefore, children from further afield will get places. The operation of parental choice also means that there is movement in and out of the local area (e.g. into Catholic provision).
18.	Traffic and parking, need mitigation measures.	8	Some respondents felt that there were measures that could be implemented to help with traffic and parking if Lowbrook Academy is expanded. These measures included expanding onsite parking for parents; installing more cycle and scooter racks to encourage green transport, and encourage walking to school).	<p>The feasibility study for the expansion of Lowbrook Academy did not propose any specific changes to the existing drop-off and parking arrangements on the site, which are already relatively generous. If the proposal were to go ahead, however, this would need to be revisited.</p> <p>Getting children to school safely and efficiently is a key part of their education. To achieve this, the Transport and Infrastructure team will work closely with Children's Services to review the existing highways situation for future school expansions. The council carried out an engagement process with councillors and local parishes to discuss the future of active travel in the borough. This included seeking ideas for interventions that improve road conditions for cyclists and walkers such as school streets, modal filters and segregated cycle paths. More than 1,000 responses were received and the Transport and Infrastructure Team are now working through these. The Royal Borough is developing its Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.</p>
19.	AGAINST – should not be funding expansion at academies.	8	There was some concern that funding was proposed for academies that get central government money, rather than for 'local' schools.	<p>The local authority remains responsible for ensuring there are enough school places, regardless of type of school. The funding received from the government for new school places, called the Basic Need grant, can be spent on new provision at all and any type of state-funded school.</p> <p>This is different to revenue funding for the day to day running of schools, which is administered in a number of different ways depending on the type of school.</p>
20.	AGAINST – site is too small for expansion.	6	Some respondents felt the site and the buildings were too small to allow for expansion to 60 in all year groups.	<p>The feasibility study for the potential expansion of Lowbrook Academy identified that, with 60 children in each year group, the site would be slightly undersized on soft outdoor (PE) space (7,615m² compared to a requirement for 8,400m²). Most of the field would need to be converted to an all-weather surface.</p> <p>With regard to the buildings, both options would provide sufficient teaching and ancillary areas to comply with the guidance.</p>
21.	SUPPORT – site is large enough for expansion.	6	A number of respondents felt that the site is large enough to allow for expansion.	Agreed, as set out above.

Table D4: Comments in relation to the proposal to expand St Luke's Church of England Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
1.	AGAINST – traffic and parking at St Luke's.	25	<p>Many respondents noted the possible impact of an expansion at St Luke's Primary on local traffic, particularly with two other primary schools located off Cookham Road (Riverside and St Mary's Catholic).</p> <p>In addition to mentioning concerns about increased traffic, some respondents highlighted specific issues (as recorded in the following rows). One of the commonest was reference to road safety, with narrow pavements and speeding along Cookham Road, together with accidents that had damaged the school's wall and bollards.</p> <p>Respondents, including the church, commented on the use of St Joseph's car-park by parents. This was a grace and favour arrangement by the church, but sometimes resulted in difficulty for churchgoers wanting to park there. There were also concerns about safety for users of the busy car-park.</p>	<p>The impact of a school expansion on the local road network will need to be carefully considered if this proposal is taken forward. This also applies to the parking arrangements at St Joseph's Church, where the church has kindly allowed parents to park. This arrangement might not necessarily continue and may anyway become increasingly problematic if the school is expanded.</p> <p>The Royal Borough's Transport and Infrastructure Team has considered the feasibility of expansion at the school, and noted that it is feasible, if car-parking can be increased on site.</p>
2.	...impact on road safety.	9		
3.	...impact on St Joseph's Church car-park.	4		
4.	...most families drive to school.	3		
5.	...blocked access for residents.	1		
6.	...most pupils should be walking or cycling to school.	1		
7.	...staggered start/finish times won't work.	1		
8.	...most pupil will walk to school.	1		
9.	AGAINST – site is too small for expansion.	17	<p>Many respondents felt that the school site was too small to allow for expansion without compromising the quality of education. Concerns were raised about the lack of outdoor space, and plans for some pupils to use off-site playing field provision.</p>	<p>The feasibility study for the expansion of St Luke's identified a number of options that would make it possible to expand the school to 420 pupils. These options would all leave the school significantly short of outdoor space, including soft outdoor PE and hard play areas. This could be addressed with access to offsite playing fields, as suggested in the consultation document. This would almost certainly need to be within a local park area, which would need to be fenced off for school use (although community use could happen outside school hours).</p>
10.	AGAINST – other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	12	<p>A number of respondents noted that other schools had spare capacity, and so questioned why it was necessary to open new primary school places. In relation to the St Luke's proposal, All Saints CE Junior School and Riverside Primary School were identified as schools with places.</p> <p>It was stated that local schools had made redundancies due to lower pupil numbers, and that it would be better to fill places in schools with a surplus. This position was supported by Riverside Primary School in a response from their governors.</p>	<p>The local authority's statutory duties require that enough school places are provided to meet demand. At the time at which the consultation was approved, it was expected that the spare capacity in Reception would disappear as demand for place rose. As set out in the main report, the latest pupil projections no longer support that view, although there remains a risk that demand may still rise more quickly than anticipated.</p> <p>The Royal Borough has a policy of providing around 5% surplus places, and takes into account both local and town level supply and demand. Even where there are significant levels of spare places, these may be in the wrong location, or in the wrong year groups.</p>
11.	...e.g. All Saints CE Junior School.	8		
12.	...e.g. Riverside Primary School.	1		

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
13.	AGAINST – don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space.	7	These comments are related to the objections on site size, and an expanded school not having enough outdoor space.	See comments against 9 above.
14.	Traffic and parking, need mitigation measures.	7	Some respondents felt that there were measures that could be implemented to help with traffic and parking if St Luke's is expanded. These measures included expanding the pavements along Cookham Road and potentially diverting traffic; creating a pedestrian entrance from the St Joseph's car-park into the school (to improve safety); more actively discouraging parents from driving to school/parking on local roads.	The feasibility study for the expansion of St Luke's did not propose any specific improvements for highways and parking that could now be implemented. Getting children to school safely and efficiently is a key part of their education. To achieve this, the Transport and Infrastructure team will work closely with Children's Services to review the existing highways situation for future school expansions. The council carried out an engagement process with councillors and local parishes to discuss the future of active travel in the borough. This included seeking ideas for interventions that improve road conditions for cyclists and walkers such as school streets, modal filters and segregated cycle paths. More than 1,000 responses were received and the Transport and Infrastructure Team are now working through these. The Royal Borough is developing its Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.
15.	AGAINST – should not take pupils offsite for sports.	5	A number of respondents felt that taking pupils offsite for sports would be organisationally difficult, and would eat into teaching and/or pastoral times. In addition, driving children to an offsite playing field using the school minibus (as suggested as a possibility in the feasibility study) would be environmentally unsustainable.	Certainly, any offsite teaching would need careful planning to minimise disruption to pupils and staff, whilst also ensuring pupil safety. Offsite provision of playing fields is not common within the Royal Borough, although the school believe that they could make this work here.
16.	AGAINST – would struggle educationally with more pupils.	4	A small number of respondents felt that expansion would make it more difficult for the school to maintain standards and its 'Outstanding' Ofsted rating.	Many schools have been expanded in the borough and have retained their standards and Ofsted ratings. In addition, expansion happens over a number of years (as the larger intakes move up through the school each year), giving schools time to adapt to the rising numbers.
17.	AGAINST – expansion will increase the class sizes.	4	Some respondents noted that expansion would lead to larger class sizes.	The number of teaching staff at the school would increase, if the school was expanded, so that class sizes would remain the same.
18.	SUPPORT – the proposal should go ahead as the school offers a good quality of education.	3	Some respondents noted that the school is outstanding, and so should expand.	Noted.
19.	AGAINST – the proposed expansion will make the school too large.	3	A small number of respondents felt that St Luke's CE Primary School is already large enough, and that a larger school could be intimidating for young children.	The Royal Borough has a number of primary and first schools with an intake of 60. Children will still be taught in classes of 30.
20.	AGAINST – should not expand a religious school.	3	Some respondents felt that St Luke's CE Primary School should not be expanded because it is a Church of England school.	The Royal Borough has expanded faith and non-faith schools in response to rising demand. There continues to be a balance of all types of primary schools across Maidenhead.
21.	Should carry out the more costly buildings plan.	3	The consultation document referred to two potential options for the new school buildings, with a more expensive option involving the demolition of more of the existing buildings, potentially preserving more outdoor space.	If the proposal proceeds, more work will be done on the proposed accommodation, in partnership with the school.
22.	Build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones.	2	Some respondents commented that the borough should prioritise new schools, rather than expansion on existing school sites.	The consultation on new primary school places included an option to open a new school on the Chiltern Road site. In the longer-term, the draft Borough Local Plan also identifies possibilities for two new primary schools in the town. Nevertheless, it is likely that expansion on existing schools will also be carried out, particularly where these are close to areas of demand (i.e. Maidenhead town centre).

Table D5: Comments in relation to the proposal to expand St Mary's Catholic Primary School from 45 to 60 places per year group

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
1.	AGAINST – traffic and parking at St Mary's.	21	<p>Many respondents noted the possible impact of an expansion at St Mary's Primary on local traffic, particularly with two other primary schools located off Cookham Road (Riverside and St Mary's Catholic).</p> <p>In addition to mentioning concerns about increased traffic, some respondents highlighted specific issues (as recorded in the following rows). One of the commonest related to congestion on the local residential roads, blocking access for residents with inconsiderate parking. Safety for pupils and other pedestrians was also raised as an issue.</p> <p>Respondents commented on the proposed one way drop-off system, with some concerns about whether this would work.</p>	<p>The impact of a school expansion on the local road network will need to be carefully considered if this proposal is taken forward.</p> <p>The Royal Borough's Transport and Infrastructure Team has considered the feasibility of expansion at the school, and noted that it is feasible, if car-parking can be increased on site and an exit is created onto Cookham Road. It was also proposed that the walking bus previously in operation be reinstated.</p>
2.	...blocked access for residents.	4		
3.	...impact on road safety.	4		
4.	...don't agree with exit onto Cookham Road.	3		
5.	...increase in pollution.	1		
6.	...agree with exit onto Cookham Road.	1		
7.	...most children are driven to school.	1		
8.	Traffic and parking, need mitigation measures.	4	<p>Some respondents felt that there were measures that could be implemented to help with traffic and parking if St Mary's is expanded. These measures included introducing a lower speed limit on Cookham Road; providing extra residential parking in local roads; and implementing a walking bus to encourage walking to school.</p>	<p>The feasibility study for the expansion of St Mary's proposed a new exit onto Cookham Road, which would allow for a one-way drop off system for parents. Brookdene Close could also, potentially, be widened to allow for two-way traffic for residents wanting to leave the road. The reinstatement of the walking bus was proposed.</p> <p>Getting children to school safely and efficiently is a key part of their education. To achieve this, the Transport and Infrastructure team will work closely with Children's Services to review the existing highways situation for future school expansions. The council carried out an engagement process with councillors and local parishes to discuss the future of active travel in the borough. This included seeking ideas for interventions that improve road conditions for cyclists and walkers such as school streets, modal filters and segregated cycle paths. More than 1,000 responses were received and the Transport and Infrastructure Team are now working through these. The Royal Borough is developing its Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.</p>
9.	AGAINST – don't want to lose swimming pool	17	<p>One of the options for providing new accommodation at St Mary's involved the removal of the swimming pool, which was opposed by many respondents. It was noted that the school did not have a minibus to take children to an alternative site; that the pool paid for itself through events and parental contributions, and that it was vital to the health and wellbeing of children attending the school.</p>	<p>If this proposal is taken forward, we will need to work with the school on the options, one of which doesn't require the removal of the swimming pool.</p>
10.	AGAINST – other schools have empty places, so why expansion?	13	<p>A number of respondents noted that other schools had spare capacity, and so questioned why it was necessary to open new primary school places. In relation to the St Mary's proposal, All</p>	<p>The local authority's statutory duties require that enough school places are provided to meet demand. At the time at which the consultation was approved, it was expected that the spare capacity in Reception would</p>

Ref	Issue	No. raising	Further description of the comments made	Response
			<p>Saints CE Junior School, Bisham, Wessex, Woodlands Park, Knowl Hill and Riverside primary schools were identified as having places.</p> <p>It was stated that local schools had made redundancies due to lower pupil numbers, and that it would be better to fill places in schools with a surplus. This position was supported by Riverside Primary School in a response from their governors.</p>	<p>disappear as demand for place rose. As set out in the main report, the latest pupil projections no longer support that view, although there remains a risk that demand may still rise more quickly than anticipated.</p> <p>The Royal Borough has a policy of providing around 5% surplus places, and takes into account both local and town level supply and demand. Even where there are significant levels of spare places, these may be in the wrong location, or in the wrong year groups.</p>
11.	...e.g. All Saints CE Junior School.	7		
12.	...e.g. Bisham Academy.	3		
13.	...e.g. Wessex Primary.	1		
14.	...e.g. Woodlands Park Primary.	1		
15.	...e.g. Knowl Hill Primary	1		
16.	...e.g. Riverside Primary School.	1		
17.	AGAINST – wrong time to expand following disruptive period with changes of headteacher.	11	A significant number of respondents highlighted recent changes in the senior and teaching staff, and felt that the school needed a period of stability before embarking on an expansion.	Noted.
18.	AGAINST – don't approve of loss of playing field and other outdoor space.	9	Respondents objected to the possible loss of playing field space and other outdoor areas if the school is expanded.	The proposed options for expansion would leave the school short on soft outdoor informal and social space. The school would need an all-weather surface, which would replace part of the existing grassed area.
19.	AGAINST – should not expand a school that is only for Catholic children.	8	Some respondents objected to the expansion of a religious, Catholic, school, which would give priority to Catholic children rather than local children.	There are two Catholic primary schools in Maidenhead and, if demand rises, then there may be a need for additional Catholic primary school places. Clearly, other school expansions might also be required for non-Catholic children.
20.	Build new schools, rather than expanding existing ones.	6	A number of respondents commented that the borough should prioritise new schools, rather than expansion on existing school sites.	The consultation on new primary school places included an option to open a new school on the Chiltern Road site. In the longer-term, the draft Borough Local Plan also identifies possibilities for two new primary schools in the town. Nevertheless, it is likely that expansion on existing schools will also be carried out, particularly where these are close to areas of demand (i.e. Maidenhead town centre).
21.	AGAINST – site is too small for expansion.	6	Some respondents felt that the school site was too small to allow for expansion without leaving them with less space to play and learn.	The feasibility study for the expansion of St Mary's demonstrates that the school can be expanded, although there would be some compromises on outdoor space and a need for an all-weather surface.
22.	AGAINST – building works and expansion will have negative impact on existing pupils.	6	There was concern that construction on site could disrupt the education of children who have already been through periods of home schooling due to the covid pandemic.	Disruption during the build period would be kept to a minimum. The council and contractors would work closely with the school to minimise the impact on teaching and learning.
23.	SUPPORT – moving to whole year group teaching will be better for teaching and learning.	5	Some respondents support the proposal, as it would mean that pupils would all be taught in single year-group classes. It was felt that this would be of educational benefit.	Agreed.
24.	AGAINST – the proposed expansion will make the school too large.	4	A small number of respondents felt that expansion would result in a school that was too large, which could affect the ethos of the school.	Many schools have been expanded in the borough and have retained their ethos. In addition, expansion happens over a number of years (as the larger intakes move up through the school each year), giving schools time to adapt to the rising numbers.
25.	AGAINST – the proposal should not go ahead as the school offers poor quality of education.	3	A number of respondents were concerned about standards at the school, making reference to a December 2019 Ofsted letter. It was felt that expansion would divert energy from efforts to improve standards.	Noted. The letter from Ofsted referred to a dip in standards in writing in 2019.

Appendix E: Estimated budget and budget impacts (Part II)

**ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Essential information

Items to be assessed: (please mark 'x')

Strategy	X	Plan		Project		Service procedure	
-----------------	---	-------------	--	----------------	--	--------------------------	--

Responsible officer	Ben Wright, School Places and Capital Team Leader	Service area	School Support Services	Directorate	Children's Services
----------------------------	---	---------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---------------------

Stage 1: EqlA Screening (mandatory)	Date created: 04/11/2021	Stage 2 : Full assessment (if applicable)	N/A
--	--------------------------	--	-----

Approved by Head of Service / Overseeing group/body / Project Sponsor:

"I am satisfied that an equality impact has been undertaken adequately."

Signed by (print): Lynne Penn, School Support Services Service Leader

Dated: 04/11/2021

556

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Guidance notes

What is an EqlA and why do we need to do it?

The Equality Act 2010 places a 'General Duty' on all public bodies to have 'due regard' to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act.
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.
- Fostering good relations between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.

EqlAs are a systematic way of taking equal opportunities into consideration when making a decision, and should be conducted when there is a new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure in order to determine whether there will likely be a detrimental and/or disproportionate impact on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups. All completed EqlA Screenings are required to be publicly available on the council's website once they have been signed off by the relevant Head of Service or Strategic/Policy/Operational Group or Project Sponsor.

What are the "protected characteristics" under the law?

The following are protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010: age; disability (including physical, learning and mental health conditions); gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation.

What's the process for conducting an EqlA?

The process for conducting an EqlA is set out at the end of this document. In brief, a Screening Assessment should be conducted for every new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure and the outcome of the Screening Assessment will indicate whether a Full Assessment should be undertaken.

Openness and transparency

RBWM has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices. Your completed assessment should be sent to the Strategy & Performance Team for publication to the RBWM website once it has been signed off by the relevant manager, and/or Strategic, Policy, or Operational Group. If your proposals are being made to Cabinet or any other Committee, please append a copy of your completed Screening or Full Assessment to your report.

Enforcement

Judicial review of an authority can be taken by any person, including the Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) or a group of people, with an interest, in respect of alleged failure to comply with the general equality duty. Only the EHRC can enforce the specific duties. A failure to comply with the specific duties may however be used as evidence of a failure to comply with the general duty.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Stage 1 : Screening (Mandatory)

1.1 What is the overall aim of your proposed strategy/policy/project etc and what are its key objectives?

The overall aim of the project is to ensure that there are sufficient primary school places to meet demand in Maidenhead, in line with the borough's statutory duties.

558

1.2 What evidence is available to suggest that your proposal could have an impact on people (including staff and customers) with protected characteristics? Consider each of the protected characteristics in turn and identify whether your proposal is Relevant or Not Relevant to that characteristic. If Relevant, please assess the level of impact as either High / Medium / Low and whether the impact is Positive (i.e. contributes to promoting equality or improving relations within an equality group) or Negative (i.e. could disadvantage them). Please document your evidence for each assessment you make, including a justification of why you may have identified the proposal as "Not Relevant".

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

559

Protected characteristics	Relevance	Level	Positive/negative	Evidence
Age	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Disability	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender re-assignment	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Marriage/civil partnership	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pregnancy and maternity	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Race	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Religion and belief	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sex	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sexual orientation	Not relevant	n/a	n/a	n/a

**ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Outcome, action and public reporting

560

Screening Assessment Outcome	Yes / No / Not at this stage	Further Action Required / Action to be taken	Responsible Officer and / or Lead Strategic Group	Timescale for Resolution of negative impact / Delivery of positive impact
Was a significant level of negative impact identified?	No	No	Ben Wright, School Places and Capital Team Leader.	n/a
Does the strategy, policy, plan etc require amendment to have a positive impact?	No	No	Ben Wright, School Places and Capital Team Leader.	n/a

If you answered **yes** to either / both of the questions above a Full Assessment is advisable and so please proceed to Stage 2. If you answered “No” or “Not at this Stage” to either / both of the questions above please consider any next steps that may be taken (e.g. monitor future impacts as part of implementation, re-screen the project at its next delivery milestone etc).

**ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

EqIA : Title of EQIA

561

Stage 2 : Full assessment

2.1 : Scope and define

2.1.1 Who are the main beneficiaries of the proposed strategy / policy / plan / project / service / procedure? List the groups who the work is targeting/aimed at.

--

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqIA : Title of EQIA

2.1.2 Who has been involved in the creation of the proposed strategy / policy / plan / project / service / procedure? *List those groups who the work is targeting/aimed at.*

--

2.2 : Information gathering/evidence

2.2.1 What secondary data have you used in this assessment? *Common sources of secondary data include: censuses, organisational records.*

--

2.2.2 What primary data have you used to inform this assessment? *Common sources of primary data include: consultation through interviews, focus groups, questionnaires.*

562

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqIA : Title of EQIA



563

Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

564

Advance equality of opportunity

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

565

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

EqlA : Title of EQIA

Foster good relations

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

566

2.4 Has your delivery plan been updated to incorporate the activities identified in this assessment to mitigate any identified negative impacts? If so please summarise any updates.
These could be service, equality, project or other delivery plans. If you did not have sufficient data to complete a thorough impact assessment, then an action should be incorporated to collect this information in the future.

**ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

EqIA : Title of EQIA

This page is intentionally left blank

Report Title:	Draft Revenue Budget 2022/23
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet – 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Adele Taylor, Executive Director of Resources & Section 151 Officer Andrew Vallance Head of Finance and Deputy S151 Officer
Wards affected:	All



REPORT SUMMARY

This report sets out the Council's proposed draft revenue budget for 2022/23 based on information as we currently know it.

The draft revenue budget provides the spending envelope and framework for how the Council will deliver upon its priorities for 2022/23, including those within the Corporate Plan, subject to its adoption, as well as the Interim Strategy and other strategic policy documents.

Like many other councils the Royal Borough continues to face significant financial pressures in the short to medium term. These pressures include continued growth in demand for a number of services, particularly Children's Services and Adult Social Care, being two of the most significant areas impacted by demographic demands.

The Council approved robust budgets in February 2020 and February 2021, which started and continued to stabilise the Council's financial position and address the issues required for longer term financial sustainability, supported by in-year robust financial management against those budgets.

There remain a number of risk areas that have the potential to increase costs and reduce income both in the short and medium term as well as opportunities to transform the way we do things to support our most vulnerable residents in the Royal Borough. These risks include:

- The ongoing impact of Covid-19 which may change the shape of services not just in the short-term, but potentially on an on-going basis
- Uncertainty around the future of central government reform on local government finances
- Historically low levels of reserves, which should steadily increase to cover both specific areas as well as to generally smooth out the impact of future risks, still need to be strengthened
- General inflationary pressures that all residents and businesses are experiencing

Despite the challenges, the budget proposes significant levels of investment and growth into key areas and to support the delivery of corporate plan priorities. The

budget also details steps the Council are taking to look to alternative sources of funding and revenue generation.

The proposals in this paper will be consulted upon in the period between this Cabinet meeting and the February 2022 budget meetings of Cabinet and Full Council. They will also be reviewed by an Overview and Scrutiny panel in December 2021. The results of consultations will be reported to Cabinet in February 2022, to inform final budget proposals. Further consultations and engagement will be undertaken with our affected stakeholders including residents, businesses and partner organisations.

It should be noted that at the time of writing this report we are awaiting the final details of the finance settlement for Local Government following on from the October comprehensive spending review. We have made best estimates as to what the impact of the settlement will be, but this is subject to potentially significant change that will be detailed in the final budget reports in February.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet agrees the draft budget that will be consulted upon prior to final budget setting during February 2022 including:

- i) The draft budget and revised Medium Term Financial Plan set out in Appendix A.**
- ii) The proposed investment and growth proposals set out in Appendix B.**
- iii) The proposed savings and income generation proposals set out in Appendix C.**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 2.1 This report sets out the draft 2022/23 revenue budget for the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead.
- 2.2 A range of assumptions around the recommended draft budget are set out in paragraph 5.2.
- 2.3 The current situation is extremely volatile, and the total savings requirement may change, especially when the Government announces funding for next year as part of the Local Government Financial Settlement following the Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) announced in October 2021. This is likely to be around the middle of December at the earliest. However, this draft budget is being presented as early as possible to provide as much certainty as we can to partners, as well as giving residents and businesses a longer opportunity in which to comment on the proposals.
- 2.4 Finer details of the CSR and subsequent settlement remain uncertain at the time of writing this report. We do not have a confirmed date when the detailed local government financial settlement will be announced. The settlement will include funding from several central Government departments. Even then, the

final local government settlement is unlikely to be confirmed until February 2022, in line with prior years announcement timetables.

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Cabinet is requested to agree the draft budget that will be consulted upon prior to final budget setting during February 2022	This is the recommended option

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Services delivered within approved budget	Budget overspend >£250,000	Budget variance +/- £250,000	Budget underspend >£250,000 <£1,500,000	Budget underspend >£1,500,000	31 March 2023

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 Like many other councils, the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead continues to face significant financial pressures including the ongoing impact of the Covid-19 pandemic as well as other national inflationary factors. We are experiencing increased costs and reductions in some income sources that started during 2020/21 and have continued into 2021/22. Uncertainty around the continued duration of these impacts and the cessation of additional funding, that we received from central government during the height of the pandemic, to mitigate the ongoing impact means that there remains more potential volatility in the forecasts for the next financial year than was usual prior to the pandemic.

4.1.2 The position of the Royal Borough is more acute than some other councils, due to its historically very low level of reserves. These were barely adequate to cover its usual financial risks and a plan had been put in place as part of budget 2020 and budget 2021 to start to address this over the medium term. However, primarily due to the impact of, and uncertainty surrounding, the pandemic these are insufficient to cover future projected funding shortfalls in 2023/24 and beyond without significant further savings, or increased revenue generation through greater commercialisation being identified and delivered as part of putting together a proposed draft budget. This draft budget addresses

those concerns in full for 2022/23 and starts to address the medium-term financial gap.


- 4.1.3 For all councils, reserves are set aside to mitigate and smooth out the impact of financial shocks in the short term. Given that such reserves are one-off sources of funding sustainable savings would always need to be found to address ongoing increases in levels of activity. There remains further uncertainty around future central government funding despite the multi-year comprehensive spending review as well as further delays to the new funding regime for local government. It means that consideration still needs to be given to an ongoing volatile risk profile.
- 4.1.4 The Council continues to have increasing levels of planned borrowing, particularly over the shorter term to both deal with inherited, long-term debt prior to RBWM's existence as well as to tackle our corporate priorities around regeneration. This contributes to the delivery of much needed housing growth as well, to ensure that there is an investment in our infrastructure and to look to enhance our opportunities to maintain revenue streams from our income generating assets
- 4.1.5 This document sets out the draft budget for 2022/23. Once agreed, it will be consulted upon in order to inform the final budget proposals in February 2022. The final budget will take account of the responses to the consultation process, as well as final funding settlements from the Government.

4.2 **Corporate Priorities**

- 4.2.1 The Council's new Corporate Plan for the period 2021-2026, "Creating a sustainable borough of opportunity and innovation", was agreed at Cabinet on 28th October. The Plan is now proceeding to Full Council on 23rd November, with the recommendation that it is formally agreed and adopted.
- 4.2.2 The Corporate Plan forms the overarching strategy for the Council for the next five years and replaces the Interim Strategy 2020-21, which was developed as a temporary plan in response to the pandemic. The Corporate Plan sets out the Council's new objectives, and the specific goals to be achieved in support of those objectives, over the 2021-26 period.
- 4.2.3 The Corporate Plan has been designed to crystallise focus on where the Council most needs to drive change. It recognises that the Council has to make difficult choices about where it focuses its resources. The Plan acts as a strategic framework to guide resource allocation decisions.
- 4.2.4 Finance is both the enabler that allows the Council to deliver its goals and objectives, and the constraint within which the Council needs to work as it makes tough decisions on what it can deliver. The goals within the Plan have been formulated to be deliverable within current and expected future resource levels.
- 4.2.5 In addition to setting out what we aim to achieve, the Plan also sets out the Council's approach to achieving change – how it will work as well as what it

will focus on. 'Making the most effective use of resources – delivering the best value for money' is included as an underpinning principle of our approach in order to emphasise its importance across every area of the Council's work. This includes making best use of the opportunities offered by digital technologies, working in closer partnership with communities, and maximising income generated. The Plan also includes a focus on prevention and early intervention, which can help to reduce demand on the most cost-intensive services.

Corporate Plan overview:



4.3 Financial Climate

- 4.3.1. Over recent years all local authorities have faced significant spending reductions as part of government efforts to reduce the national budget deficit. At the same time pressure on core service delivery has increased, particularly in Children's Services and Adult Social Care, as well as housing and homeless services, especially within the south east of England.
- 4.3.2. This has placed considerable pressure on discretionary and other services budgets as they are reduced to ensure we are able to meet our statutory responsibilities. This is as well as the increased need to look for efficiencies,

genuine transformation in the way services are delivered and alternative sources of funding and revenue generation to support the work we do.

4.3.3. Given every council, including RBWM, has to consider its own local circumstances when setting a budget, we have looked to consider the most appropriate package of responses when considering this budget.

4.4 **RBWM Financial Context**

4.4.1 RBWM is, on the face of it, better placed than some councils to meet the financial challenges that it faces.

- Relatively low levels of deprivation mean that it does not have the same level of pressure on Adult Care and Children's Services that some councils have experienced although this does mean that any increases can appear relatively large even when actual numbers of clients are not significant.
- Significant capital assets have enabled it to continue to fund its capital programme at a time when government support for capital schemes has diminished.
- Lower reliance on Government Grant also meant that the impact of spending reductions was less than in some other councils, noting the corollary of the increased importance of Council Tax, compared to others. This does mean, however, that a focus on developing other income streams using both the Council's asset base and regeneration activities, unlike many councils, has not left the authority overexposed to fluctuations in market conditions
- Despite our geographical location and proximity to London, many of our unit costs to deliver services are comparatively low to similar authorities through previous efficiency reviews and the transformation journey that we are on.

4.4.2 RBWM has still had to make significant savings and has already delivered around £65m savings from 2010. It has also been able to protect local non-statutory services to a greater extent than other councils through some of the actions that it took including sharing services with other councils and changing delivery models where this makes sense to do so in terms of not just cost but also working in partnership with others for better outcomes for those who use our services.

4.4.3 In more recent years RBWM has also embarked on significant investment in regenerating the borough which will in the medium to long term provide significant financial benefits overall, which are important when considering longer term financial sustainability. This regeneration is also likely to help us in terms of our commitments to reducing our impact on our climate, a high priority having declared a climate emergency in June 2019. By looking to support our local areas through investment, we are also considering the broader determinants of health and well-being including delivering housing, improved active travel and investment in the local economy and with our local businesses.

4.4.4 When prioritising our financial and other resources, RBWM recognises that it needs to manage a number of significant risks and consider them as part of its budget and medium-term financial plans and any potential mitigations identified, where possible.

- **Council Reserves are under considerable pressure** – without Covid-19 the Council was beginning to build back its reserves with revenue underspends delivered in 2019/20 and 2020/21, but in the current situation they are insufficient to absorb the full financial pressure projected for 2023/24 and beyond, unless additional significant savings or increased income are achieved on an ongoing and sustainable basis.

Reserves should only be used to smooth and mitigate short term impacts as they are one-off sources of funding so should never be relied upon in lieu of a financially sustainable budget. The proper application of reserves can ensure management of short-term risks whilst longer-term, often transformative, solutions are put in place and this budget looks to strike a right balance between setting aside generic funding for overall risks as well as ensuring that potential risks and pressures are included in medium term modelling

- **The Pension fund deficit** means that a growing share of council funding is required to cover pension deficits in the future, before any money is spent on council services. This is not just an issue for RBWM and is part of wider sector and national risks. There has been investment in additional resources by the Berkshire Pension Fund to improve governance over the last 18 months and we are looking to maximise the opportunities to improve investment returns and ensure that the fund is efficiently run to mitigate as far as possible the impact over the longer term.
- **Substantial levels of borrowing** mean that an increasing share of the Council's budget is required to service debt before money can be spent on revenue services. Getting the balance right between ensuring that sufficient money is spent on longer term capital projects to generate sustainable income or to reduce ongoing pressures is an important part of the consideration that the Council needs to make when determining how to utilise its resources. This budget reflects that balance with investment and our capital strategy considers how to fund the schemes we have to do, with those that generate additional revenue or support the reduction of costs to the council of delivering our services prioritised.
- **Maintaining a low level of council tax**, whilst this has undoubtedly been very beneficial for residents, it does mean that the Council has missed out on additional revenue from raising council tax in prior years. It also means that any future increases will generate less as they start from a lower base. National policy on council tax capping has also meant that our ability to increase our funding has been difficult, which is particularly pertinent to RBWM given a significant proportion (approximately 80%) of our funding comes from council tax that we collect.

- **Growing pressures around Children and Adult Services and other demand led services** have been widening the budget gap further. Placement costs for children continue to increase more rapidly than the inflation rate and both case numbers and complexity continue to grow. There are significant changes around Adult Social care and its funding that will be coming forward in future years and this could have further impact in the medium term. Whilst details of changes in national policy are still emerging, the budget and medium-term model reflect current policy and service delivery.
- **The Covid-19 pandemic has increased costs and reduced income.** Additional Government funding has mitigated some of this in 2021/22, but this support will not be repeated in subsequent years. Some of the income loss may be permanent as the world of work has changed significantly. This has been closely monitored during the financial year and efforts have been made to assess the impact in future years of more permanent reductions, but this will be an area that needs continued monitoring and management.
- **Many potential consequences of the pandemic are not yet fully apparent.** As Government support such as the furlough scheme ends, the full economic and health impacts of the pandemic may yet still be revealed. This may lead to impacts on the Council's budget such as increased council tax support, more homelessness and lower business rates income. We have used the best estimates as we know them to model impacts in this budget.

4.5 Proposed Draft Revenue Budget 2022/23

4.5.1 The proposed draft revenue budget is set out in the table below:

Proposed Draft Revenue Budget	Base Budget 2021/22 £000	Changes £000	Savings and Income £000	Investment and Growth £000	Proposed Budget 2022/23 £000
Directorate:					
Chief Executive	(981)	(1,680)	(216)	348	(2,529)
Governance, Law & Strategy	2,990	782	(313)		3,459
Childrens Services	24,364	(248)	(587)	3,138	26,667
Adults, Health & Housing	39,795	1,431	(942)	60	40,344
Resources	8,355	(614)	(227)	142	7,656
Place	15,970	(3,378)	(761)	1,186	13,017
Contingency & Corporate	4,558	(1,965)	(350)	500	2,743
All - Pay Award				913	913
Total Service Budgets	95,051	(5,672)	(3,396)	6,287	92,270
Capital Financing	6,310	(1,336)			4,974
Pension Deficit Recovery	4,199	134			4,333
Other Non-service budgets	165	3			168
Total Non-Service Budgets	10,674	(1,199)	0	0	9,475
Net Council Spend	105,725	(6,871)	(3,396)	6,287	101,745
Financed by:-					
Income from trading companies	210	0			210
Education Services Grant	315	0			315
Social Care Grant	2,621				2,621
Government Grants(un-ringfenced)	179				179
Covid / SFC	5,583	(5,583)			0
Use of earmarked reserves	3,170	(3,170)			0
Assumed additional Government Grant (Settlement)		2,997			2,997
New Homes Bonus	473	(253)			220
Transfer (surplus)/deficit to Council Tax Collection Fund	300	(300)			0
Transfer (surplus)/deficit to NNDR Collection Fund	(1,600)				(1,600)
Locally retained business rates	15,004	(708)			14,296
Special Expenses	1,216	24			1,240
Council Tax	78,254	3,013			81,267
Total Financing	105,725	(3,980)	0	0	101,745

4.6 Budget Pressures

4.6.1 Next year's investment and growth are driven by a number of factors:

a) **Covid-19** – continuing pressures on some income budgets

- b) **Previous spending decisions prior to 2020/21** – for example funding costs from the Revenue budget instead of through the Capital Programme.
- c) **Demographic changes** – as the population of the Royal Borough increases, demands on its services will also increase.
- d) **Investment in Children’s Services and Adult Social Care and other services for our vulnerable residents** based on the most up-to-date information we have about our current and future likely service users. Investment in early help and advice services to support these key areas as well other demand led services
- e) **External changes beyond the Council’s control**, such as changes to grant allocations from central government, and additional responsibilities through legislation change.
- f) **Changes in service delivery or change of assumptions for some services** – some changes to services or reduction in costs of some services have had to be revised post Covid-19 or due to changes in economic circumstances such as increasing inflation
- g) **Investment in our climate change response and other corporate priorities** – investing in our leadership role around our response to the climate emergency as well as ensuring that our stated corporate priorities are adequately resourced
- h) **Realignment of income targets with market conditions** – in some cases it has not been possible to deliver increased income even by setting higher charges due to adverse market conditions or changes in our responsibilities.

4.6.2 The table below summarises the main cost pressures that are reflected in the 2022/23 budget and exceed £100,000. Further detail is provided in Appendix B.

Investment and Growth Above £100k	2022/23
Directorate / Description	£'000
Chief Executive	
Commercial income budget reduction	225
SUB-TOTAL	225
Children's Services	
Existing provision	1,041
Future Demand	985
Workforce Transformation	465
Practice Transformation	325
Compliance Capacity	156
SUB-TOTAL	2,972
Place	
RBWM Climate Partnership	250
Bus Service Support	300
Waste Contract	500
SUB-TOTAL	1,050
All Directorates	
Employers NIC increase	500
SUB-TOTAL	500
Pressures under £100k	627
Total Investment and Growth	5,374

4.7 Proposed Savings

4.7.1 In total the Council proposes to deliver £3,012,000 of savings. The main areas of proposed savings over £100,000 are set out below and all savings are shown in detail in Appendix C.

4.7.2 A draft equality impact assessment for each saving has been undertaken. These savings will be consulted upon between now and the budget setting Cabinet in February 2022. The results of the consultation and any amendments to EQIAs will be reported to that meeting. A draft EQIA for the total impact of the budget is also included and again following consultation on all proposals as well as the inclusion of the full detail of government funding once it has been announced will be considered prior to the final budget being presented in February.

Savings and Income Generation Above £100k	2022/23
Directorate / Description	£'000
All	
Cross-council savings e.g. travel, printing	350
SUB-TOTAL	350
Adults, Health & Housing	
Review of packages and right sizing	275
Review of resourcing	250
Transitions	200
SUB-TOTAL	725
Chief Executive	
Property Services – rental income	101
SUB-TOTAL	101
Children's Services	
Health Contribution	101
Refocus of parenting work to edge of care	114
Full year effect of home to school transport reprocurement	165
SUB-TOTAL	380
Place	
Planning Fees	125
SUB-TOTAL	125
Resources	
Weddings Income	100
SUB-TOTAL	100
Savings under £100k	1,615
Total Savings and Income Generation	3,396

4.8 Spending Review and Balancing the Budget

- 4.8.1 The Comprehensive Spending Review (CSR) was announced on October 27th. It revealed a surprise change in direction of local government funding from just council tax increases to additional grant funding as well.
- 4.8.2 The Government announced that the referendum for council tax increases is likely to remain at 1.99%, plus an additional 1% Adult Social Care precept. It is not yet clear whether there will be increases in the Adult Social Care precept in future years. Council tax increases will, therefore, be less than likely inflation rates of 4% or more and compared to the allowed increase in 2021/22 of 5%

4.8.3 Increased grant funding includes an additional £4.8 billion of grant funding nationally across the next three years (£1.6bn per annum). Some is likely to be distributed through additional social care grants and the remainder via the Settlement Funding Assessment (SFA) which applies to all types of authority, not just those with social care services.

4.8.4 It has not yet been announced how this additional funding of approximately £1.6 billion per year will be distributed. This will be announced as part of the Provisional Funding Settlement in December

4.8.5 Nationally Core Spending Power (CSP) for councils is expected to rise by around 6.2%. CSP includes Council Tax and government grants. If RBWM was to receive a 6.2% increase this would mean additional grant funding of just over £3 million once the assumptions made nationally around council tax are taken into account. This figure has been included in the Medium Term Financial Plan in Appendix A, and would lead to a balanced budget if this assumption is correct. It is not clear yet whether all councils will receive the same increase. This will also form part of the Provisional Funding Settlement in December.

4.8.6 Other announcements included:

- The Business Rates multiplier will remain frozen at 49.9p in 2022/23. Authorities will be fully compensated for this.
- The costs of the 1.25% increase in employer's national insurance announced as part of the Health and Social Care levy is funded within the £1.6 billion funding. The cost of this increase has been built into service budgets.
- There will be additional funding for SEND although this is likely to be through capital funding
- The Public Health Grant will be maintained in real terms
- There is funding for a new Supporting Families programme although this will come with new responsibilities
- There is a new Household Support Fund. This was already announced to start in October 2021 and replaces some funding that we receive for existing responsibilities

4.8.7 No further Covid-19 funding was announced.

4.8.8 It is impossible to calculate precisely how much additional funding RBWM will receive until the provisional settlement. No date for this was announced but it will not be until December at the earliest and has been as late as Christmas Eve previously.

4.9 **Funding Settlement and Council Tax**

4.9.1 Current assumptions included in the draft budget in Appendix A are therefore:

- Council tax referendum limit remaining at 2% per annum every year with an additional social care precept of 1% in 2022/23 only.
- Expected changes to funding streams including Fairer Funding, Business Rate Retention and Better Care Fund potentially delayed until at least 2025/26
- Protection in 2022/23 for councils including RBWM, who would otherwise have to repay revenue support grant, through something known as negative Revenue Support Grant. This has not yet been confirmed.
- New Homes Bonus Funding: £220k in 2022/23 and zero from then on.

4.9.2 In short, there is still a considerable level of uncertainty around financial plans for 2022/23 and beyond, that will continue to be revised as more information becomes available.

4.9.3 Assuming a council tax increase of 2%, and a social care precept of 1%, Band D council tax would increase by £33.38 from £1,131.73 to £1,165.11. This is the equivalent of just less than £0.64 per week. It should be noted this is only the RBWM element of Council Tax with relevant Parish precepts Fire and Rescue precept and Police and Crime Commissioner precepts on top of this amount.

4.9.4 Our neighbouring Berkshire authorities charge much higher rates of Council Tax:

	Band D 2021/22	Band D 2022/23 (assumes 3% increase)
Bracknell Forest	£1,403.19	£1,445.29
RBWM	£1,131.73	£1,165.11
Slough	£1,490.30	£1,535.01
Wokingham	£1,620.14	£1,668.74

4.10 Income

4.10.1 The Council's top ten estimated fees and charges for 2022/23 are shown in the table below. Revisions to fees and charges will be approved as part of the final budget process, after consultation and equality impact assessments are undertaken.

Fees and Charges - Proposed Budgets (top 10)	Base Budget 2021/22 £000	Changes £000	Proposed Budget 2022/23 £000
Parking - daily and season ticket income	7181	2591	9772
Planning & Development	1464	125	1589
Green waste collection	912	37	949
Street works Inspections / Permits	755	39	794
Hackney Carriage Licences	480		480
Cemeteries and Churchyards	321	15	336
Marriage and Civil Partnership Ceremonies	320	115	435
Local Land charges	257	63	320
Temporary Traffic Regulation orders	224	10	234
Highways Licences	210	10	220
Building Control	0	515	515

4.10.2 Changes in the table above include growth and savings budget revisions that may relate to volumes as well as inflationary increases. The increase in the parking budget mainly represents the reversal of Covid-19 budget reductions in place in 2021/2 so that the budget returns to close to the normal level. This is a notable risk for the Council and will be closely monitored during the year.

4.10.3 Building control was a shared service in 2020/21 and there was no base budget for income when the budget was set in February 2021. From 1st July 2021 the service has been provided by an in-house team.

4.10.4 The Council provides a wide range of services and the ability to charge for some of these services has always been a key funding source to support the cost of providing the service.

4.10.5 Some charges are statutory, such as planning fees which are set nationally. Other charges are discretionary, and the Council can choose to set the level. Charges are based on the cost of providing the service and what is reasonable. In determining reasonableness, the Council compares the charges made for the same service by other councils and the private sector.

4.10.6 There are other circumstances where a charge is set to manage demand to meet the Council's overall objectives such as mitigating the impact of climate change. An example of this might be increasing parking charges to encourage the use of public transport

4.10.7 Most other fees and charges are proposed to increase by inflation, using August's inflation figure of 4.8%,

5. Medium Term Financial Plan

5.1 The Council approved a medium-term financial plan on July 14th 2021. This report shows the latest revisions to that forecast. Further revisions will be made

as part of the final budget proposals in February 2022 once more information on Council Tax and Government funding is available.

The table below shows the projected savings required during the period of the latest MTFS

2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27
£	£	£	£
2,593	2,015	2,590	2,562

5.2 Key assumptions included above are that:

- (i) Council tax levels increase in line with national limits 3% for 2022/23 (2% + 1% Adult Social Care precept) and 2% core growth thereafter.
- (ii) Average interest rates payable on borrowings have been estimated at 0.5% in 2022/23 rising thereafter as advised by our Treasury Management advisers in light of the current economic situation.
- (iii) Adult Social Care Grant continues at current levels.
- (iv) Inflation is in line with current government projections i.e. c4%.
- (v) Projected savings are fully delivered.
- (vi) The Council does not make any further substantial capital investments which are not funded from future receipts, section 106, CIL or central government money.
- (vii) Inclusion of demographic pressures for Children's and Adults social care equivalent to £1.5 million per annum from 2023/24.

5.3 Sensitivity Analysis

5.3.1 Projecting the future financial challenge is not an exact science and many factors are beyond the control of the Council. The overall scale of the financial challenge is heavily influenced by Government decisions around funding levels and council tax limits.

5.3.2 It is impossible to predict accurately how long the impact of the current pandemic will last and any further associated costs likely to be incurred by the Council.

5.3.3 As part of the budget process, officers were asked to offset any additional pressures they identified by compensating savings wherever possible.

5.3.4 The Council does have control over some key factors that will influence the financial projection and scale of the financial gap that it faces. These include decisions on:-

- (i) Council Tax levels – council tax contributes to approximately 80% of net council expenditure. If the Council does not increase council tax up to the maximum level, then this has a significant impact on the

scale of the financial gap that it faces unless offset by other recurring income sources or further efficiencies.

- (ii) Capital investment – if the Council chooses to invest significantly in capital projects, which are not fully funded or do not deliver savings, then this will have a noticeable impact on the financial gap. The impact will be even greater if interest rates have risen. The Capital Strategy sets out the Council’s focus on capital investment.
- (iii) Service Costs – none of the above scenarios provide for significant changes in the level of service provision unless we can evidence legislative or other relevant change. Any other changes the Council wishes to make to increase, grow or significantly improve services will significantly increase the size of the budget gap. These should all be in line with corporate priorities

6. Principles for Delivering a Sustainable Budget

- 6.1 There is little doubt that RBWM continues to face considerable short to medium term financial pressures. The uncertainty is around the scale of the financial pressures. Despite the impact of Covid-19 during the financial years of 2020/21 and 2021/22, the underlying financial pressures the Council faces over the medium term are much more related to the impact of capping on a very low council tax charge. It would be wrong to characterise the current fragility of our finances as anything other than a funding challenge which is why the Council has set revenue generation as one of its priorities. The overall impact of Covid-19 costs has exacerbated that pre-existing challenge and will continue to do so in the future with ongoing potential pressures for some services unable to be quantified as the long term impacts of the pandemic are still emerging.
- 6.2 All councils are having to make some tough choices around the way they manage their finances in order to remain financially viable, RBWM is no different in that aspect.
- 6.3 This section sets out some key principles that the Council will continue to follow in the short and medium term to manage the financial uncertainty that it faces. These were agreed by Council as part of the medium term financial plan in October 2021.

Principle 1 – an adequate level of reserves

- 6.4 RBWM faces considerable financial risks that can have a potentially significant and immediate impact on its finances.
- 6.5 Reserves are currently at or close to the minimum levels required to protect the Council from these financial risks as well as potential service risks that it may also face. The Month 6 Budget Monitoring Report to Cabinet indicated that the Council is predicted to be above minimum levels of reserves by year end, and the current assumption is that RBWM will be above the minimum

level of reserves at the start of the 2022/23 financial year. The Council has also continued to review its use of specific, earmarked reserves appropriately.

- 6.6 Across the medium term financial plan, the assumption is that RBWM will identify sustainable savings and sustainable income generation opportunities, so that it can invest as appropriate against its corporate priorities. A contingency budget is included every year in the budget which should only be used for unanticipated spend during the year. The assumption is that anything unspent in each year would be added to the general reserves which will improve the Council's financial sustainability going forwards, or be set aside for future, specific purposes to mitigate risks over the longer-term.
- 6.7 Reserve levels will be reviewed on an annual basis as part of the budget setting process and the Council's S151 officer reports on the adequacy of these as part of the final proposed budget to Council each year, as well as the robustness of assumptions made to develop the budget.
- 6.8 Any proposals that are presented when setting the budget should be supported by robust evidence, analysis and realistic timelines to mitigate any risks of non-delivery.

Principle 2 – raise council tax in line with Government limits

- 6.9 Council Tax contributes to a large share of the Council's budget. The Council already faces the potential need to make further reductions to services and scale back investment. This position will only be made more challenging if it does not increase council tax in line with the assumptions in the MTFS
- 6.10 The Council should, therefore, remain committed to increasing council tax in line with the MTFP and within the limits set by central government. Assumptions on grant funding and calculations that central government make on other funding, assumes that the council will be raising their taxes by that limit, and in some cases using an average council tax level. Therefore the Council would be at a further disadvantage than it already is by being significantly below average council tax levels if it chooses not to increase in line with those assumptions.
- 6.11 The Medium Term financial forecast demonstrates the need to take advantage of any flexibility that the Government offers to increase council tax further if the Council is to remain financially viable.

Principle 3 – Optimise Income Generation

- 6.12 The Council should continue to develop opportunities to generate sustainable income including rents from, or sales of, its property portfolio and through further regeneration opportunities. Opportunities should be suitably risk assessed for their sustainability and appropriate risk mitigations put in place to avoid shocks to council finances from unexpected events.
- 6.13 The Council should aim to ensure that its fees and charges are set at levels that are appropriate and proportionate to the costs of the service they are delivering and the market within which they operate. The expectation should be that these will keep pace with inflation, should be appropriately benchmarked with other similar authorities and services, and should be

reviewed on an annual basis to ensure that they at least cover the cost of services when appropriate.

Principle 4 – Continued enhanced scrutiny of capital investment

- 6.14 Funding capital investment represents a significant pressure on the revenue budget. It is essential that the Council understands fully the revenue impact of capital investment and the extent to which the investment:-
- (i) meets the Council's policy objectives
 - (ii) is self-funding
 - (iii) delivers a realistic pay back in the case of invest to save schemes
 - (iv) Appropriate external funding routes need to be considered
 - (v) All capital investment must be supported by appropriately detailed up to date business cases with clear measures of return on investments at both a financial and community level
- 6.15 Over time the Council should continue to ensure that it funds more of its ongoing maintenance and equipment replacement from its revenue budget.

Principle 5 – the Council maintains tight financial control of in year budgets and the delivery of savings programmes.

- 6.16 The Council has recognised the need to keep tight control of its spending to ensure that the scale of the financial challenge does not worsen even further. Historically the Council did not always deliver on promised savings. During 2020/21 improved budget monitoring reports to committee meetings were introduced and this allowed challenge of any new spending pressures at an early stage. The CIPFA report recommendations have been implemented and a cultural shift within the organisation continues.
- 6.17 The Council needs to deliver substantial savings or sustainable generation of income to balance its budget again so there needs to be a continued focus on monitoring and delivering against agreed proposals in 2022/23 and on an ongoing basis.

Principle 6 – the Council should keep the level of short term borrowing under review

- 6.18 In recent years borrowing has increased substantially to enable the Council to invest in the regeneration of the borough and core services.
- 6.19 Potentially a lot of this investment can be funded through asset sales, although this can take time. Accordingly, the Council has a relatively high level of short term borrowing, which exposes it to the risk of interest rate increases.
- 6.20 The Council is keeping short term borrowing under review and has already started to move some borrowing to longer terms options. As appropriate we will consider the potential to further fix rates in the medium to long term to manage the risk and potential financial impact of interest rate increases. The Council continues to consult specialist advice to keep this under review.

Principle 7 – Lobby for relaxation of capping to give RBWM the Freedom to Recover and additional grant funding

- 6.21 The Council should maintain pressure on Central Government to deliver a fairer funding model for RBWM that provides:
- (i) Additional grant to support the service pressures that it faces for Children, Adults and other services
 - (ii) Assurance that any legislative changes are recognised with adequate new burdens funding
 - (iii) Greater flexibility to increase council tax and other income sources and external funding

7. Closing the Budget Gaps

- 7.1 The immediate challenge has been to close the budget gap for 2022/23 to enable the Council to set a balanced budget for 2022/23. Legally, the Council has to balance the financial year in which it is going into (in this case 2022/23) and should consider the resources it has over the medium term.
- 7.2 There is considerable uncertainty around the size and scale of future budget gaps and a lot of this will depend on final Government funding decisions.
- 7.3 While there is always room to be more efficient, RBWM is already a low spending council which constrains it from reducing costs easily.
- 7.4 On this basis it would be unwise to assume that the projected budget gaps could be closed through greater efficiency alone. There is a fine dividing line between further efficiency and a reduction in service.
- 7.5 Future savings plans will need to continue to focus on more transformative savings measures and the Council has recently agreed a transformation strategy.
- 7.6 There will also be a focus on revenue generation.
- 7.7 The Council also continues to develop its 5 year resourcing strategy to ensure that the balance is right between investment in corporate priorities, generation of additional income, sourcing of other external funding, transformation and efficiency of services as well as opportunities to save money.

8. Next Steps

- 8.1 The proposals contained in this report will be subject to consultation in order to inform final decisions at Cabinet and Council in February 2022.
- 8.2 The Council will consult with residents, businesses, partners and its own staff.

- 8.3 An Equality Impact Assessment of the whole budget will be undertaken, as well as individual EQIAs for each saving proposal. These will be amended if necessary in the light of consultation responses and reported to the February meeting.
- 8.4 This draft budget will be amended once the Local Government Finance Settlement and council tax information is published in December.
- 8.5 An Overview and Scrutiny Panel will review the proposals in December 2021.
- 8.6 Final budget proposals will be considered by Cabinet on 4th February 2022, with recommendations to Full Council on 23rd February 2022.

9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 9.1 None at this stage of the budget process.

10. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 10.1 Failure to identify sufficient savings as part of the budget process would risk the Council being unable to maintain minimum levels of reserves. Failure to deliver the planned savings would have the same effect.

11. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 11.1 **Equalities.** A full EQIA will be undertaken on the budget submitted to Council in February 2022. Each individual saving proposal will also have an EQIA undertaken. All EQIAs will be revised in the light of any relevant consultation responses. Draft EQIAs have been published to support this consultation paper.
- 11.2 **Climate change/sustainability.** The potential impact of budget recommendations will be considered once details of budget submissions are published.
- 11.3 **Data Protection/GDPR** – not applicable

12. CONSULTATION

- 12.1 The draft budget approved by Cabinet in November 2021 will be fully consulted on before final proposals are made to Cabinet and Council in February 2022.

13. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 13.1 This proposed draft budget will be consulted on between now and the end of January 2022. The final budget will be approved in February 2022 for implementation from 1st April 2022.

14. APPENDICES

14.1 This report is supported by three appendices:

- Appendix A Draft revenue budget 2022/23 and revised MTFP
- Appendix B Investment and Growth
- Appendix C Savings and Income Generation Opportunities

15. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

15.1 None

16. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory:</i>		<i>Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>	
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	10/11/21	11/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	10/11/21	12/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	Report Author	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	10/11/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	10/11/21	
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	29/10/21 10/11/21	02/11/21 11/11/21
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	10/11/21	10/11/21
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	10/11/21	10/11/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	10/11/21	11/11/21
<i>Heads of Service (where relevant)</i>			
Louisa Dean	Head of Communications	10/11/21	16/11/21
Nikki Craig	Head of HR, Corporate Projects & IT	10/11/21	16/11/21

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cllr Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance & Ascot	Yes
	Cllr Johnson, Leader of the Council	Yes

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
For information	No	Not applicable

Report Author: Andrew Vallance, Head of Finance.
--

	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	***NOTES
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	

This Medium term financial plan highlights the efficiency savings yet to be identified. The Expenditure summary shows the movements from the 2020/21 base budgets in both services and non services. The funding table shows the total projected for the year and not the movement. This in turn calculates the Total Council Tax requirement using the Average band D Council Tax, Adult Social care precept and special expenses.

NOTES

- 1 Service base budget from Annex A of the February 2021 budget report
- 2 Potential pay award of 2% for all RBWM staff including AFC and Optalis
- 3 Utilities inflated - Electricity 11%, Gas 59%, other contracts based on rates specified in the contract.
- 4 Fees and Charges inflation applied to all discretionary income targets where possible @4.8% RPI (Aug 21)
- 5 Corporate capacity - ongoing new budget of £850,000 (2021/22) now in base.
- 6 Demographic growth Adults £750,000, growth from 23/24 relates to Childrens Services
- 7 Contingency budget
- 8 New Revenue Growth Bids Submitted 2022/23
- 9 New Revenue Growth Bids Submitted 2022/23 - Children's Services
- 10 New Revenue Growth Bids Submitted 2022/23 - Waste Contract - reflecting changes to contract terms and conditions.
- 11 Recognition of annual pressure of growth from prior years.
- 12 Covid-19 growth added to budget in 2021/22, released over 2022/23 and 2023/24
- 13 New Revenue Savings Proposals Submitted 2022/23
- 14 New Corporate Revenue Savings 2022/23
- 15 Interest on borrowing- assumes 0.5% on short term borrowing in 22/23.
- 16 Provision for repayment of debt - interest and principal where appropriate
- 17 Assumes annual prepayment of pension deficit payments - estimates provided
- 18 Reflects the regeneration of Maidenhead and the reduction in projected business rates as a result (Estimated)
- 19 Assumes the £2,621,000 unringfenced social care grant continues through the period of this plan
- 20 Assumes the £179,000 unringfenced lower services tier grant continues through the period of this plan.
- 21 Assumed additional Government Grant (Settlement), based on CSR 27th October 2021 (Estimated)
- 22 Phasing out of the New homes bonus as suggested in previous settlements from government, no new scheme built in as yet
- 23 Collection fund projections assume that the nndr deficit can be taken over 3 years as a result of COVID
- 24 Assumes 0.99% increase in 22/23 only
- 25 Assumes 1.99% increase in Ctax annually

Ref:	Bid Title	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
Bids - for Decision										
1	Commercial income budget reduction	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Realignment of rental income after loss of rental at Siena Court.	225	225	225	225	225
2	Property repair & maintenance contingency	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Budget for parcels of land/boundary fences and tree maintenance across the borough.	40	40	40	40	40
3	Building Services - unachievable income target	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Align income target with achievable target for maintained schools and academies. (£114k split between maintained schools £73k and academies £41k. A reduction of £83k.	83	83	83	83	83
4	Private Rented Sector Officer - invest to save	Adults, Health & Housing	Housing	Cllr McWilliams	A Private Rented Sector Officer will reduce temporary accommodation spend by assisting homeless households into settled accommodation.	60	60	60	60	60
5	VRU Coordinator	Place	Communities	Cllr Cannon	Provide one -off funding for a Violence Reduction Coordinator. A Bill, currently going through parliament, will place a new duty on all LA's to work on an ongoing basis to reduce violence and work with partners to share information and coordinate work to achieve a reduction in serious violence the local area.	40	0	0	0	0
6	Paving Maintenance Cleaning	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Clark	Currently under the street cleansing contract there are a few cleans which can leave Maidenhead and Windsor town centres looking neglected and unkempt. This can lead to a negative perception of the town and a lack of funding from new ad exisiting businesses.	21	21	21	21	21
7	Section 81 works extra resource - self funding from year 2	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Clark	This spend to save initiative aims to enhance resources relating to enforcement/management of Sections 81 works, this should be self funding in future years.	75	0	0	0	0
8	Bus Service Support Investment	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Clark	This additional investment to support the alignment of our approach with the national bus strategy and our environment and climate strategy	300	300	300	300	300
9	Laptop warranty extension - modern workplace devices	Resources	Human Resources, Corporate Projects & IT	Cllr Rayner	Extension of the current 3 year warranty with Dell for modern workplace devices to 5 years.	46	52	0	0	0
10	IT post - Technology Solutions Architect	Resources	Human Resources, Corporate Projects & IT	Cllr Rayner	Ensuring that the IT team can work across the whole council in the delivery of the IT strategy, providing strategic technological insight to all services and working collaboratively with all teams when identifying suitable technology solutions for the delivery of improved services.	96	96	96	96	96
11	Client Support Officers (2) -invest to save	Adults, Health & Housing	Housing	Cllr McWilliams	Two new Client Services Officers will be recruited to support vulnerable households with debt management and budgeting issues. Invest to save proposal, cost neutral.	0	0	0	0	0
12	Housing benefit recovery officer and Property Inspector post - fully funded.	Resources	Revenues, Benefits, Library & Resident Services	Cllr Hilton	Invest to save bid covering two areas: Housing Benefit Overpayment Recovery, increased resource by 1fte and upgrading existing postholder in recognition of the management responsibility they have to enable enhanced recovery of £4m outstanding debt. Property Inspector - to assist existing postholder inspect 65k CTAX properties, and 5k business premises. This would maximise the tax base. Both posts proposed for a 2 year fixed term contract.	0	0	0	0	0
13	Council Tax Senior - fully funded	Resources	Revenues, Benefits, Library & Resident Services	Cllr Hilton	Council Tax Senior: a new position to reflect similar positions in Debt Recovery and Business Rates ensuring further capacity supporting the aim to increase the collection rate.	0	0	0	0	0
14	R&B Control team single points of failure - fully funded	Resources	Revenues, Benefits, Library & Resident Services	Cllr Hilton	To mitigate the risk associated with two potential single points of failure within the R&B Control team: System Administration and Subsidy.	0	0	0	0	0
15	Cost of provision for open cases	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	The expected increase in costs to manage the care and support for the cohort of children currently open to the Council's services, inclusive of inflation and savings related to the process of regular placement reviews.	1,041	1,041	1,041	1,041	1,041
16	Estimated future demand	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	The estimated costs to manage the likely future demand, including the continued impact of the pandemic and maintaining the domestic abuse support service to mitigate the level of demand.	985	985	985	985	985

Ref:	Bid Title	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
17	Workforce transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Three year plan to reduce reliance on agency workers by offering strong professional development in a highly supportive environment with lower than average case holding levels. Transformation supported by short-term stability incentives.	465	555	345	345	345
18	Practice Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Investment in an edge of care team and continued support for domestic abuse services to support families from reaching point of crisis.	325	161	161	161	161
19	Lost income (Covid)	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Lost income relating to education welfare regulations and use of group facilities	55	55	55	55	55
20	Increases in volume of children with additional needs	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Additional posts required within the education and disability services to support the ability to respond within the statutory timescales for processing reviews and changes in education, health and care plans.	92	92	92	92	92
21	Increased costs of compliance	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Additional capacity to respond to information requests (Subject Access Requests), health and safety, insurance and apprenticeship levy.	156	156	156	156	156
Total Bids - for Decision						4105	3922	3660	3660	3660
Bids- to Note										
22	Waste Contract	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Clark	Contractual amendments	500	500	500	500	500
23	Increase in Employers National Insurance from 2022/23	All	Corporate	Cllr Hilton	The increase in employers national insurance of 1.25% from April 2022 will have an impact on direct and indirect employees costs, this budget is to cover those costs.	500	500	500	500	500
24	Grant changes	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Implications of regulatory changes on the amounts of money that can be used from the ring-fenced Dedicated Schools Grant for school improvement.	19	19	19	19	19
25	RBWM Climate Partnership	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Stimson	The proposals, set out in further detail in a paper to cabinet on 30 September 2021, will set up a new independent RBWM Climate Partnership to lead on the delivery of the Borough Wide Environment and Climate Strategy. This will better engage the private sector and community organisations to support delivery for the goals of the strategy and enable the council to focus on its own commitments to deliver carbon reductions on its own estate, deliver biodiversity recovery in its green spaces	250	250	250	0	0
Total Bids - to Note						1269	1269	1269	1019	1019
All Service / Directorates - all Bids						5,374	5,191	4,929	4,679	4,679
Incremental Bids included in MTFP						5,374	(183)	(262)	(250)	0

Ref:	Proposals	Category	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
Proposals - for Decision											
1	Supported Accommodation Savings	Contract Change	Adults, Health & Housing	Housing	Clr McWilliams	A 10% cost saving is being sought on supported accommodation schemes in the borough	41	41	39	39	39
2	Temporary Accommodation Management	Service Redesign/change	Adults, Health & Housing	Housing	Clr McWilliams	The outsourced temporary accommodation management function is to be brought in-house to reduce costs by 10%	65	65	65	65	65
9	Review Maintenance provision for Estate Shops	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Clr Johnson	Reduce budget by £7K to reflect actual level of likely costs. Saving linked to capital bids for Commercial Investment Property Portfolio-Repairs	7	7	7	7	7
10	Therapy Provision	Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Clr Carroll	Further transform the therapy provision for children in care	10	10	10	10	10
11	Health Contribution	Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Clr Carroll	Review health contributions for continuing health care	101	101	101	101	101
12	Multi Agency Safeguarding Hubs (MASH)	Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Clr Carroll	Review MASH working and partnership arrangement including partner contributions	37	37	37	37	37
13	Refocus of parenting work to edge of care	Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Clr Carroll	Develop edge of care approach to work with families	114	114	114	114	114
14	Sale of advertising and sponsorship on website	Income Generation	Governance, Law & Strategy	Communications & Marketing	Clr McWilliams	Income from sale of advertising and sponsorship on website and other areas - income generation to be identified	50	50	50	50	50
15	Land Charges Income	Income Generation	Governance, Law & Strategy	Electoral and Information Governance	Clr Rayner	Amend fees to bring the council more into line with neighbouring authorities.	13	13	13	13	13
16	Set up and facilitate local good causes lottery	Transformation	Governance, Law & Strategy	Democratic Services	Clr Rayner/Clr Hilton	Set up local good causes lottery and replace revenue funded small grants to local organisations, set up costs in year one - estimated £25k have reduced the saving in 22/23	25	50	50	50	50
17	Remove parish elections budget	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Electoral and Information Governance	Clr Rayner	Costs to be fully recharged to parishes.	10	10	10	10	10
18	Review of resources within Civic Services	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Civic and Facilities	Clr Rayner	Review of resources within Civic Services	15	15	15	15	15
19	Review of resources within Facilities	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Civic and Facilities	Clr Rayner	Review of resources within Facilities Services	27	27	27	27	27
20	Commercialisation	Income generation	Governance, Law & Strategy	Deputy Director of Law & Strategy	Clr Rayner	Identification and maximisation of income generating opportunities. A fixed term post initially would be required to review all council current fees and charges with a view to maximising sponsorship, advertising and identifying new opportunities. £100k growth, rising to £150k in 2026/27.	50	100	100	100	150
21	Review of resources within Communities	Service Redesign/change	Place	Communities	Clr McWilliams	Review of resources within Communities	73	73	73	73	73
22	Allotments - operating model	Income Generation	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Clr Stimson	Review of operating model for allotments to increase charges and/or reduce cost of operating with the aim to be self-financing over time.	10	10	15	20	20
23	Energy	Service Redesign/change	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Clr Clark	We currently spend £330k on energy for street lighting and close to £20k on powering water fountains in the borough. Turning lights and fountains off overnight could help to reduce energy bills as well as other carbon and biodiversity benefits.	20	35	35	35	35
24	Review of resourcing of Insurance and Risk service	Service Redesign/change	Resources	Finance	Clr Hilton	Review of funding and resourcing of Insurance and Risk service	47	47	47	47	47
Total Proposals - for Decision							1551	1641	1644	1649	1699
Proposals - to Note											

596

Ref:	Proposals	Category	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
25	Subjective Savings	Service Redesign/change	All	All	Cllr Hilton	Savings from cross council budgets no longer required.	350	350	350	350	350
26	Rental Income-Clyde House	Income Generation	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Clyde House in occupation by external tenant - Agreed rental income £101K p.a. Termination of agreement scheduled for March 2023. Assumes building demolished 24/25 and related property costs saved of £68k	101	0	68	68	68
27	Development & Regeneration-Removal of revenue professional fees	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Removal of provision for RBWM Property Company project management fees - these are now mainly capitalised against relevant projects	40	40	40	40	40
28	Review of NDRD provision-G10-G12 Alma Rd, Windsor & St Edmunds House, M'head	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Reduce budget provision by £10k to match actual costs	10	10	10	10	10
29	Town Hall Electricity costs	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Review Town Hall electricity / utilities budgets given reduced levels of occupation - electricity outturn anticipated saving £20k	20	20	20	20	20
30	Demolition of Waldeck House	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Waldeck House to be vacated by 31-12-2021 as part of Maidenhead regeneration programme-net current budget £20K. Budget required 2022/23 to secure and maintain site until property demolished	0	20	20	20	20
31	St Mary's House-Utilities costs	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Review St Mary's House - electricity / utilities budgets given reduced levels of occupation, £6k saving anticipated	6	6	6	6	6
32	St Mary's House-Occupation / Lease expiry	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Termination of St Mary's lease - expires July 23, early surrender to be investigated.	0	90	141	141	141
33	Rental Income	Income Generation	Chief Executive	Property Services	Cllr Johnson	Rental income budget from estate shops brought into line with actual expected income.	24	24	24	24	24
34	Corporate Subscriptions	Service Redesign/change	Chief Executive	Chief Executive	none	Reduction of corporate subscriptions budget in line with actual costs .	8	8	8	8	8
35	External Legal Costs	Service Redesign/change	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Increased internal Legal triage to support consistent thresholds for seeking legal advice	25	25	25	25	25
36	Staff Transport Costs	Service Redesign/change	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Reduction in mileage budget to reflect new hybrid way of working	30	30	30	30	30
37	Traded services scope and cost	Income Generation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Increase in fees for services traded with schools, and other local authorities	67	67	67	67	67
38	Full year effect of home to school transport reprocurement	Contract Change	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Following policy updates in 2021 and full contract retender process further efficiencies have been achieved.	165	165	165	165	165
39	Cross-skill role development	Transformation	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Increase resilience and flexibility of internal support teams including finance	18	18	18	18	18
40	Printing	Service Redesign/change	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Cllr Carroll	Reflects increased use of digital information in Children's Services	20	20	20	20	20
41	Printing	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Civic and Facilities	Cllr Rayner	Reduction in printing requirements by officers	30	30	30	30	30
42	Centralised Stationery	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Civic and Facilities	Cllr Rayner	Reduced demand for stationery by officers	5	5	5	5	5
43	Legal services saving	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Law	Cllr Rayner	Services delivered by shared service now provided by the Monitoring Officer and Deputy Director of Law & Strategy	30	30	30	30	30
44	Magistrates Court	Service Redesign/change	Governance, Law & Strategy	Law	Cllr Rayner	Reducing loan repayment liability	8	8	9	9	9
45	Land Charges Income	Income Generation	Governance, Law & Strategy	Electoral and Information Governance	Cllr Rayner	Increase income target for 22/23 only, in recognition of current economic activity.	50	(50)	0	0	0
46	Building control	Income Generation	Place	Planning Services	Cllr Johnson	BC fees to be set to contribute to reasonable RBWM overheads	45	45	45	45	45
47	Berkshire records office	Income Generation	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Clark	There is £13.8k of S106 one-off funding available that could be put towards our revenue funding of the Berkshire Records office	14	(14)	0	0	0

598

Ref:	Proposals	Category	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
48	Public transport funding	Income Generation	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Clark	Use of S106 funding to cover some of the growth bid for public transport subsidy during 2022/23	84	(84)	0	0	0
49	Waste Management	Income Generation	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Coppinger	There is S106 funding under waste management (£11.2k) that could be used as one-off support for the waste budget	11	(11)	0	0	0
50	Cemetery Income	Income Generation	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Stimson	Income budget increase for one year only	20	(20)	0	0	0
51	Christmas Lights - Sponsorship	Income Generation	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Rayner	Obtain sponsorship income to cover contract costs for installing and taking down Christmas Lights	69	69	69	69	69
52	Review of resources	Service Redesign/change	Place	Executive Director of Place	none	Surplus staff budget identified no longer required	15	15	15	15	15
53	Planning Fees	Income Generation	Place	Planning Services	Cllr Coppinger	Income target increased to align with anticipated activity levels, subject to annual review.	125	125	125	125	125
54	Street Lighting	Service Redesign/change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Clark	Align expenditure budgets with actual expected costs	30	30	30	30	30
55	Cash Collection costs	Contract Change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Cannon	Reduced cash collection requirements as customers increase use of pay by phone and card methods of payment	25	25	25	25	25
56	Cash Collection costs	Contract Change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Cannon	Reduce cash collection costs - Libraries service - maintain cashless strategy	30	30	30	30	30
57	Increased parking enforcement	Service Redesign/change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Cannon	Increase parking enforcement - two new officers within the NSL contract, expected to significantly improve enforcement around the borough. Income raised in penalties should fund this service and allow a contribution towards overheads.	50	50	50	50	50
58	Public Toilets	Service Redesign/change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Coppinger	Council tax expenditure budget no longer required	20	20	20	20	20
59	Waste Mobilisation	Service Redesign/change	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Cllr Coppinger	Budget no longer required	50	50	50	50	50
60	Concessionary Fares	Service Redesign/change	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Cllr Clark	Align expenditure budgets with actual expected costs	30	30	30	30	30
61	Planning Policy	Service Redesign/change	Place	Planning Services	Cllr Coppinger	Align expenditure budgets with actual expected costs	40	40	40	40	40

Ref:	Proposals	Category	Directorate	Service Area	Lead Member	Brief Description	2022/23 £000	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000
62	Telephony Savings	Contract Change	Resources	Human Resources, Corporate Projects & IT	Cllr Rayner	Savings generated by moving to new telephony technologies and a reduction in mobile phones.	70	70	70	70	70
63	Contract re-negotiation saving - bank charges (One-off)	Contract Change	Resources	Finance	Cllr Hilton	Bank charges one-off sign up 18 month fee reduction £30k then £5k ongoing - reduction in 22/23 included in MTFP financing (Lloyds)	0	15	(10)	5	5
64	Weddings Income	Income Generation	Resources	Library & Resident Services	Cllr Rayner	Income from delayed weddings - one off impact as a result of the Covid-19 emergency restrictions.	100	(100)	0	0	0
65	Corporate - Business Development	Income Generation	Resources	Finance	none	Income target increased to align with activity levels	10	10	10	10	10
Totals Proposals - to Note							1,845	1,311	1,685	1,700	1,700
All Service / Directorates - all Proposals							3,396	2,952	3,329	3,349	3,399
Incremental Proposals included in MTFP							3,396	(444)	377	20	50

This page is intentionally left blank

Report Title:	Draft Capital Programme 2022/23 – 2024/25
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot
Meeting and Date:	25 November 2022
Responsible Officer(s):	Andrew Vallance – Head of Finance and Deputy S151 Officer
Wards affected:	All



REPORT SUMMARY

This report details the draft capital programme for 2022/23 onwards including proposed new capital bids and planned expenditure for bids previously approved by Council.

Together with the capital strategy and capital cashflow, it provides a picture of the Council's proposed capital planning and expenditure.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and comments on:

- i) **The draft Capital Strategy 2022/23 – 2024/25 as set out in Appendix A**
- ii) **The draft consolidated capital programme for 2022/23 – 2024/25 in Appendix B.**
- iii) **The capital cash flow in Appendix E**

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

2.1 This report sets out the draft Capital Strategy and proposed capital programme for 2022/23 – 2024/25. Once agreed the Council can confirm the implications on its future borrowing and the implications on its final revenue budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy.

2.2 The report links very closely to two other strategies:

The Treasury Management Strategy that sets out how the Council will fund and afford its planned level of capital investment in 2022/23 and beyond. This also assesses the affordability of capital investment plans in the context of the revenue budget and its Prudential Indicators. A draft strategy was reviewed by Audit and Governance Committee at its October meeting held on 21st October.

The Budget Report 2022/23 and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2022/23 – 2026/27. This sets out the Council's revenue spending for 2022/23 and indicative spending plans for 2023/24 - 2026/27. The financial position of the Council sets the context for the affordability of the capital programme. Given the challenging financial context, the Council is now operating within its means and no new discretionary spending is included as an addition to the proposed

capital programme. New schemes are either self-funded or considered essential to maintain service provision.

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Note the report in preparation for formal approval at February 2022 Council This is the recommended option	This will allow essential capital schemes to progress

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

3.1 Table 2 below outlines key implications.

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Services delivered within approved budget	Budget overspend >£250,000	Budget variance +/- £250,000	Budget underspend >£250,000 <£1,500,000	Budget underspend >£1,500,000	31 March 2025

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

4.1. Introduction

4.1.1. The draft Capital Strategy as set out in Appendix A provides a high level overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services; along with an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability. It shows how revenue, capital and balance sheet planning are fully integrated. The draft strategy was reviewed by Audit and Governance Committee at its October meeting on 21st October.

Like many councils, RBWM has chosen to capitalise certain council spending e.g. replacement of equipment to ease the pressure on its Revenue Budget. The Council has also invested heavily in the regeneration of the Borough as well as schemes that will help to generate future income.

The Capital Review Board (an officer board overseeing delivery of the capital programme) has met regularly to review the existing capital programme, ensuring unnecessary schemes are dropped, and optimal financing arrangements are made to reduce the pressure on the revenue budget.

The strategy has been assisted by a continued period of unprecedented low interest rates, which has made the cost of substantial investment more affordable.

The Council has recognised the impact that this level of investment is having on its revenue budget through servicing this increased borrowing, albeit at low interest rates. It has therefore sought to continue to restrict its capital investment in 2022/23 and beyond.

4.1.2. For 2022/23 this means that the Council has had to focus on:

- **Fully-funded schemes**, where the cost of the scheme is fully or largely met by external funding.
- **Unavoidable capital investment** – predominantly relating to immediate requirements to replace or enhance essential fixed assets for service delivery.

4.1.3. The Capital Programme, using this strategy, is prioritised into four key areas:

1. Regeneration schemes
2. Major Strategic Acquisitions
3. Efficiency Projects
4. Operational schemes

4.1.4. These are funded from the following sources:

- capital grants
- developer contributions in the form of s106 & CIL
- partner contributions,
- capital receipts
- prudential borrowing; the cost of which is funded from the revenue budget.

Table 3 below shows the 2022/23 Capital Programme in detail together with the sources of funding in 2022/23 as shown in **Appendix B**. It also provides indicative figures for the cost of the relevant capital schemes in the following two years.

Table 3: Summary of the 2022/23 Capital Programme

Proposed Capital Bids 2022/23	Funding				
	Gross Cost	S106	CIL	Grant	Net
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Estimated Slippage to 2022/23	11,121	-	-	-	11,121
Ongoing Major Schemes Approved by Council in Previous Years	23,907	-	-	-	23,907
Fully funded schemes	12,712	(926)	(5,916)	(5,870)	-
Corporately Funded Essential Schemes	7,074	(464)	-	-	6,610
Capitalised Debt charges	248	-	-	-	248
Total	55,062	(1,390)	(5,916)	(5,870)	41,886

4.1.5. The total Capital Programme for 2022/23 is £55,062,000, of which the largest share (£23,907,000) relates to the ongoing cost of existing capital schemes. New capital investment amounts to £12,712,000 for fully funded schemes and

£7,074,000 for corporately funded schemes which are partly funded from external sources. After taking into account funding from a range of sources, the net cost of the 2022/23 programme to be funded from borrowing is £41,886,000.

- 4.1.6. The overall three-year Capital Programme will increase borrowing by £64,124,000, of which the largest share of £44,732,000 relates to schemes approved in previous years and forecast prior year slippage of £11,121,000. Note this forecast slippage position will be updated at outturn to reflect the actual position. At this stage, expected year-end slippage to 2022/23 has been estimated at 20% of the net 2021/22 capital programme.
- 4.1.7. The above figures are reflected in the Revenue Budget and Medium-Term Financial Projections, which also assume additional essential capital investment of £6,610,000 in 2022/23 and £5,000,000 in the next two financial years. £11,121,000 of proposed capital spending relates to spending that was originally expected in 2021/22 and is forecast to slip into 2022/23. This has had a positive impact on the Revenue Budget for 2021/22 of £10,000 based on a short term borrowing rate of 0.09%.
- 4.1.8. Since 2020/21, major schemes of over a year's duration now have their interest costs capitalised until the scheme is complete to recognise that the value of the asset will not be realised until complete. This reduces the impact on the revenue budget whilst the asset is under construction. If the project is delayed or interest rates fluctuate there is the possibility that interest costs could exceed the budget.
- 4.1.9. Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), essentially the principal repayment, is calculated on an annuity basis over the life of the asset starting in the year following completion. This is in line with the approved Treasury Management Policy for RBWM and the statutory guidance on minimum revenue provision

4.2. Developer Contributions

- 4.2.1 Developer Contributions in the form of S106 and CIL income are playing an increasing role in helping to fund the Capital Programme.
- 4.2.2 The 2022/23 Capital Programme includes the use of £1,390,000 of s106 & £5,916,000 CIL funding. In total the Council has the following resources as set out in **Table 4** below.

Table 4 – S106 and CIL contributions

S106 & CIL October 2021		£'000
Developer Contributions by Service Area		
Special Protection Area (SPA)		517
Allotments		10
Landscape		3
Archiving		14
Biodiversity		0
Air Quality		7
Admin Costs		8
Waste Management		11
Economic Development		16
Indoor Sports		26
Public Art		168
Town Centre Enhancements		10
Library Services		344
Community Facilities		93
Education		462
Workplace Travel Plans		9
Highways		1,427
Open Space		670
Affordable Housing		601
Public Transport		84
Community Infrastructure Levy		
CIL - Windsor		722
CIL - Maidenhead		1,533
CIL - RBWM		10,206
Total		16,942

4.2.3 It is important that there is transparency in the way that these developer contributions are used. These funds can only be used once to fund capital priorities in line with the capital strategy. CIL collected separately for Windsor town and Maidenhead town is applicable to those urban areas; RBWM CIL is useable borough wide.

4.3. Major Schemes in Programme

4.3.1 The Programme includes major schemes budgeted at £23,907,000 in 2022/23. These schemes are linked to the corporate plan priorities. They are of major importance to the Borough and are listed below with further detail in **Appendix C**.

- Affordable Housing
- Broadway Car Park, Maidenhead
- Vicus Way Car Park

- River Thames.

4.3.2 The total cost of these schemes over the next three years is £44,732,000. Some will enable the generation of future Capital Receipts. Other schemes will generate future revenue income, after taking into account debt financing costs, e, g, and Broadway and Vicus Way car parks.

4.4. Fully Funded Schemes £12,712,000

4.4.1 These schemes are either funded from s106 & CIL allocations from developers or specific grant and have no net cost to the Council but need to be approved and monitored through the year to ensure spending is within budget and the scheme is delivered as planned. These are set out in **Appendix D**.

4.5. Borough Funded Schemes £6,610,000

4.5.1 These schemes are mostly funded from additional borrowing and include statutory schemes, refurbishment and enhancement schemes. The gross value of these schemes totals £7,074,000 and are partly funded by grant and developer contributions where available.

4.5.2 In previous years the Council has also approved a number of discretionary schemes that have added to borrowing costs and impacted on the revenue budget but due to affordability only essential schemes are being proposed for 2021/22 as additions to the programme. These are set out in **Appendix D**.

4.5.3 Ideally the Council would fund the bulk of these schemes from revenue due to their repetitive and ongoing requirement and has done so in the past. This has been in the region of £1,700,000 funded from capital reserves as a contribution from revenue in prior years and ceased in 2018. For affordability reasons, it will take some time before the Council is in a position to include an annual allocation for these works as a revenue contribution to capital.

4.5.4 All expenditure below £20,000 is de Minimis for capital purposes and expenditure below this amount is funded from within revenue budgets. This decision has the benefit of a reducing the number of capital projects, enabling more focus on larger schemes when approving and monitoring spend.

4.5.5 Further information on the Council's longer term plans are included in the Capital cash flow as detailed in **Appendix E**.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 None.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 Funding maintenance and assets with a short life from capital means that an increasing share of the capital programme is required for essential day to day

capital spending. This spending is often unavoidable with the need to maintain key buildings along with the need to update and replace obsolete technology.

- 6.2 This creates a risk that the Council will have even less money to invest in major new schemes.
- 6.3 Over time the Council needs to look to fund its more immediate capital needs from its revenue budget rather than using capital resources to fund them. Whilst all expenditure meets the criteria of qualifying as capital expenditure, having an annual revenue budget or reinstating a revenue contribution to capital of £1,700,000 per annum in future years will decrease borrowing costs which place additional pressure on the revenue budget. Due to current interest rates being low, the risk is low; however this may change should interest rates increase in future years.
- 6.4 At this stage it is not feasible to do this, given the pressures on the revenue budget but this is something that the Council will need to address..

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equality impact assessments have been completed for each proposed capital bid.
- 7.2 The Council's Capital Review Board is in the development stages of considering the impact of climate change/sustainability for each capital scheme and will enhance this process further in future.

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 Extensive consultation will take place on the budget. Consultation will take place with the local Chambers Of Commerce in February 2022. The Lead Member for Finance and Ascot attends, together with officers. The meetings serve to consult on the proposals within this paper.
- 8.2 Consultation will also take place with the Leader of the Opposition, the Lead for Finance and Ascot, Cabinet and officers. These meetings serve to consult on the proposals within this paper.
- 8.3 Consultations will take place with an Overview and Scrutiny Panel in December. The feedback from these panels will be included in the Budget Report 2022/23 which will be presented to February 2022 Council.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 9.1 Final Capital Programme will be presented to Cabinet and Council in February 2022, for implementation from April 2022.

10. APPENDICES

- 10.1 This report is supported by five appendices:

- Appendix A Draft Capital Strategy
- Appendix B Draft Capital Programme
- Appendix C Major Schemes
- Appendix D Proposed New Schemes
- Appendix E Capital Cashflow

11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

11.1 None

12. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory: Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	12/11/21	12/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	12/11/21	15/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	Report Author	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	16/11/21
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	12/11/21	15/11/21
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	12/11/21	
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	12/11/21	12/11/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	12/11/21	15/11/21

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot	Yes
---	--------------------------------------	-----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key Decision	No	No

CAPITAL STRATEGY 2022/23 – 2026/27

www.rbwm.gov.uk



Royal Borough
of Windsor &
Maidenhead

CONTENTS

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
2. BACKGROUND AND KEY FACTS
3. WHAT IS CAPITAL INVESTMENT?
4. NATIONAL FINANCIAL CONTEXT
5. RBWM FINANCIAL CONTEXT
6. DEVELOPING CAPITAL PLANS
7. RBWM'S PRIORITIES
8. CORPORATE PLAN
9. SERVICE PRIORITIES FOR INVESTMENT
10. DELIVERING CAPITAL PROJECTS
11. FINANCIAL RISKS
12. SUMMARY & CONCLUSION

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 RBWM's capital strategy forms the basis for long-term planning of capital investment. It builds upon processes implemented for the delivery of the Council's varied and aspiring capital programme. Thorough asset and resource planning has further facilitated the making of informed decisions.
- 1.2 Local authorities continue to face financial pressures and the impact of Covid-19 has exacerbated the situation. With this in mind, a balanced approach must be taken when assessing affordability and service needs.
- 1.3 Looking ahead, together with our partners, we will continue to improve our Borough's infrastructure with ambitious regeneration planned in the forthcoming years.
- 1.4 We will ensure that the Council employs sufficiently qualified and experienced staff to be able to deliver our Capital Strategy, including asset managers, development managers, legal and accountancy support staff.
- 1.5 Through our Corporate Plan, we have identified a number of priorities for the Borough, These will be built into the capital programme as the years proceed and funding streams become available.
- 1.6 In conjunction with the Medium Term Financial Plan, Treasury Management Policy and the Borough's Strategic plans, the Capital Strategy paves the way for making infrastructure improvements across the Borough.

2 BACKGROUND AND KEY FACTS

- 2.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead covers an area of 76.6 square miles. Situated in Berkshire at the heart of the Thames Valley, it is less than 30 miles west of central London and is one of the most affluent areas in the country. It comprises three main settlements: Ascot, Maidenhead and Windsor; and enjoys a predominantly rural setting, including Green Belt, Crown Estate and National Trust land, with 60 parks and open spaces.
- 2.2 The estimated population of the Borough is 151,422 in 2019. Based on the Index of Multiple Deprivation 2019, the borough is ranked 304 out of 317 local authorities. Although no wards within the borough fall within the 10% most deprived wards nationally, there are areas of relative deprivation, such as Clewer East. **Table 1** provides further data.
- 2.3 Table 1

At a glance:	
Population:	151,422, expected to rise to 159,700 by 2041. (ONS Population Estimates)
Size:	76.6 square miles
Qualifications and training:	53.1% of population qualified to and above degree-level or equivalent (compared to South East 37.6% and England 35.8%) 2.9% with no qualifications (GCSE) (compared to South East 4.9% and England 6.3%) (ONS APS Dec-2020)
Employment:	Unemployment rate 2.8% compared to South East 4.0%, and England 4.8% (ONS APS, Dec-2020)
Ethnicity:	13.9% non-white British (ONS Census 2011)
Median house price:	£499,475 compared to South East £327,500 and England £249,000. (year ending Sep-2020, ONS House Price Statistics for Small Areas)

- 2.4 The Royal Borough delivers essential services to the community: the residents, businesses and partners of Windsor and Maidenhead every day. Services range from those that the Royal Borough is required to carry out by law (statutory duties) such as street cleaning, waste collection, planning and building control, education and social care, through to discretionary services, such as sport and leisure, tailored to local priorities and needs.

- 2.5 Adults and Children's services are managed on behalf of the Borough by Optalis Ltd and Achieving for Children (AFC) respectively. The Council shares ownership of these organisations with other partner authorities and group accounts are prepared annually including the Council's equity share of these associates.
- 2.6 As a council we measure how well we are performing through a range of indicators as well as our residents' survey. Everything we do has to be provided within the challenge of reduced central grant to local government and increasing demand on service areas as the population grows and ages.
- 2.7 The Royal Borough is committed to providing high quality services that offer value for money. Our corporate priorities guide our spending, alongside our statutory roles looking after the most vulnerable people in society and protecting the environment. Our capital strategy must balance the growing demands for services such as adult social care and children's services with our commitment to protect the environment and promote a buoyant and diverse economy.
- 2.8 An increasing proportion of our expenditure is being spent on services that support individual and vulnerable people. In all the services we either commission or deliver we will strive to achieve the best outcomes for our residents achieving the best value for money.
- 2.9 Our low council tax means our expenditure spent on all services, but in particular non-statutory services provided to our community, is under particular pressure. The Royal Borough has committed to a significant savings programme and is continually working to ensure that the services it delivers are subjected to rigorous value for money testing. We will continue to seek out opportunities to deliver efficiencies, savings and ways to increase our income.
- 2.10 The Royal Borough has an on-going transformation plan, which will aid delivery of the increased efficiencies and savings requirement.

2.11 Our commitment to delivering high quality services is rooted in our commitment to providing value for money. Outside of London the Royal Borough has the lowest level of Council Tax in England.

3 WHAT IS CAPITAL INVESTMENT?

3.1 Capital investment can be categorised into the following:

- **Major Projects** – After option appraisal; this can include the provision of a new school, library or leisure centre, or major highways investment.
- **Invest to Save Schemes** – where the Council invests in a project on the understanding that it will pay for itself over a reasonable period of time.
- **Equipment Replacement** – where the Council is required to replace certain equipment e.g. IT assets when they become obsolete.

3.2 In some cases, projects may be fully funded by Government Grants or partner contributions.

3.3 The main sources of capital funding are:

- **Capital Grants** – either general grants or specific grants towards specific projects e.g. highways and schools.
- **Developer Contributions** – towards the costs of local infrastructure stemming from new development. This includes S106 & Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).
- **Partner Contributions** – Council partners may make a contribution towards the cost of capital projects.
- **Revenue Contributions** – where the revenue budget meets the cost of ongoing capital spending e.g. maintenance of buildings etc.
- **Capital Receipts** – from the disposal of council assets.

- **Prudential Borrowing** – this enables councils to borrow to fund capital investment provided that it is affordable. This is largely undertaken through the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). The debt financing costs are also met by the Revenue Budget.

3.4 There is a fine dividing line when deciding whether spending should be charged as day-to-day revenue spending or included within the Capital Programme:

- **Spending less than £20,000** is considered as revenue spending. This is the de minimis level that the Council sets.
- **Annual maintenance** is considered to be revenue spending

3.5 Ideally, RBWM aims to cover recurring spending from its Revenue Budget and fund short life assets from external income sources. Borrowing is used to fund spending on longer life assets e.g. buildings and infrastructure.

4 NATIONAL FINANCIAL CONTEXT

- 4.1 Over recent years all unitary authorities have faced significant cuts as a result of austerity. This has had a significant impact on major investment decisions. The impact of Covid-19 has further impacted councils at unprecedented levels and continues to be experienced in a number of areas of the Council's operations
- 4.2 Government capital grants for funding capital projects have been cut significantly.
- 4.3 Material pressures on revenue budgets mean that councils are finding it harder to meet significant borrowing costs stemming from capital investment.
- 4.4 Council budgets have come under significant pressure resulting in some councils capitalising certain spending. This has allowed them to borrow to spread the cost of this spending over a number of years and ease the immediate pressure on the revenue budget e.g. capitalising debt interest.

- 4.5 Some councils have taken a more commercial approach to their assets. For example, building or expanding car parking to generate additional ongoing income to support the council budget or purchased property for a purely financial return.
- 4.6 Unprecedented low interest rates have enabled councils to borrow cheaply to fund new capital investment. To address the issue of councils borrowing purely for commercial investment, PWLB lending terms have been modified in relation to that.
- 4.7 Many councils have also benefited from capital receipts from asset sales to offset the cost of new capital investment and this is an option open to RBWM.

5 RBWM FINANCIAL CONTEXT

- 5.1 RBWM has the advantage of substantial and valuable land and buildings holdings. In compliance with its asset management plan, the Borough continues to be pro-active and innovative in using these holdings to generate capital receipts for new investment.
- 5.2 As a general principle, land no longer required for its existing use is declared surplus so that options for its future use or sale can be considered by the Property Services team and members of the Capital Review Board prior to proceeding for a formal decision.
- 5.3 Capital receipts are used to finance capital expenditure. In future, capital receipts will also be utilised for debt redemption in accordance with the Council's Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy.
- 5.4 Where appropriate, the Council has used the capital receipts generated from the closure of a facility to largely fund its replacement. Disposals can only take place once the new facility is built, which means that
- The Council needs to borrow to fund the new facility initially

- The Council carries the risk of holding and disposing of the previous asset.
- 5.5 In other cases, RBWM has been able to use s106 & CIL contributions to offset the cost of certain capital investment, where this is consistent with the terms of the development agreement.
- 5.6 RBWM has also invested in its assets to generate income to support its Revenue Budget. This has included:
- Converting and investing in council land to generate additional income from car parking provision.
 - Modest investment in commercial property to maintain a revenue income stream.
- 5.7 This has resulted in significant capital investment in recent years. Council borrowing is projected at £213,000,000 for 2022/23.
- 5.8 When building the Capital Programme for 2022/23 the cost of borrowing has been kept as low as possible by investing in essential schemes only. This is in addition to the schemes approved in previous years by Council. For 2022/23 debt financing costs, including MRP, are estimated at £6.2m. This will reduce in future years as disposals of council assets are used to repay short term debt. At the same time the investment will also have generated considerable income that will help the Council repay this debt.
- 5.9 Overall, RBWM has sought to keep Council tax levels to a minimum. This has meant that it has tightly controlled spending within its Revenue Budget, which in turn has had consequences for its capital budget, such as needing to:
- Fund significant spending on maintaining assets from borrowing rather than funding this from within its Revenue Budget
 - Use capital to fund a number of short-term asset replacements.

- Prioritise spending that generates future income to contribute to its Revenue Budget.

5.10 In the short term this has helped to spread the cost of this investment over a number of years and reduce the impact on the Revenue Budget.

5.11 However, in the longer term as borrowing increases, this places more and more pressure on the Revenue Budget, through increasing the level of debt financing costs. For 2022/23 it is estimated that for every £1,000,000 borrowed MRP & debt costs are in the region of £30,000.

6 DEVELOPING CAPITAL PLANS

6.1 Decisions around future capital investment should not be taken lightly as it often involves significant sums of money, which has a significant future impact on council finances.

6.2 The Council faces some tough choices against competing priorities and therefore always needs to balance the immediate benefit of investing in a new capital asset against the future financial sustainability of council finances. One of these tough choices will be whether to borrow to develop council assets to create long term revenue streams or whether to dispose of assets to help to reduce borrowing costs.

6.3 To strike this tough balance the Council will:

- **Have clear capital investment priorities for all of its key services** – this will allow it to balance the needs of individual services against one another.
- **Develop clear business cases for major projects** – so that there is a clear understanding about the benefits that the project will deliver and whether these are worth the level of investment required.
- **Set clear objectives** – for example it needs to be clear about the payback period it expects from commercial invest to save schemes.
- **Develop a pipeline of projects** that fit in with the longer term plan for capital investment.


6.4 This prioritisation will be assisted by having:

- **Surveys of all council assets** that set out maintenance requirements over time
- **Clear replacement strategies** – that show when assets need to be replaced and updated e.g. IT equipment and systems.

- 6.5 Given the long-term nature of capital investment, the Council should be able to plan ahead effectively and avoid the need for capital schemes to emerge at the last minute.
- 6.6 Above all, there is a need for an effective process to assess competing capital priorities and develop more long-term capital plans.

7 RBWM'S PRIORITIES

- 7.1 The Council's priorities are paramount to the capital strategy. A new Corporate Plan is currently under development, but this strategy reflects the objectives of the plan. This strategy will be updated to reflect any changes to the plan.
- 7.2 Finance is both the enabler that allows the Council to deliver these key priorities and the constraint that the Council needs to work within as it makes tough decisions between those priorities.
- 7.3 The Council's capital programme is prioritised into five key areas: Development, Investment, Major Strategic Acquisitions, Efficiency and Operational.



8 CORPORATE PLAN

8.1 The Corporate Plan articulates the Council's priorities for the period 2021-2026 and sets the strategic direction in order to ensure efforts and resources are directed to the right areas. This is particularly important given the scale of financial challenge and resource constraint, and in the face of challenges facing the borough, including: climate change; the recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and wider changes in the shape of the economy; a growing and ageing population; persistent pockets of deprivation and inequalities; and the high costs of housing in the borough. In addition, to setting out what we aim to achieve, the Plan also sets out the Council's approach to achieving change – how it will work as well as what it will focus on. The overarching aim of the Corporate Plan is to create a sustainable Borough of innovation and opportunity

8.2 Corporate plan objectives that impact the Capital Strategy and will be taken into consideration when prioritising future year capital projects are:

8.3 Thriving Communities

- Improvement in outcomes for children leaving our care – increased proportions supported to live locally (at least 95%) and in education, training or employment (at least 75%), supported by a Corporate Parenting service, judged good or better.
- An increase in the number of adults undertaking activity in line with the UK Chief Medical Officer's physical activity guidelines, particularly in those groups where current activity is likely to be lower; linking in to Leisure Centre provision.
- A minimum of three pilots of new Technology Enabled Care (TEC) delivered within 12 months.

8.4 A ladder of **housing opportunity**, to support better life chances for all.

- Enable over 3,000 new homes by 2026, of which at least 1,000 will be affordable housing (of mixed tenures and affordable housing types).

- 2,000 households helped into new and existing affordable homes, prioritising social and affordable rent.
- More people with learning disabilities to live in their own homes or with their families, increasing the proportion by 10 percent points by 2025.
- A decrease in the number of households living in temporary accommodation to less than 100 by April 2025 with 80% or more living in the borough.
- Ensure that no one sleeps rough in the borough through necessity.

8.5 Inspiring Places

- Supporting the borough's future prosperity and sustainability
- An increase in the number of new and surviving businesses within the borough, including the expansion of Creative industries.
- An increase in footfall in Windsor between 2021-2026, and in Maidenhead, following its regeneration.
- An increase in the proportion of women and girls who feel safe in the Borough, including through a safe, thriving night time economy.
- Undertake a master planning exercise for central Windsor by 2023 and submit a business case for Government funding for identified improvements along Ascot High Street.

- Quality infrastructure that connects neighbourhoods and businesses and allows them to prosper
- Deliver new transport infrastructure to support growth, including completing Phase 1 of Maidenhead Housing Enabling works and the remaining junction improvements.
- Investment along the A308 corridor to deliver on the recommendations of the corridor study.
- An increase in full fibre to 95% of properties by 2025; eliminate 4G “not-spots” in rural areas; and establish a test-bed and small cell roll out for 5G.
- Deliver new and enhanced community and youth facilities, including at Blackamoor Lane, Larchfield and Windsor.
- Increase walking and cycling by 50% by 2025, including investing in new cycle infrastructure through the North-South Green Spine in Maidenhead, and improved cycle ways in Ascot, Sunningdale, Sunninghill and Windsor.
- Deliver the Windsor Public Realm project, transforming Castle Hill into a pedestrian first zone, and growing the local economy and increasing numbers of local jobs.
- Increase the passenger satisfaction and the number of bus journeys per head of population to close the gap with neighbouring Berkshire authorities as well as establishing trials to deliver better rural bus service connectivity.

- Enable delivery of the key social, physical and green infrastructure to support new development at the Desborough / South West Maidenhead site (AL13 in the BLP), including strategic highway improvements, public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure, new primary and secondary schools, community facilities and open space.
- Review the collection of Community Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 funding, in order to increase developer investment in sustainable, community infrastructure.

8.6 Taking action to tackle climate change and its consequences, and improving our natural environment.

- A decrease in the borough and council's own emissions by 50% by 2025 – and net zero by 2050, at the latest.
- The Council commits to spend £1 million on reducing emissions through energy efficiency improvements over the period, and will seek external funding to accelerate the plans.
- Achieve the National Air Quality Objective (AQO) across all Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) by 2025.
- Increase the percentage of residents who enjoy the borough's green spaces on a regular basis and feel that they are able to access quality green spaces easily.
- Drive energy efficiency improvements through our social housing providers, increasing the proportion of homes at EPC rating C to 100% by 2030.
- Adopt a new, best practice Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to drive forward our climate and environmental goals in all new developments.

- Enable an increase in renewable energy generation in the Borough, by 10 fold by 2026 (from a baseline of 13,067 MWh in 2018).
- Enable the delivery of electric vehicle charging infrastructure to meet growing demand through a new EV implementation plan.
- Increase biodiversity across the borough, supporting the Berks, Bucks and Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust vision for 30% of land for nature by 2030. We will ensure a minimum of 10% biodiversity net gain through the planning system and new Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) to mitigate the impact of new developments on the Thames Basin Heath Special Protected Area (SPA).
- Increase recycling to 50% of waste by 2025, and to 65% by 2035, with an overall reduction in waste generated.
- Invest £10m on flooding prevention within Datchet, Horton and Wraysbury, and Old Windsor wards, working in partnership with the Environment Agency. Alongside further investment, borough-wide, in protection against surface water flooding as part of delivering our climate adaptation plan.

9 SERVICE PRIORITIES FOR INVESTMENT

9.1 The Council's service priorities for investment over the lifetime of this strategy are set out by directorate for ease of reference, see **Table 2**.

Table 2

Directorate	Service priorities	Link to statutory or other plan	Link to Council priority/Corporate Plan
Chief Executive	Maintenance and improvement of existing accommodation provision for the Council and its tenants to ensure statutory requirements met and rental income is maintained and enhanced.	Asset Management Plan	Climate Strategy
	Improvement in energy efficiency to reduce environmental impact.		Values
			Safe Places
Resources	Continued investment in use of mobile technologies to enabling the workforce to deliver in efficient and effective ways	IT strategy	Make most effective use of resources
	Investment in telephony solutions that realise benefits of mobile devices.		
	Investment in network redesign and replacement.		
	Investment for improvements in library buildings and facilities to support a sustainable and resilient Library Service	Library transformation strategy	Inspiring Places
Place	Investment in essential highways infrastructure, including bridges and footpaths	Local Transport Plan	Safe and vibrant communities
	Investment in "Active Travel" and alternative transport linked to climate change	Bus Service Improvement Plan	Attractive, well connected borough

Directorate	Service priorities	Link to statutory or other plan	Link to Council priority/Corporate Plan
	Investment in road safety, where clear evidence identifies intervention required	Local cycling and walking plan	
		Climate Strategy	
	One off pump priming investment in digital and communications infrastructure.		
	Maintain & improve accessibility to our community assets that have a measurable and direct positive impact on residents Health & Wellbeing		
	Town Centre enhancements as part of local master planning exercises that supports vision charters across Maidenhead & Windsor, with a business case developed for identified improvements along Ascot High Street, which leverage external investment		
Adults, Health & Housing	New accommodation provision for vulnerable people.	Adult Social Care Transformation Programme	
Children's Services	Education: Mainstream and SEND capacity to keep up with growth in population in partnership with all state schools.	Inclusion Strategy	Healthy, skilled and independent residents
	Education: maintenance of community and voluntary controlled school buildings, including investment in carbon reductions.		Well managed resources, delivering value for money
	Social Care: 18-25 supported accommodation for care leavers and those with additional needs.	Council Transformation Strategy	
	Social Care: 5-10 residential children's home places to challenge the marketplace.	Sufficiency Strategy	
	Office accommodation for services.		
	Modern technology platform for mobile and partnership working.		

9.2 The Council also needs to be flexible enough to respond to opportunities to lever in additional external funding or grant that could partially fund an additional project alongside some capital investment from the Council.

10 DELIVERING CAPITAL PROJECTS

10.1 All capital projects over £100,000 are subject to a gateway process that requires them to set out:

- A procurement Strategy for the project
- A project timetable and delivery plan
- An updated financial assessment including the revenue implications
- A clear assessment of project benefits and how these will be delivered and assessed.

10.2 The Council has established a Capital Review Board (CRB) which oversees the delivery of the capital programme. CRB is an officer working group. It is an advisory / monitoring body and takes any decision-making power from the delegated authority of officers attending as set out in the scheme of delegation and the financial procedure rules within the Council's Constitution. It makes decisions where priorities and budgets are already agreed within the Council's Policy and Budget Framework. Any proposal that is outside the approved Policy and Budget framework will be referred to Cabinet and/or Council in accordance with the Constitution. The following summarises the terms of reference of the board:

Membership

- Executive Director of Place
- Head of Finance (chair)
- Head of HR, Corporate Projects and IT
- Head of Infrastructure, Sustainability and Economic Growth
- Head of Neighbourhood Services
- Head of Capital Projects and Asset Management, RBWM Property Company Limited
- School Places and Capital Team Leader
- Corporate Accountant (Capital)

10.3 Support to the Board

- Project Manager – Corporate Projects
- Executive Assistant to Executive Director of Place

10.4 Frequency

CRB normally meets every 2 months but more frequently as required e.g. in the lead up to budget setting.

10.5 Overall Responsibilities

- Advise on the Council's Capital Strategy in line with the Council's priorities.
- Ensure the effective development and delivery of the Capital Programme in line with the Council's Capital Strategy and Council priorities.

- Identify and monitor the resources available to fund the Capital Programme in the most efficient way.
- Oversee the gateway process for the Capital Programme.
- Monitor the progress of the Capital programme and key variances between plans and performance.
- Encourage and enable the development of learning, skills and capacity in the management of capital projects across the organisation.

10.6 Priority Outcomes

- An effective Capital Strategy and Capital Programme that optimises the resources available to deliver the Council's priorities.
- Continuous improvement in the development and delivery of the capital programme and that strategic capital investment is planned and delivered in the most efficient and effective way.
- Review completed of the previously approved Capital Programme in light of the 'new normal' environment the Council will operate in.
- Better management of capital projects, in line with best practice, ensuring benefits are realised.
- Effective bidding for external capital funding.
- Enhanced cross-service strategic working and partnerships with other organisations on the development and management of capital projects.

- That the Capital Strategy and Programme is funded in the most efficient way and fully integrated into the Medium-Term Financial Strategy of the Council.
- That lessons are learnt from capital projects undertaken by the Council.

10.7 The Working Group is able to approve the delivery of all projects up to £250,000, while projects above this level will be approved by Cabinet.

10.8 Cabinet receives a report on the delivery of capital schemes which is included within the regular Financial Update.

11 FINANCIAL RISKS

11.1 Planning for the future can never be an exact science. There are many factors that the Council cannot control completely, Covid-19 being a prime example, which can have a significant impact on the viability of future capital plans.

- **Revenue Budget** – ultimately the cost of borrowing to fund capital investment has to be met by the revenue budget. This means that the sustainability of the revenue budget as set out within the Budget Strategy is a key risk factor that impacts on the affordability of capital spending.
- **Government Grants**– although Government Grants have reduced over time this still makes a significant contribution towards the cost and viability of major schools and highways schemes. This may improve further should the government award additional capital grant for infrastructure in future years.

- **Interest Rates** – although currently at a very low level, any rise in interest rates will impact on the affordability and viability of key future capital projects.
- **Project Creep** - projects delivered over a period of time are inherently risky. Tight cost control is needed to ensure that the project keeps within the spending envelope.
- **Contractual Risk** – the cost of major projects can be heavily dependent on the level of competition that influences bids to deliver the scheme.

11.2 Capital Projects are inherently risky. There are significant risks that the costs of capital schemes can exceed the original capital programme allocation. There is also a delivery risk that projects can be late. Effective project planning and due diligence, project management and budget control is essential in mitigating delivery risks along with the selection of skilled delivery partners.

11.3 Funding capital investment represents a significant pressure on the Revenue Budget. It is essential that the Council understands fully the revenue impact of capital investment and the extent to which the project:

- Meets the Council's objectives
- Is self-funding
- Delivers a realistic pay back in the case of invest to save schemes

12 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

- 12.1 Capital investment decisions involve substantial sums of money and represent a long-term plan, which can extend well beyond the term of the existing Council.
- 12.2 Decisions on future capital investment therefore need to balance a range of different long-term priorities, often within tight financial constraints.
- 12.3 The strategy sets out some clear criteria for determining capital spending and deciding on the competing priorities.
- 12.4 The strategy also sets out a key delivery mechanism designed to deliver effective implementation of capital plans.

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2022/23 & ONWARDS

		Revised Budget 2021/22			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 Indicative			2024/25 Indicative		
		Gross (£'000)	Income (£'000)	Estimate (£'000)	Gross (£'000)	Income (£'000)	Estimate (£'000)	Gross (£'000)	Income (£'000)	Estimate (£'000)	Gross (£'000)	Income (£'000)	Estimate (£'000)
Portfolio Summary													
Chief Executive													
	Property	32,651	(2,763)	29,888	27,949	(684)	27,265	12,675	0	12,675	500	0	500
	Total Chief Executive	32,651	(2,763)	29,888	27,949	(684)	27,265	12,675	0	12,675	500	0	500
Law & Strategy													
	Corporate Communications	84	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Democratic Representation	361	0	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Law & Strategy	445	0	445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resources													
	Library & Resident Services	543	(16)	527	190	(190)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Revenues & Benefits	30	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Finance	1,629	0	1,629	248	0	248	413	0	413	0	0	0
	Technology & Change Delivery	437	0	437	590	0	590	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Resources	2,639	(16)	2,623	1,028	(190)	838	413	0	413	0	0	0
Adults, Health & Housing													
	Housing	1,118	(1,063)	55	1,800	(1,000)	800	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0
	Adult Social Care	200	(200)	0	185	(185)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Adults, Health & Housing	1,318	(1,263)	55	1,985	(1,185)	800	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0
Children's Services													
	Non Schools	592	(118)	474	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schools - Non Devolved	5,459	(4,428)	1,031	1,420	(1,420)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schools - Devolved Capital	613	(613)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0
	Total Children's Services	6,664	(5,159)	1,505	1,614	(1,614)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0
Place													
	Communities	859	(410)	449	400	(25)	375	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Local Enterprise Partnership Schemes	11,527	(4,596)	6,931	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Planning Service	1,305	(312)	993	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Neighbourhood Services	8,740	(4,674)	4,066	8,523	(7,036)	1,487	8,650	0	8,650	0	0	0
	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	2,285	(1,306)	979	2,150	(2,150)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Green Spaces & Parks	308	(50)	258	292	(292)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Place	25,024	(11,348)	13,676	11,365	(9,503)	1,862	8,650	0	8,650	0	0	0
Forecast net slippage		(11,121)	0	(11,121)	11,121	0	11,121	0	0	0	0	0	0
Capital Programme Portfolio Total		57,620	(20,549)	37,071	55,062	(13,176)	41,886	22,532	(794)	21,738	1,294	(794)	500

	£000	£000	£000	£000
External Funding				
Government Grants	(11,030)	(5,870)	(794)	(794)
Developers' Contributions	(9,494)	(7,306)	0	0
Other Contributions	(25)	0	0	0
Total External Funding Sources	(20,549)	(13,176)	(794)	(794)
Total Corporate Funding	37,071	41,886	21,738	500

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23 incl slippage			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Property													
CC78	Vicus Way Car Park	7,930	(1,108)	6,822	2,824	0	2,824	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC80	Temp Parking Provision-Maidenhead Regeneration	312	0	312	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI29	Broadway Car Park & Central House Scheme	3,814	(1,630)	2,184	13,756	0	13,756	10,231	0	10,231	0	0	0
CI33	Clyde House	50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIXX	Demolition Waldeck House	0	0	0	450	0	450	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI49	Maidenhead Golf Course	16,050	0	16,050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI60	Regeneration Improvement Projects	85	0	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI73	York Road, Maidenhead-Affordable Housing	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI75	York House-Leasing & Building Adaption Costs	39	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX40	Operational Estate Improvements	475	0	475	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX41	Commercial Investment & Corporate Estate Portfolio Repairs	217	0	217	2,997	(39)	2,958	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX43	Affordable Housing-St Edmunds	140	0	140	1,793	0	1,793	1,200	0	1,200	0	0	0
CX45	Affordable Housing - 16 Ray Mill Ave East, MHead	0	0	0	4,234	0	4,234	735	0	735	0	0	0
CX46	Affordable Key Worker Housing-Riverside Mokattam RM	0	0	0	843	0	843	9	0	9	0	0	0
CX50	Guildhall-Render Repair & Redecoration	94	0	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX54	Cedar Tree Guest House, Windsor-Purchase	1,612	0	1,612	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX60	Nicholson Shopping Centre Development	418	0	418	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX61	Fire Compartmentalisation Works-Maintained Schools	84	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX62	Guildhall-Repairs & Heating	70	0	70	545	(545)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX67	18-20 Ray Mill Rd East-Family Centre Relocation	271	0	271	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX70	Regeneration-Legal & Consultancy Fees	500	0	500	0	0	0	500	0	500	500	0	500
CX71	Affordable Housing-106 Westborough Rd Refurb	25	(25)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX72	Community Options, Maidenhead-Lease Surrender	365	0	365	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX73	MEES Compliance-Minimum Energy Efficiency Standard	0	0	0	100	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX74	Commercial Estates-Compliance	0	0	0	400	0	400	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Property	32,651	(2,763)	29,888	27,949	(684)	27,265	12,675	0	12,675	500	0	500
	TOTAL CHIEF EXECUTIVE CAPITAL PROGRAMME	32,651	(2,763)	29,888	27,949	(684)	27,265	12,675	0	12,675	500	0	500

LAW & STRATEGY

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
	Corporate Communications												
CN80	CRM Upgrade / Jadu Contract	84	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Corporate Communications	84	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Democratic Representation												
CM60	Grants - Outside Organisations	361	0	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		361	0	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL LAW & STRATEGY CAPITAL PROGRAMME		445	0	445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RESOURCES

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Library & Resident Services													
CC53	Contact Centre - Ventilation & Back-up Generator	62	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC65	Refurbishment M'head, Windsor, Ascot , Eton Libs	19	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC97	Eton Wick Library - General Repairs	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC99	Eton Library – Open Access and Shop Front Repair	37	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CL87	Old Windsor Library-Extension	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLB2	Sunninghill Library Lease Repairs	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE1	Cox Green Lib - Building Repairs Etc	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE2	Dedworth Lib - Payment Kiosk, Replace Public PCs	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE4	Cookham Library - Entrance Canopy & Repairs	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE5	Maidenhead Lib - Redesign Reception & Repairs	3	0	3	98	(98)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE6	Upgrade Public PCs	48	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLE9	Windsor Lib - Replacement Public PC and Laptops	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLF5	Registrars Office - Redecoration	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLG3	General Library Improvements	38	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLG5	Maidenhead Library-Public Toilet Refurbishment	16	(16)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLG6	Maidenhead Library-Heating	250	0	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLG7	Libraries-Upgrade of Self Serve Kiosks	0	0	0	44	(44)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLG8	Pop Up Libraries-Equipment	0	0	0	48	(48)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Library & Resident Services		543	(16)	527	190	(190)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenues & Benefits													
CM00	Revenues & Benefits-Document Management System	30	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Revenues & Benefits		30	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finance													
CA14	Transformation Projects	1,347	0	1,347	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA15	Capitalised Debt Charges	282	0	282	248	0	248	413	0	413	0	0	0
Total Finance		1,629	0	1,629	248	0	248	413	0	413	0	0	0
Technology & Change Delivery													
CA12	Modern Workplace Project-Phase 2	90	0	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA13	Key Infrastructure Upgrades & Hardware	117	0	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CN00	Key Systems Infrastructure & Hardware Upgrades	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA16	MHR Pension Data Service Implementation	22	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA17	Delivery of IT Strategy	200	0	200	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA18	Additional Devices	0	0	0	40	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA19	Network Hardware Replacement	0	0	0	450	0	450	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total HR Corporate Projects & IT		437	0	437	590	0	590	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RESOURCES CAPITAL PROGRAMME		2,639	(16)	2,623	1,028	(190)	838	413	0	413	0	0	0

ADULTS, HEALTH & HOUSING

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Housing													
CE08	Air Quality Monitoring	162	(107)	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT29	Low Cost Housing (S106 Funding)	161	(161)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT51	Key Worker DIYSO	195	(195)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT52	Disabled Facilities Grant	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0
CT66	John West House	0	0	0	1,200	(400)	800	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Housing	1,118	(1,063)	55	1,800	(1,000)	800	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0
Adult Social Care													
CT62	Adult Services Case Management System	200	(200)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT67	Homestead- Winston and Hub	0	0	0	185	(185)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total ADULT SOCIAL CARE	200	(200)	0	185	(185)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL ADULTS, HEALTH & HOUSING CAPITAL PROGRAMME	1,318	(1,263)	55	1,985	(1,185)	800	600	(600)	0	600	(600)	0

CHILDREN'S SERVICES

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Non Schools													
CKVH	2Yr old capital entitlement	6	(6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CKVN	IT Software upgrades-2015-16	30	(30)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CKVR	Youth Centres Modernisation Programme	75	(75)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CKVX	Pinkneys Green Storage Facility	7	(7)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CKVY	Youth Voice Youth Choice	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT61	AfC Case Management System	459	0	459	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non Schools		592	(118)	474	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schools - Non Devolved													
CSDQ	Urgent Safety Works Various Schools	39	(39)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSEX	Feasibility/Survey Costs	249	(249)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSGS	Larchfield Primary Safeguarding & Entrance Works	157	(157)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSGV	Cox Green School Expansion Year 1 of 3	50	(28)	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSGW	Furze Platt Senior expansion Year 1 of 3	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSGX	Dedworth Middle School Expansion Year 1 of 3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSHG	Bisham General Refurbishment	19	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSHW	Secondary Expansions Risk Contingency	183	0	183	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSJB	Roofing Replacement at Various Schools	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSJR	Works to explore expansions for all Schools	114	0	114	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSJX	St Peters Middle	684	0	684	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKA	Alexander School Kitchen Refurbishment	158	(158)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKM	Wessex Primary Window & Door Replacement	225	(225)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKR	Kitchen Extract Cleaning and Hatchworks	25	(25)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKS	Dedworth Campus Resource Base	178	(178)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKT	Furze Platt Federation Resource Base	355	(355)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKU	Windsor Girls School Expansion 2022	791	(791)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSKV	Charters PD Works	200	(200)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLA	School Kitchen Oven Upgrades	36	(36)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLB	Alwyn Air Conditioning Upgrade	34	(34)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLC	Boyne Hill Water System and Electrical Upgrade	157	(157)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLD	South Ascot Village Primary SEN Unit	200	(200)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLE	Boiler Replacement Programme	700	(700)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLF	All Saints Water Pipework Replacement	100	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLG	Hilltop Boiler Replacement and Pipework Upgrade	218	(218)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLH	Larchfield Heating Pump and Emitter Upgrade	145	(145)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLI	Maidenhead Nursery Mains Water Connection	8	(8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLJ	Wraysbury Primary Resourced Provision	400	(400)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLK	Waltham St Lawrence Cold Water Tank	21	(21)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLL	Hilltop Subsidence Scheme	4	(4)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSXX	School Condition Allocation	0	0	0	770	(770)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CSLN	New Primary School Places	0	0	0	650	(650)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Schools - Non Devolved		5,459	(4,428)	1,031	1,420	(1,420)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schools - Devolved Capital													
CJ77	Budget Only NDS Devolved Capital	613	(613)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0
Total Schools - Devolved Capital		613	(613)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0
TOTAL CHILDREN'S SERVICES CAPITAL PROGRAMME		6,664	(5,159)	1,505	1,614	(1,614)	0	194	(194)	0	194	(194)	0

PLACE

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate			2023/24 First Estimate			2024/25 First Estimate		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Indicative Income	Estimate	Gross	Indicative Income	Estimate
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Neighbourhood Services													
CC25	M4 Smart Motorway	71	(50)	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC47	CCTV Replacement	126	0	126	50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC48	Chobham Road, Sunningdale Parking Road Safety Impr	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC49	Courthouse Rd/St Marks Rd Junction and Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC51	Datchet Barrel Arch Drainage Repairs	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC59	Highways Tree Surgery Works from Inspections	204	(112)	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC70	Street Cleansing Maidenhead Town Centre	14	(6)	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC73	Wessex Way Highway Drainage - Feasibility	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC84	Signal Crossing - Queen Victoria Statue, Windsor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC85	Major Footway Construction/Maintenance	305	(200)	105	250	(250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC86	VMS Support and Maintenance	42	(42)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC89	Elizabeth Bridge	887	0	887	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC90	Boulters Lock Car Park Extension	164	0	164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC92	Maintenance to Anti-Terrorist Rising Bollards	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC95	Cookham Bridge Refurbishment & Structural Repair	911	0	911	2,000	(2,000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD07	Road Marking-Safety Programme	0	0	0	50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD12	Roads Resurfacing-Transport Asset & Safety	2,019	(1,907)	112	1,910	(1,910)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD13	Bridge Assessments	311	(150)	161	220	(220)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD14	Bridge Parapet Improvement Works	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD35	Reducing Congestion & Improving Air Quality	44	(44)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD37	Car Park Improvements	117	(11)	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD43	Flood Prevention	151	(100)	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD45	Public Conveniences-Refurbishment 2015-16	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD54	River Thames Scheme Infrastructure Project	450	0	450	450	0	450	8,650	0	8,650	0	0	0
CD72	Preliminary Flood Risk-Assessments	18	(18)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD73	Replacement Highway Drain-Waltham Rd,White Waltham	25	(25)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD75	Bus Stop Accessibility	1	(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD76	Bus Stop Waiting Areas	9	(9)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD80	Grenfell Road-Off-Street Parking	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD82	Intelligent Traffic System-Maintenance & Renewal	34	(34)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD83	LED Traffic Upgrades	221	(125)	96	120	(120)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD84	Street Lighting-LED Upgrade	0	0	0	528	(528)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD85	Enforcement Services-Mobile Phone Replacement	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD86	Vicus Way & Tinkers Lane – Site Works	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD87	Pothole Action Fund-DfT Grant	875	(875)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD92	Telemetry System Replacement	45	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CF02	Emergency Active Travel Measures	56	(56)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CF05	Waste Vehicles	235	0	235	395	0	395	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI41	Fifield Lane - Major Carriageway Works	0	0	0	80	(80)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI50	Brill Close Flood Alleviation Scheme	0	0	0	416	(416)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI51	Windsor and Maidenhead Surface Water Flood Risk Engagem	0	0	0	100	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI52	Fifield, Holyport, Oakley Green and Bray Lake Catchment Stud	0	0	0	60	(60)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI53	Marlow Road - Vehicle Restraint System Replacement	0	0	0	627	(627)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI61	Street Lighting Belisha Pedestrian Crossing Refurbishment	0	0	0	152	(152)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI71	Street Lighting Structural Failure Replacement	0	0	0	42	(42)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI76	Drift Road - Major Carriageway Works	0	0	0	250	(250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI83	Ditch Clearance and Soakway Improvement Programme	100	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI84	Eton High Street Unsafe Electrical Boxes Removal	125	(125)	0	31	(31)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI85	Column Replacement Safety Improvements	150	(150)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI86	Bridge Strengthening Scheme	100	(100)	0	250	(250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI87	Street Lighting Structural Testing	191	(191)	0	72	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	0

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
CI88	Car Park Lighting	50	(50)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI89	Car Park Surfacing and Lining	100	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI90	Soakaway/Manhole Clearance Programme	100	(10)	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI91	Car Park Signage	30	(21)	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI92	Parking Reviews	75	(5)	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI93	Highway Drainage Schemes	200	(32)	168	400	0	400	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI94	Vicus Way Waste Transfer Station Site Works	70	(25)	45	70	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0
CY20	Community Warden Vehicles	49	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX63	Town Hall Cooling System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Neighbourhood Services		8,740	(4,674)	4,066	8,523	(7,036)	1,487	8,650	0	8,650	0	0	0
Local Enterprise Partnership Schemes													
CC62	Maidenhead Missino Links (LEP Match Funded)	2,294	(955)	1,339	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD42	Maidenhead Station Interchange & Car Park	1,770	(888)	882	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD90	Maidenhead LP Housing Site Enabling Works - LEP	5,185	(1,053)	4,132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CD91	Windsor Town Centre Package - LEP	2,278	(1,700)	578	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Enterprise Partnership Schemes		11,527	(4,596)	6,931	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Planning Service													
CI22	Tree Planting & Maintenance	258	0	258	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI32	Borough Local Plan-Examinations / Submissions	87	0	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI43	Ascot High Street Public Realm & Highway Imps	15	(15)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI47	Neighbourhood Plan-Consultation/Exams/Referendums	65	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI56	Design Quality – Planning Service	171	(145)	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI57	Joint Minerals and Waste Plan	96	0	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI59	Traveller Local Plan	193	0	193	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI64	Planning Policy-Evidence Base Updates Ongoing Prog	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI67	Wider Area Growth Study	152	(152)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI69	Supplementary Planning Documents-SPDs	40	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI82	Highways Works Programme-Tree replacement	200	0	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX66	Oak Processionary Moth Treatment	14	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI74	Energy Performance Certificates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Planning Service		1,305	(312)	993	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Communities													
CC47	CCTV Replacement	126	0	126	50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
CC6B	Hostile Vehicle Mitigation Measures-Windsor Ph 1B	25	(25)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI14	Maidenhead Waterways Construction phase 1	33	(32)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CI54	Maidenhead Waterways-Weir Project	70	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CR24	Windsor Squash Courts	284	(284)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CV41	Clewer Memorial Pavilion, Windsor-Modifications	34	(40)	(6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CV42	Braywick Park-New 3G Pitch to Compliment L.C.	19	(19)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CX64	Windsor Coach Park Lift Upgrade	35	(10)	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CY09	Superfast Broadband in Berkshire	20	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CZ18	Braywick Leisure Centre	46	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CZ42	Leisure Centres-Annual Programme & Equipment	293	0	293	400	(25)	375	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Communities		859	(410)	449	400	(25)	375	0	0	0	0	0	0

Project	Description of Scheme	2021/22 Revised Budget			First Estimate 2022/23			2023/24 First Estimate Indicative			2024/25 First Estimate Indicative		
		Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate	Gross	Income	Estimate
Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport													
CC27	Permanent Traffic Counter Sites	2	(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CC60	Hostile Vehicle Mitigation Measures for Windsor	482	(15)	467	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CC54	Electric Vehicle Charging Points-Pilot	120	(95)	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CC63	Major Incident Resource Kit	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD93	Ascot High Street-Upgrade	0	0	0	200	(200)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD01	LTP Feasibility Studies/Investigation/Develop	41	(42)	(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD10	Traffic Management	341	(294)	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD23	Local Safety Schemes	210	(195)	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD27	Cycling Capital Programme	15	(4)	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD97	Cycling Action Plan-Delivery	405	(405)	0	1,500	(1,500)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD98	A308 / Holyport Road Junction-Improvements	0	0	0	300	(300)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CD99	Traffic Monitoring-Replacement Counters	0	0	0	150	(150)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CI66	Infrastructure Delivery Prog-CIL & Grant Funding	176	(47)	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CLC5	Heritage Education Space Old Windsor 2016-17	20	(20)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CLF4	WRBM Audio Upgrade	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CY29	Christmas Lgts-Mhd High St & Queen St to Broadway	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CY33	Climate Strategy-Projects	165	(27)	138	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CY34	Major Scheme Business Case Development	265	(160)	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport		2,285	(1,306)	979	2,150	(2,150)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Green Spaces & Parks													
CC44	Allotments Windsor & Maidenhead	21	(1)	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CC87	Public Rights of way - General	40	0	40	40	(40)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CF08	Ray Mill Island Access Works	25	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV03	Parks Improvements	50	0	50	50	(50)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV30	Play Areas - Replacement Equipment	40	(40)	0	40	(40)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV39	Ockwells Park-Phase 3 Improvements	30	(9)	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV40	Battlemead Common- Phase 1 Infrastructure Enabling	27	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV45	Parks & Open Spaces- Access / Security Measure	75	0	75	75	(75)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV46	Nature Recovery Strategy (NRS)	0	0	0	31	(31)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CV47	Kidwells Park-Play Area	0	0	0	56	(56)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Green Spaces & Parks		308	(50)	258	292	(292)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL PLACE CAPITAL PROGRAMME		25,024	(11,348)	13,676	11,365	(9,503)	1,862	8,650	0	8,650	0	0	

Previously approved Major Schemes 2021/22 onwards

No.	Scheme Name	Date Council Approved	2021/22 Net Cost £000k	2022/23 Net Cost £000k	2023/24 Net Cost £000k	2024/25 Net Cost £000k	Total Net Cost
1	RBWM Affordable Housing - St Edmunds	July 2018	140	1,793	1,200	-	3,133
2	RBWM Affordable Housing - School House	July 2018	52	843	9		904
3	Broadway Car Park, Maidenhead	August 2018	3,541	13,756	10,231	-	27,528
4	Vicus Way Car Park, Maidenhead	June 2018	7,766	2,824	-	-	10,590
5	Family Centre Relocation	December 2020	264	7			271
6	Maidenhead Development	February 2016	15,950	-	-	-	15,950
7	Land at Ray Mill Rd East		-	4,234	735		4,969
8	River Thames Scheme	April 2015	450	450	8,650	-	9,550
	Total		28,163	23,907	20,825	-	72,895

2022/23 FULLY FUNDED ESSENTIAL CAPITAL BIDS										
No.	Directorate	Service Area	Title	Description	Capital Value £'000	£106 £'000	CIL £'000	Grant£'000	Net £'000	Cumulative Borrowing £'000
1	Adults, Health & Commissioning	Housing	Disabled Facilities Adaptations	Adaptation work can reduce care needs and enable service users to remain in their own homes for longer, delaying the requirement for more expensive alternatives.	600			600	-	-
2	Place	ISEG	Ascot High Street	A scheme to significantly upgrade Ascot High Street has been developed to business case level and ranked joint 2nd during a Local Enterprise Partnership triage of bid submissions. This funding would be to drive this business case into a high level design phase with an indicative programme, consultation and more detailed project costs completed.	200			200	-	-
3	Place	ISEG	A308 / Holyport Road Junction	To improve the capacity of the A308/Holyport Road junction to support the forecast growth from development proposed in the Borough Local Plan.	300		300		-	-
4	Place	ISEG	Traffic monitoring	RBWM inherited a set of 58 permanent traffic counters from the Berkshire Authority in 1999. Despite best efforts nearly all of these have failed and no longer provide the valuable data they should. This project is to implement the results of a full review as to how many and where replacement counters should be located and ensure the right long term, cost effective devices are purchased. The benefit of this data is to have greater clarity when judging future transport strategy and schemes.	150			150	-	-
5	Place	ISEG	Cycling Action Plan and LCWIP Delivery	In 2019, RBWM adopted a cycling action plan which set out plans to increase cycling across the Borough. This set out an ambition to invest £1,500,000 a year to improve cycling infrastructure and deliver this over a ten year period. This funding will support delivery of schemes within the plan over the next financial year. Accelerated delivery of these plans is set out in the Environment and Climate Strategy, which was adopted in December 2020. The council is in the process of developing this strategy into a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, to bring it up to date with current Government Guidance, which will increase the chances of success in future bids for Government funding.	1,500	500	250	750	-	-
6	Place	Neighbourhood services	Footway Maintenance & Construction	Footways form a vital link for pedestrian access around the borough and it is essential that they are maintained in a safe condition. There are number of footways beyond their design life and require refurbishment to maintain the highway asset in an acceptable condition, and protect residents from potential trips, which will reduce insurance risks. The works will also make provision for providing disabled crossing points where appropriate, and will help to enhance the visual appearance of the environment benefitting local residents, pedestrian, and people with disabilities.	250			250	-	-
7	Place	Neighbourhood services	Highway Resurfacing Programme - This bid is a contractual commitment	The highway network is assessed annually through condition surveys to establish a priority list of roads that require resurfacing treatment. These surveys are a key Government requirement that link directly to Performance Indicators and contribute to the delivery of Local Transport Plan targets/objectives. The resurfacing of roads is essential to improve road safety, through surface skid resistance treatment and prevent further deterioration therefore preserving the structural and serviceability of the highway asset. Investment reduces deterioration delaying higher renewal costs and reduces insurance risks.	1,910			1,910	-	-
8	Place	Neighbourhood services	Bridge Assessments/ Inspections and Scour Assessment	The Royal Borough has a statutory duty to undertake specific cyclic inspections of bridges and highway structures to ensure basic safety responsibilities are being delivered. These inspections include assessing roads that are frequently taking abnormal loads, column impact assessments, as well as carrying out further detailed inspections that have been highlighted from the principal and general inspection that are highlighting a potential safety issue. These assessments allow the council to plan a works programme for essential capital works (e.g. safety repairs to the structure, parapet walls, weight and height limit signing, pedestrian facilities). Also the inspections may identify a structure in need of more extensive strengthening works.	220			220	-	-
9	Place	Neighbourhood services	Bridge Strengthen Schemes	The Royal Borough has a statutory duty to undertake specific cyclic inspections of bridges and highway structures to ensure basic safety responsibilities are being delivered. These inspections may highlight essential minor capital works (e.g. safety repairs to the structure, parapet walls, weight and height limit signing, pedestrian facilities). Following these inspections it has identified certain structures are currently structurally weak and if work is not carried out to them they will require a weight restriction enforced on them. All the structures concerned are on the boroughs main network and would have a detrimental impact if repairs are not carried out. The objective of the project is to introduce measures to mitigate and minimise any potential current safety risk and reduce insurance risks.	250			250	-	-
10	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Brill Close Flood Alleviation Scheme	Brill Close FAS is a project looking to protect approximately 48 homes from surface water flooding. Currently the project is at the option stage with the most favourable option is to create a detention basin or swale in a large agricultural field west of Cox Green Road. This will be alongside a large detention basin located within Desborough Park. Subject to stakeholder engagement, landowner agreement and planning consent.	416			416	-	-
11	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Windsor and Maidenhead Surface Water Flood Risk Engagement	The aims of the project are to utilise innovative means of engaging with areas of Windsor and Maidenhead where residents/businesses may not be aware that they are at significant surface water flood risk. Through use of social media and hyper local networks the project will raise awareness of flood risk and what mitigation options individual residents/businesses can employ to reduce the consequence of flooding. The engagement activities will also help identify which properties have historically suffered from surface water flooding. This will aid in RBWM developing flood risk mitigation options and business cases to address the risk.	100			100	-	-
12	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Fifield, Holyport, Oakley Green and Bray Lake Catchment Study	This bid is for a catchment study, which will inform the project to install measures in the upstream areas of the hydraulic catchments of Fifield, Holyport and Oakley Green to attenuate flow rates using Natural Flood Management solutions such as leaky dams. Areas to the south of the B3024 and Drift Road are proposed to be used as these storage areas. The NFM measures will not only reduce flood risk, but will create habitat and improve the water quality downstream.	60			60	-	-
13	Resources	Revs and Bens	Pop Up Libraries	To equip and furnish pop up libraries to operate from the Sunningdale Parish Council Community Room and from locations yet to be identified in Holyport and Furze Platt to serve the residents of Sunningdale, Holyport and Furze Platt when the Container Library stops visiting as recommended by the re-shaping of the Library Service in line with the Library Transformation Strategy. Sunningdale: £14,000 Holyport: £17,000 Furze Platt: £17,000 Total: £48,000 The slight increase at Holyport and Furze Platt is to cover the requirement for a storage facility and hydraulic jack. No revenue is required as staffing costs will be covered by partners.	48	48			-	-
15	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	School condition allocation (maintenance)	Grant funded programme of planned maintenance and improvements for buildings at community and voluntary controlled schools in the borough.	770			770	-	-
16	Managing Director	Property Services	MEES Compliance	The Asset Review and Action Plan approved by Cabinet in June 2020 highlighted the requirement for properties lease could only be completed if there was a valid Energy Performance Certificate that had been lodged. The majority of the Council's commercial portfolio did not have an EPC and surveys were undertaken subsequently. MEES (minimum Energy Efficiency Standards) were introduced by the Energy Efficiency Regulations 2015: Landlords responsibility to obtain Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) on every unit - from April 2018 each lettable unit has to achieve a rating higher than 'E' to be let. Failure to comply can lead to a fine of between £5,000 to £50,000 for letting non compliant property. From April 2023 the requirements stiffen and no building can be leased with an EPC below an E result in commercial income halting. The surveys identified a number of leased income producing assets that would cease to be income producing without improvements.	100			100	-	-
17	Adults, Health & Commissioning	Adults Social Care	Homestead, Winston and Hub	To bring Homestead and Winston Care Homes for people with a learning disability up to a decent living standard and meet the needs of the residents of the Windsor and Maidenhead area. Details of works required are appended (Appendix 1). The facilities to be improved include Winston House - (Bathrooms, bedrooms, kitchens laundry facilities), Homestead - (bathrooms, bedrooms, kitchens and laundry facilities, The Wellbeing Hub - changing beds, toileting facilities, kitchens.	185		185		-	-
18	Managing Director	Property Services	Gulldhall repairs	The Gulldhall is a Grade 1 listed building. A recent condition survey identified a number of essential repairs to the structure and fabric of the building. This includes a replacement heating system as the current system is at the end of its economic life, it is inefficient and unreliable with regular failures which has a negative impact on the building fabric and its visitors. The survey highlighted deterioration and staining to the stonework along with the need to repair and redecorate the external surfaces including the need to install pigeon preventative measures. The Gents toilet facility is in need of modernisation and the ladies and disabled would need some minor works carried out. The floor covering to the Council Chamber, Ascot Room, Mayors Parlour and Vestibule are also threadbare in places and pose health and safety concerns and therefore need to be replaced.	545		545		-	-
19	Place	ISEG	Parks Improvements (CV03)	Essential works to ensure that the Councils 64 parks and open spaces are in a fit and safe condition for public use	50	50			-	-
20	Place	ISEG	Play Areas-replacement equipment (CV30)	Essential works to ensure that the Councils 43 equipped childrens play areas are in a fit and safe condition for public use	40	40			-	-
21	Place	ISEG	Parks and Open Spaces- Security measures (CV45)	Site boundary and access works required to ensure the protection of the Council's parks and open spaces from unauthorised incursions	75	75			-	-
22	Place	ISEG	Public Rights of Way-general (CC87)	Essential works to ensure that the Council's 310km of public footpaths, bridleways and byways are in a safe and fit condition for public use.	40	40			-	-

2022/23 FULLY FUNDED ESSENTIAL CAPITAL BIDS

No.	Directorate	Service Area	Title	Description	Capital Value £'000	£106 £'000	CIL £'000	Grant £'000	Net £'000	Cumulative Borrowing £'000
23	Place	ISEG	Nature Recovery Strategy (NRS)	The Nature Recovery Strategy (NRS) which includes a Nature Recovery Network (NRN) is a major commitment in the UK Government's 25-Year Environment Plan, intended to improve, expand and connect habitats to address wildlife's decline and provide wider environmental benefits for people.	31	31			-	-
24	Place	ISEG	Kidwells Park Play Area	Full replacement of worn out safety surface at childrens play area in Kidwells Park. The current safety surface was installed approximately 15 years ago, and is becoming unfit for purpose. Localised repairs have been carried out in recent years but the surface requires complete replacement in order ensure the safety of users. Full replacement of the surface will avoid the need for localised repairs, saving approximately £2000 per year maintenance costs.	56		56		-	-
25	Resources	Revs and Bens	Maidenhead High Level Maintenance and Repointing	Maidenhead Library is an iconic Grade II Listed Building on land covered by Covenants with the Carnegie and Nicholson Trusts. It receives visits from all over the world, including from international Architecture students, due to its architectural significance and beauty. The high level space frame and pointing is long overdue for maintenance work. The previous Administration took the decision to delay this work until after the surrounding regeneration and development works had been completed as these works would inevitably lead to dirt and dust and slight movements in the building. The adjoining works have now been completed and comments have been made on social media about the poor state of the painting and pointing. This bid is therefore to request the funding to go ahead with the improvement of the existing asset (painting and touch-up) of the high level space frame and re-pointing of the external brickwork. The internal work and work on the low level space frame has already been completed (in 2011). The costs have come down significantly as an alternative access approach has been designed using ropes which will reduce the need for costly scaffolding.	98	98			-	-
26	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Fifield Lane - Major carriageway works	A combination of installation of concrete channels, carriageway edge haunching, resurfacing and cutting of new drainage grips to significantly improve the condition of Fifield Lane. To the benefit of all highway users, mitigating against personal injury collision risk, reduced risk of claims arising from collisions and protecting and enhancing the highway as an asset.	80		80		-	-
27	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Marlow Road - Vehicle Restraint System replacement	There are three sections of vehicle restraint system (VRS) on the A308 Marlow Road within the first 500m of the road to the south of the A404 junction. One section (77m) is recommended for assessment only as part of this bid to identify the condition and inform a decision on a potential future request for funding. One section (74m in length) on approach to the bend immediately south of the A404 junction is recommended for replacement, and a third section is recommended for replacement (79.5m) as well as extension (an additional 44m). The VRS is provided to reduce the potential severity of injury collisions in the event of vehicles exiting the carriageway on bends where there is considered to be a heightened risk due to the horizontal alignment of the road and steep embankments. A formal assessment of the VRS, risk assessing the condition, provision and need for a VRS system was carried out in June 2021. The assessment identified that the VRS system is damaged in places, is non-compliant with current standards and is recommended for replacement to ensure that the system performs as required in the event of a vehicle losing control and colliding with the VRS, how at present is noted as medium risk against the DfT standards, and suggested work as highlighted in the assessment needs to be carried out.	627		627		-	-
28	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Traffic signal LED updates	Replacement of Traffic Signal equipment which uses halogen lamps which are not longer manufactured. Across our existing portfolio this equals to 693 lamps. This bid is for the switch out of these old units to the new units. This bid also includes the lorry watch sim cards and maintenance for the HVV monitoring camera in Sunningdale. A camera was installed a few years to monitor and prosecute those vehicles breaking the weight/tonne limit following a Cllr request. The prosecutions are carried out through the licensing team, but the maintenance of the camera sits with the highways budgets. This camera is now not maintained, nor does it have the new sim cards for transmission of data which are needed every year.	120		120		-	-
29	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Drift Road - Major carriageway works	A combination of installation of concrete channels, carriageway edge haunching, sections of full depth reconstruction, resurfacing and cutting of new drainage grips to improve the condition of Drift Road. To the benefit of all highway users, mitigating against personal injury collision risk, reduced risk of claims arising from collisions and protecting and enhancing the highway as an asset. £1m covers the worst areas only, and requires a continued commitment to improve this road for all users.	250		250		-	-
30	Place	Neighbourhood services	Eton High Street Electrical Scheme	Second Phase of Eton Electrical Supply and Lighting Improvement scheme. DNO disconnections and removal of lighting units including lighting, cabling and power supply boxes.	31		31		-	-
31	Place	Neighbourhood services	Streetlighting upgrade LED review/swap out and Street Lighting Column Replacements/Safety Improvements - This is a contractual commitment	To upgrade the remaining non-LED assets to LED allowing for a reduction in energy consumption and maintenance costs for outdated street lighting assets including lanterns, subway and illuminated signs. The column replacements for 294 damaged assets including existing stumped columns, remaining concrete columns and misaligned columns for safety and compliance that cannot be actioned via the current street lighting maintenance budget due to the quantity. This is linked to the streetlighting upgrade review paper produced July 2021. The total funding needed to complete this project is £2,637,738.10 and is to be phased over 5 years - £527,547.62	528		528		-	-
32	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Street Lighting Belisha Pedestrian Crossing Refurbishment	To supply and install 178 new LED Globes and 50 LED lanterns to light existing crossings that are over 10 years and at present risk of failure due to their. The lifespan for the average belisha crossing pole is 8 years. The refurbishment will help prevent health and safety risks such as injury or worse for the pedestrian and road user. This will also prevent any potential legal action against RBWM for accidents caused due to the falling of the belisha beacons.	152		152		-	-
33	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Street Lighting Structural Failure Replacement	The replacement of 1727 structurally failed street lighting column assets for pedestrian and road safety. The overall cost of the project is £168, 728.00. Due to the cost implication this can be completed in phases over a 4 year period, meaning a contribution from the council of £42,182.00 per yr. for the next 4 yrs.	42		42		-	-
34	Place	Neighbourhood services	Cookham Bridge Refurbishment & Structural Repair	A general inspection has been carried out in May 2017 and has highlighted that the paint system in place is no longer protecting the steel structure. This highlights a significant risk in terms of the structure capacity of the bridge. In addition to this the waterproofing and expansion joint on the deck requires replacement, the parapet needs repair along with this handrail. The bridge was last refurbished in 2000, where it received a new paint system and complete refurbishment. These works are required in order to keep the bridge to a safe standard operational to delivery vehicles and buses. Continuous assessments are being undertaken to monitor this structure. This is the second part to the previous funding which was allocated which enabled surveys, inspections and scheme designs to be carried out.	2,000		2,000		-	-
35	Resources	Revs and Bens	Upgrade of Self Serve Kiosks	Replacement of seven Self-serve kiosks at Datchet, Sunninghill, Old Windsor, Cox Green, Maidenhead and Windsor libraries. The Library Transformation Strategy requires that the Library Service takes advantage of digital technology to enable service improvements, greater reach, cost-effectiveness and greater accessibility.	44	44			-	-
36	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	Schools Devolved Formula Capital	Devolved Formula Capital grant allocated to individual schools	194			194	-	-
37	Childrens Services	Childrens Services	New school expansions	Funding for new primary school expansions in Maidenhead, if demand for school places is higher than currently anticipated.	650		650		-	-

Major Capital Cashflows - Proposed & Agreed

Based on a Short term interest rate of 0.09%

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Total
	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	2035/36	2036/37	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Capital Receipts	0.09%	0.50%	0.80%	1.30%	1.50%	2.00%											
1 CIL - Projections	6,536	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	44,936
2 Use of s106	2,490	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	8,490
3 Use of capital receipts carried forward	1,347																1,347
4 Use of Capital Fund	400																400
5 Capital Receipt - Ray Mill Road East	-	8,050	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,050
6 Development partnership receipts	5,980	19,663	12,013	10,963	50,250	23,394	25,235	32,161	24,168	20,312	21,455	20,693	19,562	24,381	19,169	10,136	339,534
7 Land north of Ransworth, Oakley Green Road, Windsor				500													500
Total Capital Receipts	16,753	31,413	15,713	15,163	53,950	27,094	28,935	35,861	27,868	24,012	25,155	24,393	23,262	24,381	19,169	10,136	403,257
Capital Expenditure																	
8 Broadway Car Park expansion	3,541	13,756	10,231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,528
9 Nicholsons shopping centre	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132
10 Braywick Leisure Centre	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
11 Maidenhead Golf Club - Lease Surrender Purchase	15,950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,950
12 LEP Front of Maidenhead Station	1,770	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,770
13 Annual Capital Programme	2,560	6,610	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	74,170
14 Affordable housing development St Edmunds	140	1,793	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,134
15 Affordable Key Worker Housing School House	52	843	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	904
16 Maidenhead Golf Course Framework Fee	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	6,000
17 St Cloud Way - Framework Fee	213	200	200	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,013
18 York Road - RVS/MCC	365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	365
19 106 Westborough Road	21	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
20 Land at Ray Mill Road East (CALA)	-	4,234	735	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,969
21 Family Centre relocation	264	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	271
22 Vicus Way Car Park	7,766	2,824	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,590
23 River Thames Scheme	450	450	8,650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,550
24 Investment need - Education primary and secondary	-	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	-	-	50,000
25 LEP Maidenhead Local Plan Housing Site Enabling Works	5,185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,185
26 LEP Windsor Town Centre Package	1,428	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,428
27 St Peters Middle	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	684
28 Regen Improvement Projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29 Legal & Consultancy fees	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500
30 York Rd Ph 2 - Access rights	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
31 Modern Workplace Project	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90
32 Braywick Pedestrian crossing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33 LEP Missing links	1,966	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,966
34 Hostile vehicle mitigation measures for Windsor	481	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	481
35 Capitalised debt charges	231	248	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	892
36 Capital Programme slippage in	11,169	11,121	8,518	7,091	2,558	2,652	2,630	2,626	2,625	2,625	2,625	2,625	2,625	2,525	2,505	-	66,520
37 Capital Programme slippage out	(11,121)	(8,518)	(7,091)	(2,558)	(2,652)	(2,630)	(2,626)	(2,625)	(2,625)	(2,625)	(2,625)	(2,625)	(2,525)	(2,505)	(1,501)	-	56,852
Total Capital Expenditure	44,483	34,070	28,365	10,233	10,607	10,521	10,504	10,501	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,100	10,020	6,004	0	227,408

Borrowing															
L.T. debt at the start of the year	57,049	81,264	81,264	81,264	81,264	81,264	81,264	81,264	81,264	71,264	56,264	56,264	56,264	51,264	51,264
Increases/reductions in debt	24,215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(10,000)	(15,000)	0	0	(5,000)	0	0
Total debt at year end	81,264	71,264	56,264	56,264	56,264	51,264	51,264	51,264							
Average level of L.T. debt	64,145	71,265	71,265	63,771	56,265	56,265	56,265	56,265	48,902	39,539	31,265	31,265	28,703	26,265	26,265
Net ST debt at start of year	134,732	131,000	133,657	146,309	141,381	98,038	81,465	63,035	37,675	30,307	31,795	17,140	3,247	(4,916)	(19,276)
Increases/Reductions in Debt	(3,732)	2,657	12,652	(4,930)	(43,343)	(16,573)	(18,430)	(25,360)	(7,368)	1,488	(14,655)	(13,893)	(8,162)	(14,361)	(13,165)
Total S.T debt at year end	131,000	133,657	146,309	141,381	98,038	81,465	63,035	37,675	30,307	31,795	17,140	3,247	(4,916)	(19,276)	(32,441)
Average Level of S.T. debt	109,981	132,329	139,983	143,844	119,710	89,752	72,250	50,355	33,991	31,051	24,467	10,193	(835)	(12,096)	(25,859)
Total Debt	212,264	214,921	227,573	222,645	179,302	162,729	144,299	118,939	101,571	88,059	73,404	59,511	46,348	31,988	18,823
Capitalised debt interest on specific projects	(231)	(248)	(413)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interest on L.Term Debt	2,769	2,807	2,807	2,738	2,669	2,669	2,669	2,669	2,293	1,847	1,472	1,472	1,349	1,232	1,232
Revenue cost of S.T. debt interest	99	662	1,120	1,870	1,796	1,795	1,445	1,007	680	621	489	204	(17)	(242)	(517)
Broker Fees	95	146	153	148	120	90	72	50	34	31	24	10			
Interest charge per MTFP	2,732	3,367	3,667	4,756	4,585	4,554	4,186	3,726	3,007	2,499	1,985	1,686	1,332	990	715
MRP	2,920	2,892	3,133	3,614	3,750	3,543	3,191	2,751	2,503	2,236	1,837	1,638	1,449	1,234	1,271
Total cost of Capital Finance	5,652	6,259	6,800	8,370	8,336	8,098	7,378	6,478	5,510	4,734	3,822	3,324	2,781	2,224	1,986

Report Title:	Transformation of Day Opportunities
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Carroll, Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health
Meeting and Date:	25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Hilary Hall – Executive Director Adults, Health and Housing Lynne Lidster – Head of Commissioning - People
Wards affected:	All

www.rbwm.gov.uk



REPORT SUMMARY

This report seeks Cabinet approval to implement the recommended changes to the day opportunities model for older people and people with a learning disability to ensure that services meet the needs and aspirations of residents.

The original proposal recommended the closure of Windsor Day Centre (for older people) and Oakbridge Day Centre (for people with a learning disability) and retaining Boyn Grove Centre (for both older people and people with a learning disability) to enable a building base for people who need one. This would be complemented by a service out in the community for those people who do not want or need to be building based.

Following an extensive consultation with the community and a needs analysis, the final recommendations are to close Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre, retaining Boyn Grove for older people and people with a learning disability. For people with a learning disability who need a building-based service in the Windsor area, the recommendation is to create a smaller building-based day centre offer for people with a learning disability in Windsor at the Mencap building. There are already building-based day centres with sufficient vacancies for older people in the Windsor area, Spencer Denney Day Centre operated by Age Concern Windsor and The Old Windsor Day Centre run by Age Concern Slough and Berkshire East. Both day centres receive grant funding from the Council.

Should the recommendations be approved it would ensure that there are building-based day opportunities across the borough and also provide community-based services for people who either do not want or need to be in a day centre.

Implementation of the final recommendation will deliver savings of £300,000 for the Council. If approved, implementation will commence from December 2021 with the intention to be fully operational by August 2022.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) **Notes the outcome of the consultation and the needs analysis**
- ii) **Approves the final recommendation to close Windsor and Oakbridge Day Centres, retain Boyn Grove and to create a smaller Day Centre in Windsor for people with a learning disability**

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
<p>Close Oakbridge Day Centre and Windsor Day Centre. Alongside this, create a flexible community-based day opportunities provision to include activities in the community and a small building-based resource in Windsor for people with a learning disability who need a building-based service. Older people with the need for a building-based service will be referred to the two other day centres in Windsor/Old Windsor.</p> <p>This is the recommended option</p>	<p>This would allow the Council to meet people's needs under the Care Act 2014 and Valuing People 2001. It would ensure that there are building-based day opportunities across the borough and also provide community-based services for people who either do not want or need to be in a day centre. It would deliver savings for the Council of £300,000 per annum.</p>
<p>Close Oakbridge Day Centre and Windsor Day Centre. Alongside this, create a flexible community-based day opportunities provision. The building-based offer for people with a learning disability would be offered at Boyn Grove in Maidenhead.</p> <p>This option is not recommended</p>	<p>This option would also allow the Council to meet people's needs under the Care Act 2014 and Valuing People 2001. However, the only building-based provision for people with a learning disability would be at Boyn Grove in Maidenhead. The consultation and the needs analysis conclude there is a need for a small building-based service for people with a learning disability in Windsor to replace Oakbridge Day Centre. This option would deliver savings for the Council of £300,000 per annum.</p>
<p>Retain the current model for day opportunities – do not close Oakbridge and Windsor Day Centres and do not create a flexible community-based offer to complement the day centre provision.</p> <p>This option is not recommended</p>	<p>This option would not enable the Council to meet people's needs under the Care Act 2014 and Valuing People 2001. The council must be in a position to allow people to have choice and control over the services that are</p>

Option	Comments
	provided to people who need them and their family carers. By retaining both Oakbridge and Windsor Day Centres, the council would not have sufficient resources to establish a community-based provision. There would be no savings for the Council.

Current service offer for day opportunities in the borough

- 2.1 The Royal Borough's current day opportunities offer, run through Optalis, is largely buildings based at:
- Boyn Grove Centre in Maidenhead for older people and people with a learning disability
 - Oakbridge Day Centre in Windsor for people with a learning disability
 - Windsor Day Centre in Windsor for older people
- 2.2 The day centres are open between 8.30am and 4.15pm Monday to Friday. They offer a wide range of activities to older people (including people with dementia) and people with a learning disability who are assessed under the Care Act 2014 as needing day services, most of which are delivered in the day centre. The current day centre provision is well valued by the people who go and by the family carers that support them.
- 2.3 At the beginning of the pandemic all day centres were closed due to government guidance; the pandemic created the opportunity to support people in different ways by increasing the use of community resources, local groups and day centre staff including the Dementia Service Advisors to support people in the community and in their own homes. The two day centres based in Boyn Grove and the Oakbridge Day Centre are now fully open and operational; Windsor Day Centre remains closed due to lack of demand and people who would have been supported there are now either supported in the community or have transferred to Boyn Grove.
- 2.4 In November/December 2020, engagement took place with all people who used day centres prior to the pandemic with the aim of understanding what people wanted and needed from a day opportunity provision going forward including activities and services.

The case for change

- 2.5 The Care Act 2014 requires councils to promote diversity and quality in provision of services to meet the support needs of their local population. Day centre provision of various kinds has long been an important part of a wider day opportunities provision and much of it across all providers is good quality. However, values, standards and expectations have been changing and the relatively inflexible and prescriptive nature of day centre support means that if day centre provision is the only offer then the service is not flexible or inclusive and does not provide for people to have choice and control in how they are supported.

- 2.6 “Valuing People” was first launched by the Government in 2001 as a “New Strategy for Learning Disability for the 21st Century”. It was followed 8 years later by “Valuing People Now” to refresh the strategy and to document progress made by local authorities. Valuing People is essentially about ensuring that people with a learning disability lead fulfilling lives with choice and control with maximum independence. It is recognised that many people with a learning disability do not take part in community activities or participate in wider social networks with non-disabled people. Few have friends apart from those paid to be with them, their close family, or other people with learning disabilities with whom they live. For people with a learning disability to be part of the local community benefits everyone. For decades, services for people with learning disabilities have been heavily reliant on large, often institutional, day centres. These have provided much needed respite for families, but they have made a limited contribution to promoting social inclusion or independence for people with learning disabilities. The transformation of day opportunities in the borough is key to ensuring that all people have choice and control regardless of their level of need. In 2001, “Valuing People” asked councils to review their approach to day centre provision to allow people to choose between going to a building-based day centre during traditional opening hours and to have support to access other activities in the community e.g. going to the cinema in the evening, going to the leisure centre, undertaking voluntary work etc. This has not yet been achieved for the residents of the borough.
- 2.7 The Royal Borough’s Adult Social Care Strategic Plan (2021-2024) sets out the vision for adult social care, “to enable people in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead to live independent and fulfilled lives”. The key principles underpinning the vision are:
- Prevention – embedding prevention to avoid crisis and loss of independence
 - Community – Investing in communities and their assets and connecting individuals to them
 - Choice – shaping solutions around outcomes that matter to individual people
 - Values – treating everyone with compassion, respect and dignity

One of the key actions in the Strategic Plan is to review the borough’s day opportunities provision to ensure that the service going forward adheres to the principles set out above and to ensure that it meets people’s needs.

The service proposal, consultation and needs analysis

- 2.8 To inform the transformation of day opportunities, a full twelve-week public consultation exercise was undertaken. The specific proposal that was consulted on was to close Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre and develop alternative, bespoke provision for people who are assessed as needing day opportunities but either do not want or need a building-based provision. The full analysis of the responses to the consultation and methods of engagement used can be found at Appendix A to this report.
- 2.9 The consultation was promoted on the RBWM website throughout the consultation period and was made prominent on the homepage marketing panel between 16 July and 27 August 2021. The consultation was promoted via a press release, the RBWM Residents’ Newsletter (e-newsletter) and on social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook. A letter in hardcopy was sent

to all day services customers and the carers of people living with dementia. Letters sent to all customers with a learning disability or additional complex needs also included an Easy Read version to aid understanding. A letter, including an Easy Read version, offering support from The Advocacy People was distributed to customers with a learning disability or additional complex needs.

- 2.10 Optalis and Achieving for Children were asked to promote the consultation to customers, carers and staff via their newsletters and social media channels. Optalis day service staff were asked to raise awareness of the consultation in person with customers to help them understand the proposals and what any changes would mean for them. Manor Green School was asked to promote the consultation to parents and students via their newsletters and social media channels. Partners and stakeholders were invited to participate in online briefings that would enable them to understand the proposals and the reasons for them, to respond to the consultation and to help other people to respond. They were asked to raise awareness of the consultation using the communication methods they had available.
- 2.11 Particular effort was made to communicate the proposals in a clear and easy way to understand. This included a video that was posted in the Engagement HQ platform and shown at the Member Briefing, partner briefings and the Learning Disability Partnership Board. Asian carers, whose first language is not English, were contacted by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker to explain the proposals and assisted to complete the survey. The Advocacy People held independent meetings with people with a learning disability and family carers on request.
- 2.12 Alongside the survey, the borough produced booklets to explain the proposals to residents before they completed the consultation. An example (for older people) can be found at Appendix B to this report. The documents explain that the Council's proposals were to:
- Work with residents and their family carers to support them in a more flexible and person-centred way that enables their independence and choices in the community
 - Support and enable people to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and needs
 - Close Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre and support people with additional complex needs in other buildings if they need this type of support
 - Make support services available at the time that people need them (for example in the evenings and weekends)
 - Continue to use Boyn Grove in Maidenhead as a community hub, which includes the day centres for older people and people with a learning disability.
- 2.13 102 survey responses were received: 57 people (66%) told us they were either a person who used current day services or their family carers, the remaining 45 people were either members of the public who did not currently use services or from groups representing the community.
- 2.14 We asked people whether they supported the proposals to work with people and family carers in a more flexible and personalised way that enables their

independence and choices in the community – Most people (70%) said that they either fully or partially supported this proposal, 24% said they did not.

- 2.15 People were asked whether they supported the proposals to enable the council to support and enable people to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and levels of need. Most people (80%) supported this proposal either fully or partially.
- 2.16 We asked people whether they supported the proposal to close Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre. 64% of people said that they did not support this proposal whilst 25% said that they either partially or fully supported this proposal.
- 2.17 Alongside the consultation, a needs analysis was undertaken of people who used the current day services provision, the analysis can be found at Appendix C to this report. As of October 2021, there are 38 people with a learning disability attending Oakbridge Day Centre with a combination of part time and full-time usage. Of the 38 people, 20 have been assessed as needing a building-based service. The breakdown of attendance of those people is as follows (a session means either a morning or afternoon):
- 2 people require 4 sessions per week
 - 4 people require 6 sessions per week
 - 6 people require 8 sessions per week
 - 8 people require 10 sessions per week

Of the 20 people above there is a range of support requirements: 6 require 1:1 support, 5 require 3:1 support (3 people to 1 staff member), 7 people require 5:1 support and the remaining 2 require 10:1 support.

- 2.18 It is clear from the needs analysis that there are a significant number of people with a learning disability currently attending Oakbridge Day Centre who have a need to attend a building-based service. Although those people could be accommodated at Boyn Grove, consideration needs to be given to the people who would be travelling from the Windsor area to Maidenhead. In order to accommodate the 20 people in a building base and to free up resources to allow people who do not need a building base to access other opportunities in the community, it is recommended that Oakbridge Day Centre closes and the Council signs a lease with Mencap for the daily use (Monday to Friday) of the Mencap building in Windsor. The building was purpose-built as the Mencap Club-House three years ago and is currently unoccupied during the daytime. The lease would cost £30,000 per annum, £14,000 of which has been identified from existing Adult Social Care budgets and £16,000 from the day services budget. The occupation of the Mencap building would also depend on works to the existing disabled use toilets and adaptations to the kitchen; a capital bid for these works is due to be considered by Council in February 2022.
- 2.19 There were 23 older people attending Windsor Day Centre prior to the pandemic – all on a part time basis. 7 people attended 1 day per week, 14 attended 2 days per week and 4 people attended 3 days a week. Assuming full attendance, this means that on average there were 4 or 5 people attending the centre on any one day. Full capacity at the centre would be 15 which means the centre was operating at a third of the capacity. Although the centre is of high quality, the lack of attendance means that it was not providing good value for money.

- 2.20 Of the 23 people who did attend Windsor Day Centre, 7 are now live in a care home or supported living, 5 have full time support at home, 5 people have sadly passed away with the remaining 6 attending either Boyn Grove or Spencer Denny Day Centre in Windsor.
- 2.21 Age Concern Slough and Berkshire East currently operates a day centre in Old Windsor for older people. Since re-opening the centre in Summer 2021, the day centre has been refurbished and the organisation has employed a Head of Elderly Care. This appointment will enable people who have personal care needs to attend the day centre alongside people who are more independent. The day centre is open 3 days per week with the intention to move to 5 days per week when there is sufficient demand. The organisation confirmed that they have 35 spaces available per day in addition to the people who are currently attending. The borough has been in contact with the organisation to ensure that there is capacity available for people who have personal care needs. It has been agreed that people referred by Optalis social work teams to the day centre would be charged between £25.00 and £52.00 per day dependent on their needs. This would either be paid by the person's personal budget or the person themselves dependent on their financial assessment.
- 2.22 The recommendation is that Windsor Day Centre is formally closed and that older people who have a need for a building-based service are directed to Boyn Grove, Spencer Denny Day Centre or Old Windsor Day Centre. This will allow the day opportunities offer to be extended to support people in the community and their own homes alongside a building-based service for people who need one.
- 2.23 The proposed new service provided by Optalis (Community Lives), in addition to the building-based services at Boyn Grove and the Mencap building would include:
- The Dementia Advisor Service that supports people and family carers which is available during weekdays. The service provides home visits and supports people to link with all the professionals, groups and service that are needed. It provides advice such as benefits and information on what support is available to people. Recently the service provides reminiscence activities, home visit for individual activities and therapy sessions. There are drop in sessions in libraries and Cognitive Stimulation sessions are planned from April 2022. Should the recommendation in this report be approved, further community support and sessions will be arranged.
 - The Ethnic Minority Development Worker is a first point of contact for support for people who have particular cultural and religious needs. There is a weekly Asian carers' drop in service which offers respite for family carers. The service offers emotional support and advice for carers when their family member is approaching adulthood. There will be further drop -in sessions arranged from April 2022.
 - Community Lives – Using an asset-based approach and working with people on a “what's strong – not what's wrong” basis, this service will support older people and also people with a learning disability. At the point of referral people will be assessed to determine what support they want and need. People will have an opportunity to access taster sessions for activities to find

out what most suits them and have a dedicated keyworker. Optalis is currently working with community partners to support the service to provide a variety of opportunities to maintain and develop skills and interests including arts and leisure, employment for people who want a job, opportunities to socialise, being an active member of the community. Should the recommendation in the report be approved, the service would also be able to offer more flexible support times including evenings and weekends.

- 2.24 The council has recently commissioned a housing needs analysis for people with support needs including people with a learning disability, people with mental health needs, people with autism and older people. The report is expected in December 2021 and will inform a supported housing strategy. Should the recommendation be approved, the closure of Oakbridge and Windsor Day Centres will also provide an opportunity to develop supported accommodation either on the site currently occupied by the day centres on Imperial Road in Windsor (subject to planning permission) or by the sale of the land to develop accommodation elsewhere in the borough.

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 Based on the recommendation in the report, the key implications are as follows:

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Confirm a saving of £300,000 in 2021/22 and going forward.	Savings target not achieved.	31 st March 2022	30 th October 2021	30 th July 2021	1 st April 2021
Closure of Windsor Day Centre	Savings target not achieved and community-based service will not go ahead	31 st March 2022	30 th February 2022	30 th December 2021	30 th December 2021
Closure of Oakbridge Day Centre and move to Mencap building in Windsor	Savings target not achieved and community-based service will not go ahead	1 st July 2022	1 st June 2022	1 st May 2022	1 st July 2022

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Full launch of Community Lives Service	Savings target not achieved and community-based service will not go ahead	1 st July 2022	1 st June 2022	1 st May 2022	1 st July 2022

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 4.1 This report seeks approval to confirm a saving of £300,000 per annum from 1st April 2021 as agreed by Council in February 2021. This saving is achievable should Cabinet approve the recommended option. The recommended option would allow the saving to be made whilst designing and developing a sustainable and flexible building and community-based service for older people and people with a learning disability.
- 4.2 The annual rent charge on the Mencap building in Windsor to accommodate the proposed new building-based services, for people with a learning disability for those who are assessed as needing that provision, is £30,000 which has been identified from existing budgets.
- 4.3 In order that the Mencap building is suitable for people with a learning disability with complex physical needs, there are minor works that need to be completed including installing a toilet/changing room with a hoist and adaptations to the kitchen. A capital bid has been submitted for these works to the value of £35,000. The capital programme is to be decided by Council in February 2022.
- 4.4 The council currently funds Age Concern Slough and Berkshire East by £30,000 per annum and Age Concern Windsor by £35,000 per annum to provide a day services offer for the benefit of older people across the borough. Both amounts are currently budgeted for within the Adult Social Care budget and the recommended option would enable both organisations to continue to ensure that a building-based service is available for people who need one based in Windsor.

Table 3: Financial impact of report's recommendations

REVENUE COSTS	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24
Additional total	£0	£0	£0
Reduction	-£300,000	-£300,000	-£300,000
Net Impact	-£300,000	-£300,000	-£300,000

CAPITAL COSTS	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24
Additional total	£35,000	£0	£0
Reduction	£0	£0	£0
Net Impact	£35,000	£0	£0

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The Council must comply with the Care Act 2014 which states that the Council must meet eligible social care needs following a care act assessment and a financial assessment. It also states that people must have choice and control over the services they receive. The Council also has other legal obligations, including the Equality Act 2010 under which the Public Sector Equality Duty arises.
- 5.2 When considering changes to service provision, Cabinet should have due regard to the Public Sector Equalities Duty. To have due regard means that in making decisions it must consciously consider the need to do the things set out in the general equality duty: eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations.
- 5.3 In providing services to people under the Care Act 2014 the Council must ensure that services meet the needs of people who are eligible and that there are a range of services available so that people have choice and control. Alongside the services currently on offer and those proposed in this report, people will have the option of taking a direct payment and arranging their own support for themselves or their family member.
- 5.4 An Equality Impact Screening Assessment must be carried out to demonstrate that decision makers are fully aware of the impact that changes may have on those with protected characteristics in line with the Equality Act 2010. The screening document can be found at Appendix D to this report.
- 5.5 A full consultation has been undertaken and when taking the decision in relation to the proposals identified in this report Cabinet must give genuine and conscientious consideration to the responses received from the consultees.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 6.1 Identified risks are set out below in table 4.

Table 4: Impact of risk and mitigation

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
A representation may be made that the closure of the day centre means that the council is not meeting its legal obligations.	High	An extensive consultation and community engagement process has shaped the recommendation in this report to ensure that people have the day services to meet their needs whether that be in a building base for those who need them or in their own home or the community.	Medium

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
An individual or group challenges the lawfulness of the decisions relating to the closure of Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre through a Judicial Review	Medium	During a Judicial Review, the courts will examine the council's decisions and the process the council took in reaching those decisions, including the council's approach to equality considerations. Therefore, councillors must be able to demonstrate that they have made their decisions based on the statutory requirement of the relevant legislation, and that they have clearly met their Public Sector Equality Duty obligations. Councillors must ensure that they consider the consultation responses before taking the decisions identified in this report.	Low
Delivery of the recommendation is not achieved.	Medium	A phased delivery plan will be developed with timescales. The Director of Provider Services in Optalis will drive delivery and report to the RBWM Optalis Commissioning Board and the Adult Social Care Transformation Board. Regular progress updates will be given to the Cabinet Member.	Low
The capital bid is not approved by Council and the works to enable the Mencap building to be fit for purpose cannot be implemented.	Medium	The bid has been reviewed by the officer panel and has been given the highest priority. The Cabinet Member has also been kept informed of the bid.	Low

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equalities. The Equality Act 2010 places a statutory duty on the council to ensure that when considering any new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure the impacts on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups, have been considered. An Equality Impact Screening Assessment has been conducted and is attached as Appendix D to this report. The assessment did not identify any potential negative impact of implementing the recommendation in this report.
- 7.2 Climate change/sustainability. Moving the day opportunities approach to include fewer buildings should decrease the carbon footprint of the Council. The smaller building base proposed for people with a learning disability was built in recent years and therefore should be more energy efficient than the existing buildings at Imperial Road. The council will request that Optalis use the most carbon-efficient transport available.
- 7.3 Data Protection/GDPR. Personal data is processed by Optalis on behalf of the Council; approving the recommendation will not change or alter the way the information is processed.

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 As stated in 2.8 above, to inform the transformation of day opportunities, a full twelve-week public consultation exercise was undertaken. The specific proposal that was consulted on was to close Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre and develop alternative, bespoke provision for people who are assessed as needing day opportunities but either do not want or need a building-based provision. The full analysis of the responses to the consultation and methods of engagement used can be found at Appendix A to this report. Please also see points 2.8 to 2.17 above.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 9.1 Should Cabinet approve the recommendation, the full implementation stages are set out in table 5, subject to any call-in.

Table 5: Implementation timetable

Date	Details
30 December 2021	Closure of Windsor Day Centre
1 July 2022	Closure of Oakbridge Day Centre and move to Mencap building. The Oakbridge Day Centre will not close until the new building has opened.
1 July 2022	Full launch of the community lives service, alongside building-based provision at Boyn Grove and the Mencap building

10. APPENDICES

10.1 This report is supported by four appendices:

- Appendix A – Day Opportunities Consultation Report
- Appendix B – Day Opportunities Consultation Explanation for Residents
- Appendix C – Needs Analysis for customers of Oakbridge and Windsor Day Centres
- Appendix D – Equality Screening Assessment for Day Opportunities

11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

11.1 This report is supported by one background document:

- Adult Social Care Strategic Plan 2021-2024 [rbwm adult social care transformation programme strategy \(1\).pdf](#)

12. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory: Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	27.10.21	29.10.21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	27.10.21	28.10.21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	27.10.21	29.10.21
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	27.10.21	28.10.21
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	27.10.21	28.10.21
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
N/A			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	27.10.21	01.11.21
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	27.10.21	27.10.21
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	27.10.21	01.11.21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	19.10.21	20.10.21 16.11.21
<i>Heads of Service (where relevant)</i>			
N/A			
<i>External (where relevant)</i>			
David Birch	Chief Executive of Optalis Ltd.	27.10.21	29.10.21
Helen Woodland	Director of Provider Services, Optalis	27.10.21	29.10.21

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member consulted	Councillor Carroll, Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Children's Services, Health and Mental Health	Yes
--	---	-----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Key decision First entered into the Cabinet Forward Plan: July 2021	No	No

Report Author: Lynne Lidster, Head of Commissioning – People, 07554 459628
--

Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead Day Opportunities Consultation Report October 2021

- 1.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead (RBWM) aims to enhance the Borough's day service offer in a way that transforms day opportunities for older people, those with dementia and people with learning disabilities and additional complex needs. The objective is to meet people's needs in a more personalised way that enables them to have more choice and control over how they live their lives. 'Day opportunities' includes activities in the community, services and day centres for adults who need care and support.
- 1.2 A savings target of £300,000 was set for day services delivered by Optalis on behalf of the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead. To ensure the Council continues to deliver its statutory obligations under the Care Act 2014 to meet people's needs, an extensive public consultation and engagement exercise was carried out and a needs analysis commissioned. The results of both have informed the final recommendations to Cabinet.
- 1.3 In addition to the statutory requirement, through carrying out a consultation exercise, the council aimed to shape activities around customers' and carers' needs and aspirations and to access new information, ideas and suggestions. Efforts were made to encourage participation and all views submitted, including those representations made outside of the consultation format, were carefully considered.

Consultation Principles

- 2.1 The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead takes seriously its responsibility to consult with residents, including those who use services, and other stakeholders when making proposals to change services.
- 2.1 A public consultation and engagement exercise was carried out between 6 June 2021 and 29 August 2021. This consultation was based on the following essential principles:
 - a. **Inclusive** – The documentation was available in hard copy on request, and in 'easy read'. A consultation email address and telephone number were promoted to enable people to ask questions and request the information and survey in hardcopy and bespoke engagement sessions were held with customers and carers on request. An explanatory video was produced. Asian carers, whose first language is not English, were contacted by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker to explain the proposals and assisted to complete the consultation survey. The Advocacy People held independent meetings with people with learning disabilities and carers on request.
 - b. **Informative** – a substantial volume of information was provided to support the proposals and bespoke presentations were delivered to the

Learning Disability Partnership Board, stakeholders and partner organisations. Questions were answered and, where the answers were not readily available, followed up.

- c. **Understandable** – The consultation documents were co-produced with local groups representing residents with care needs (in particular Alzheimer’s Dementia Support, The Advocacy People and the Disability and Inclusion Forum) as well as the Optalis Engagement Officer to ensure the language used was accessible to customers, carers and the general public. Day service staff frequently explained the proposals to customers using the communication method most appropriate for each person.
- d. **Appropriate** – The consultation and engagement process was required due to the statutory obligations under the Care Act 2014 to meet people’s needs and the importance of relevant needs analysis.
- e. **Meaningful** – the aims and other information were presented in different ways to different groups to ensure the consultation was meaningful to those who wished to engage with it.
- f. **Reported** – this report aims to summarise the results.

Approach

- 3.1 The Public Consultation and Engagement exercise was designed to elicit the views of the public, stakeholders and partners on:
 - a. Potential closures of Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Centre, both situated in Windsor.
 - b. Potential enhancement of the day opportunities offer, including:
 - i. Working with customers, carers and families to support them in a more flexible and personalised way that enables their independence and choices in the community
 - ii. Supporting and enabling customers to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and levels of need
 - iii. Providing people with additional complex needs with building-based support
 - iv. Making a support service available at the times customers need it.
 - c. The intention to:
 - i. Continue to provide carers with respite breaks and support
 - ii. Continue to use Boyn Grove Resource Centre as a community hub and two day centres.
- 3.2 Opportunities to give feedback and comments were provided at the engagement sessions, through an online consultation and via email, letter and telephone.

- 3.3 The consultation closed on 29 August 2021. Further representations will continue to be considered as the service offer is further developed in line with the changing priorities of communities, other public services and library customers. We will take up the offer from one respondent to take part in service development discussions, as well as fully involving customers, carers and partners in the change process.
- 3.4 Comments relating to service quality were fed back to the relevant manager at Optalis. Day service management responded to people who requested it via the survey and one respondent in need of support was contacted by the Optalis Duty Team to ascertain their needs.
- 3.5 The extensive consultation and engagement period was intended to ensure that as many residents, customers, stakeholders and partners as possible had the opportunity to respond to the proposals.
- 3.6 A robust communications plan was developed to ensure that the pandemic did not prevent residents from responding.

Communications and Engagement Plan

- 4.1 The consultation was promoted on the Royal Borough's website throughout the consultation period and was made prominent on the homepage marketing panel between 16 July and 27 August. The consultation was promoted via a press release, the Residents' Newsletter (e-newsletter) and on social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook. A letter in hardcopy was sent to all day services customers and the carers of people living with dementia. Letters sent to all customers with a learning disability or additional complex needs also included an Easy Read version to aid understanding. A letter, including an Easy Read version, offering support from The Advocacy People was distributed to customers with a learning disability or additional complex needs.
- 4.2 Optalis and Achieving for Children were asked to promote the consultation to customers, carers and staff via their newsletters and social media channels. Optalis day service staff were asked to raise awareness of the consultation in person with customers to help them understand the proposals and what any changes would mean for them, using the communication methods that were most appropriate to each person. Manor Green School was asked to promote the consultation to parents and students via their newsletters and social media channels.
- 4.3 Partners and stakeholders were invited to participate in online briefings that would enable them to understand the proposals and the reasons for them, to respond to the consultation and to help other people to respond. They were asked to raise awareness of the consultation using all of the communication methods they had available. Of the organisations that were invited to briefings those able to attend were:


- Age Concern Slough & Berkshire East
 - Age Concern Windsor
 - Alzheimer’s Dementia Support
 - Autism Partnership Board
 - Braywick Heath Nurseries
 - Crossroads
 - Dimensions
 - Disability and Inclusion Forum
 - Manor Green School
 - Mental Health Team for Older People (MHTOP)
 - Older Person’s Advisory Forum (OPAF)
 - Optalis Ltd
 - PaCiP (Parents and Carers in Partnership)
 - People to Places
 - Step Together
 - The Advocacy People
- 4.4 The Head of Commissioning – People led a Members’ Briefing and kept councillors informed of the consultation.
- 4.5 The service was able to make the documentation available in other formats on request, including translation into other languages, Easy Read or large font; however, no requests were received.
- 4.6 Themes, concerns, issues and questions raised outside of the provided online questionnaire format, such as in meetings or by email, were included and reviewed carefully and all questions followed up.
- 4.7 Throughout the consultation every effort was made to ensure that, despite the pandemic, as many people as possible were made aware of the proposed changes and had an opportunity to have their say.
- 4.8 Particular effort was made to communicate the proposals in a clear and easy to understand way. This included a video that was posted on the consultation platform, Engagement HQ, and shown at partner briefings, the Members’ Briefing and the Learning Disability Partnership Board. Asian carers, whose first language is not English, were contacted by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker to explain the proposals and assisted to complete the consultation survey if they chose to make a submission. The Advocacy People held independent meetings with people with learning disabilities and carers on request.
- 4.9 Answers to frequently asked questions were published on the consultation platform, Engagement HQ.

Consultation Respondents

5.1 102 survey responses were received: 87 were submitted online on Engagement HQ, eight were submitted in hardcopy and eight were completed by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker on behalf of Asian carers whose first language is not English. Hardcopy submissions were subsequently transcribed to the online form.

Who submitted responses?

- 5.2 Ten people told us they had learning disabilities or additional complex needs and 29 people told us they were carers of people with these needs.
- 5.3 Three older people in need of support responded as well as 15 carers of older people and those living with dementia, Two of whom were unpaid carers of a person aged under 65 with dementia. Nobody who responded told us they were aged under 65 with dementia.
- 5.4 In total, 57 people told us they were either a person with social care needs or an unpaid carer, making up 66% of all responses.
- 5.5 Of the remaining 45 respondents, 27 told us they were a member of the public, 17 were from an organisation with an interest in day opportunities services or who represent the community and one person did not answer this question.




- 5.6 Two thirds of respondents said they were female and nearly a quarter said they were male. Most people (60%) said they were aged between 26-64. Only one person said they were aged under 25 and nearly 30% said they were older than 65.
- 5.7 One fifth of people said they considered themselves to have a disability whilst two thirds said they didn't. Two thirds of respondents told us they were white British, 10% told us they were Pakistani with far fewer people telling us they were from other backgrounds. Just over half of all respondents said they were Christian, 14% said they had no religion, 8% said they were Muslim and fewer people told us they followed a different religion.

Age range of people in need of support

- 5.8 We wanted to know how the needs and wishes of younger people differ to those of older people which would allow us to consider future change in demand. We asked for the ages of people in need of support:

Learning disability and additional complex needs:
 Three customers were aged 25 or under, seven customers were aged 65 or older, whilst the majority (24 people) said the customer was aged between 26-64.

Older people and those living with dementia:
 Two customers were aged between 26-64, one aged between 65-74, six aged between 75-84 with the majority of customers (eight people) aged 85 or older.



- 5.9 Nine people indicated they had used Oakbridge in the past two years; 14 told us they had used Boyn Grove Learning Disability and three more people said they had used both services.

5.10 Three people told us they had used Windsor Day Centre in the past two years; three told us they had used Boyn Grove Dementia Unit and three more people said they had used both services. A further 16 people who told us they were either a customer or carer said they had not used day services in the previous two years.

5.11 Of the 43 people who told us they had used our day services, four (about 10%) used them once a week, 14 (about 30%) used them 2-3 times a week and 25 (nearly 60%) used them 4-5 times a week.


Consultation Responses

The consultation proposals

6.1 We asked:


Do you support the proposal for us to work with customers, and their carers and families to support them in a more flexible and personalised way that enables their independence and choices in the community?

Most people (70%) said they supported this proposal either fully or partially and nearly a quarter (24%) said they did not support it.



6.2 We asked:


Do you support the proposal for us to support and enable customers to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and levels of need? Most people (80%) said they supported this proposal either fully or partially and 14% said they did not support it.




6.3 We asked:

Do you support the proposal to close Windsor Day Centre (for older people and those living with dementia) and Oakbridge Centre (for people with learning disabilities) and support people with additional complex needs in other buildings if they need this type of support?


Overall, most people (64%) said they did not support this proposal and a quarter (25%) said they supported it either fully or partially.




- 6.4 When analysing answers to this question we applied two filters so that we could understand the views of the different people responding. We looked firstly at the responses given only by customers and carers and we then looked at the responses given only by members of the public or those responding on behalf of an organisation.
- 6.5 Most customers and carers (60%) said they did not support this proposal and a quarter (25%) said they supported it either fully or partially.



- 6.6 Most members of the public and organisation representatives (69%) said they did not support this proposal and nearly a quarter (24%) said they supported it either fully or partially.




6.7 We asked:
Do you support the proposal for us to make a support service available at the times our customers need it?
 Most people (77%) said they supported this proposal either fully or partially and 16% said they did not support it.



6.8 We asked:
Do you support the proposal for us to continue to provide carers with respite breaks and support?


Most people (95%) said they supported this proposal either fully or partially and 1% said they did not support it.



6.9 We asked:


Do you support the proposal to continue to use Boyn Grove Resource Centre in Maidenhead as a community hub, which includes the two day centres?

Most people (85%) said they supported this proposal either fully or partially and 6% said they did not support it.



6.10 We asked:


We believe that with the right support in place our proposals will enable customers, carers and their families to live independent and fulfilling lives. Do you agree or disagree that the proposed changes will achieve this?
 Exactly half of all customers and carers either strongly or partly agreed with this statement and exactly half of all customers and carers either strongly or partly disagreed with it.



Customer and carer service preferences

6.11 We wanted to know which aspects of a day opportunities service our customers would be interested in to help shape service offers. We asked people to answer these questions if they were a customer or on a customer's behalf.


6.12 We asked:
Would you be interested in support to do the things you want to over the weekend if it was available?
 Most people (51%) said yes. Only 16% of people said no and 33% said they were not sure.



6.13 We asked:

Would you be interested in support to do the things you want to in the evenings if it was available?


Most people (46%) said yes. Just over a quarter (27%) of people said no and the same proportion of people (27%) said they were not sure.



6.14 We asked:

Would you be interested in doing more activities out and about in the local community?


Most people (53%) said yes. 22% of people said no and a quarter (25%) said they were not sure.



6.15 We asked:

Would you be interested in receiving a direct payment to pay for your care and support and help you to get out and about?


Most people (53%) said no. A quarter of the number of respondents (25%) said yes and nearly a quarter (23%) said they were not sure.



6.16 We asked:

Would you be interested in activities that are organised so you can spend the time with your friends?


Most people (72%) said yes. Only 15% of respondents said no and a further 13% said they were not sure.



6.17 We asked:

Would you be interested in any day support from an approved carer in your home?


Most people (45%) said no. A third of the number of people responding (33%) said yes and nearly a quarter (23%) said they were not sure.



6.18 We asked:

Would you be interested in taking part in any virtual activities (for example, using Zoom)?


Most people (70%) said no. Nearly a fifth of people responding (19%) said yes and 11% said they were not sure.



Analysis of respondents' comments

- 7.1 An analysis of respondents' comments was undertaken to ensure that views and issues could be captured and fed into the overall consultation analysis.
- 7.2 The number of times a characteristic was mentioned as being desirable for a day service was recorded and quantified. The elements most frequently raised as being important to respondents, in order of highest frequency, were:
- 1 Socialisation and companionship
 - 2 Use a building as a base/ hub/ for contingency/ for certain people
 - 3= Transport provided (or available)
 - 3= Personal feel/ tailored/ personalised
 - 3= Scope to expand range of experiences and activities
 - 3= Safe/ secure place
 - 4= Familiar surroundings
 - 4= Location/ ease of access
 - 5= Stimulation/ see different things/ variety
 - 5= Routine/ schedule/ structure
- 7.3 Above all, socialisation and companionship were by far the things people most wanted to get out of a day opportunities service, mentioned no fewer than 26 times within the comments sections.
- 7.4 Comments relating to venues featured highly and included the wish to have a base for contingency purposes, such as inclement weather, and to meet the needs of some people. It was important to many people that the places where people receive their services are safe, familiar, local and easy to get to and are places that offer a pleasant environment where people feel happy and relaxed.

- 7.5 The provision of transport was mentioned as crucial to many people for them or their loved one to access the service and the community.
- 7.6 Many people rated their wish for a person-centred service highly: they wanted a personal feel and to be able to choose how they spend their days.
- 7.7 Many people felt that the existing provision would benefit from broadening the range of experiences and activities on offer to customers: it is important that the service can offer a way for people in need of day support to be stimulated and experience variety in the things they see and do.
- 7.8 There were comments that also raised the importance of consistency, which might include routine and staff, as well as those which mentioned the need for the service to be reliable and adaptable.




- 7.9 An analysis of the service characteristics that were mentioned nine or fewer times shows that:
- 7.10 Some people were concerned that the existing service level (both for customers and for carers) would be maintained under the proposals and

wanted reassurance that respite would be available for appropriate lengths of time to ensure carers would receive a good quality break.

- 7.11 Some people stated that they felt that specialist facilities or buildings are required, whilst others desired a purpose given to each day by having somewhere to go and to have a change of environment from home.
- 7.12 The importance of having a job and access to employment support, education and training was raised by some people with learning disabilities, additional complex needs and their carers.
- 7.13 More people told us they wanted activities in the community that are local and which they can choose than people who wanted activities that take place in a day centre environment. Some people told us they wanted to feel included and part of the community.
- 7.14 Other, less frequently mentioned, comments included:
- Those relating to staff: that they should be trained and of good quality, consistent, they should build good relationships with customers and treat customers with dignity and respect
 - Those relating to the service: that it should support physical health, mental health and wellbeing, that it should support independence and provide care that includes personal care, that it should ensure people's safety and give them a sense of belonging.

Preferred activities

- 7.15 We asked customers to tell us the sorts of things they would like to do and, as expected, we received a broad range of answers. The majority of people, which included customers from every client group, told us they would like physical activities for exercise, such as going to the gym, bowling, swimming and yoga.
- 7.16 The next most preferred activities for people to do were visits to interesting places, including to the park, The Savill Garden, garden centres, Windsor and the cinema, and to go out for a meal and to coffee shops and pubs.
- 7.17 Several people told us they like to spend time socialising, others like to do things involving music, which can range from listening to music and singing to going to concerts and festivals, and others told us how much they enjoy arts and crafts.
- 7.18 Many other activities and experiences were mentioned by customers (or carers on a customer's behalf), some of which (those mentioned by two or more people) can be seen on the chart below.



Helping people to get out and about

7.19 We asked people what would help them to get out and about in the community. The majority of people (15) said they would need transport to be provided. Some people with learning disabilities would need support with travelling, crossing roads, public transport and handling money. Other comments included wanting there to be one local central venue for drop offs and pick-ups, going out at consistent times with the same staff or friends, that they would need staff or carers so they can go out and that transport should be affordable. There were suggestions of buddy systems for befriending and sites that could be improved to provide better wheelchair access.

Conclusion

- 8.1 The consultation did endeavour to engage with a wide range of residents and partners as outlined in the Communications and Engagement Plan, with particular attention paid to ensuring customers were communicated with in the ways most appropriate to each person to aid their understanding of the proposals and what a future service could look and feel like.
- 8.2 Each response has been reviewed carefully and the feedback has had a direct impact on the final recommendations.
- 8.3 The purpose of this report is to ensure that Cabinet Members understand the views of residents as fed back through the consultation and engagement process and to provide a sound basis on which to make decisions if read alongside the needs analysis.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead Day Services Consultation

Have your say about proposed changes
to day services for older people and
those living with dementia



Windsor Day Centre



Oakbridge Centre



Boyn Grove Community Resource Centre

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

Do you need this information in another format?

If you need this information translated into another language, in Easy Read format or in large font, please call 01628 685 733 or email

CommunityLives.Consultation@rbwm.gov.uk

A video about this consultation is on our website at <http://tiny.cc/gr4ytz>



The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

Have your say about proposed changes to day services for older people and those living with dementia

Introduction

We are consulting on proposals to change day services in adult social care in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead. Day services, also known as day opportunities, include activities, services and day centres for adults who need care and support and their carers who may need respite services.

Our aim is to enhance our service to meet the needs of people who need day services and respite in a more person-centred way that allows them to have more choice and control in their lives. We want to build on the best of what we currently offer, adapting what we can do to meet the expectations of our current and future customers and their families.

We would particularly like to hear from you if you currently use any of our adult social care services, if you look after someone with social care needs, or if you are a younger person who would like to use our adult day services in the future.

We are also seeking views from any other interested parties, such as staff or organisations who work with people using adult care services or younger people who will have care needs as adults.

The consultation closes on Sunday 29th August 2021. Please share your views before then.

Another consultation running at the same time asks for views about the Royal Borough's learning disability and additional complex needs day services. To read about that consultation please visit <http://tiny.cc/gr4ytz>

Who would the changes affect?

If the changes are agreed, they would affect people who currently use or who would like to use our day services that are provided by Optalis Limited. This includes:

- older people and those living with dementia
- people with learning disabilities

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

- people with additional complex needs
- carers (a carer is a person who looks after someone with care needs)

Background

Following government guidance, our day service has operated a reduced service since March 2020 when the country went into lockdown during the COVID-19 crisis. Although some day centres have stayed open within COVID-19 restrictions throughout the lockdown periods, understandably the majority of people did not want to attend in person so we broadened the support we offered to include telephone wellbeing calls, doorstep visits, activity packs and social activities using Zoom. How we are using buildings is changing due to the pandemic, and what some people want from day support is also changing.

Over the last few months we asked for feedback from people who use these services on the types of activities and services they would like and need. These included the families of older people living with dementia.

Here is a summary of what they said:

Family member of a person with dementia:

It is important for me to know that my Mum is safe and happy at all times. I think that for Mum it is important that she does not feel lonely and isolated and that is why she has enjoyed the Zoom and she really enjoys the day centre. She would enjoy any community activities that may be available, like singing and dancing.

Day services in the Royal Borough

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead and Optalis Limited wish to involve local people in the services they receive. Optalis Limited is owned by the Royal Borough and delivers adult social care services for the council, which includes day services.

Our day centres

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation



Windsor Day Centre



Oakbridge Centre



Boyn Grove Community Resource Centre

Day Centres for people with learning disabilities and additional complex needs:

Oakbridge Centre, Imperial Road, Windsor

Boyn Grove Community Resource Centre, Courthouse Road, Maidenhead

Day Centres for older people living with dementia:

Windsor Day Centre, Imperial Road, Windsor

Boyn Grove Community Resource Centre, Courthouse Road, Maidenhead

Our day centres are open between 8.30am – 4.15pm Monday to Friday. We offer a range of activities to older people and those living with dementia who are assessed as needing day services, most of which are delivered in a day centre. We are carrying out this consultation so we can use the feedback to enhance our service.

We have staff qualified to deliver Cognitive Stimulation Therapy (CST) to our customers with dementia, a library at Boyn Grove and poetry and book clubs.

We have close links with many different organisations that provide activities in the community, such as Young People with Dementia (YPWD), the National Trust, Men in Sheds, local churches and theatres. We take our customers to relaxed cinema screenings and theatre performances or for rambles. Our customers tell us it is important for them to take part in the activities in their local communities so we want to do more of this.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

Our vision

Our vision is to enable all of our residents of the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead to live independent and fulfilled lives.

Our proposals

We would like to:

1. Work with customers, and their carers and families to support them in a more flexible and person-centred way that enables their independence and choices in the community
2. Support and enable customers to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and levels of need
3. Close Windsor Day Centre (for older people and those living with dementia) and Oakbridge Centre (for people with learning disabilities) and support people with additional complex needs in other buildings if they need this type of support
4. Make a support service available at the times our customers need it (for example, in the evenings and weekends)
5. Continue to provide carers with respite breaks and support
6. Continue to use Boyn Grove Resource Centre in Maidenhead as a community hub, which includes the day centres for older people and those living with dementia and people with learning disabilities and additional complex needs.

No decisions have been made. Please have your say about the proposals so that the Council can take your feedback into account when making its decision.

Our day services are well supported and valued by our customers and their families. We have always sought to improve and look to the future and we believe our proposals would be in the best interests of our current and future customers and carers because:

- We want to explore better ways of meeting people's unique needs so that we can offer them the best service for their needs which will help them to thrive. We will continue to work with customers to understand their wishes and ambitions and involve families, carers and advocates in these conversations.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

- Having a blend of building-based and community activities will provide value for money to give more people the support they need.


The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

- There are many local community groups and clubs that offer a broad range of activities and we want to work more closely with them to support our customers to be involved in these.
- We aim to create enhanced, flexible and person-centred day services that are also good value for money.
- We believe that day services are not about buildings. Day services are about enriching the lives of people who need support so they can reach their goals and live fulfilling lives in the way that they choose. There is a need for specialist facilities to enable people with additional support needs to socialise and learn in an environment suited to letting them engage fully. These venues should be seen for the value they add to people's lives, not solely as buildings for people with care needs.
- We want to be able to offer a service outside of the usual working weekday hours if that is needed by our customers and carers.
- We want to enable people to be truly a part of their communities.
- We want to continue to support our valued carers in their caring roles. Regular breaks help a carer return to the caring role with a positive attitude, prevent carer breakdown, help them to maintain good relationships with their loved ones, and are good for the carer's own wellbeing.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation



The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

- We want to develop what is on offer at Boyn Grove Resource Centre in Maidenhead and invite the community to spend more time with us in our shared spaces.
- There are many people that we could be supporting who do not want to go to a day centre because we are not offering the service in a way that suits their lifestyles. We want our service to fit in with people's lives, not for people to fit into the service.

Based on customers' feedback, we think we offer a great service but we believe we can do even better by modernising it in the ways we are proposing.

The COVID-19 pandemic created the opportunity to successfully increase our use of community resources, local groups and opportunities that was necessary to provide a continuity of service to people. Our proposal is the result of the learning and feedback from this enhanced use of community resources.

Our proposal will allow people to choose from a wider range of activities and experiences than we are able to deliver in a day centre. We will be able to make greater use of community facilities that are available to everyone. It will also allow us to be more flexible so that we can better support people's choices to do what they want to do with their lives and to achieve their goals.

Savings to the council's budget

To ensure financial sustainability we have made significant savings over the last few years. All local authorities must, by law, balance the council's budget. We believe the proposal will give people more choice in the activities they want to do, whether that is out and about in the community or building-based, and at the same time deliver the financial savings we must make.

We need to ensure that the money we spend and the resources available are used in the most effective way to meet the needs of the most vulnerable within our community. The changes proposed to day services would save a total of £300,000 per year. Within these financial constraints we aim to provide a high-quality service by working with customers to help them meet their needs and support them to achieve their goals. We aim to reinvest back into adult social care services as set out in the 2021-22 budget proposals.

Day Services Consultation

Our proposals in detail

Below are further details about the proposal.

- 1 We would like to work with customers and their families to support them in a more flexible and person-centred way that enables their independence and choices in the community.

We believe that with the right support in place while taking a person's own strengths and capabilities into consideration we can achieve better outcomes for the people using our services and their families. We also believe that carers should be supported in a person-centred way so we can meet their needs and promote their own mental, emotional and physical wellbeing.

Day services provided in day centres is only one way of meeting needs.

The way that we currently deliver day services does not always appeal to everyone who is eligible to receive them. As a service we need to respond to the feedback from current customers as well as people who are eligible but do not want to attend a day centre. We also recognise that there are younger adults with different aspirations that do not include attending a day centre building and whose expectations are to spend more of their time out and about in their communities.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation



The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

We believe our proposals will provide opportunities for people to remain an active part of their community.

We are working with our customers and their families to look at different ways to meet their needs that are not based around attending a building. This person-centred approach to social care looks at the outcomes that are important to each person and supports them in using appropriate and available resources. It takes into account a person's unique circumstances and their capacity, strengths and existing networks that would help them to achieve their goals.

The Care Act 2014 underpins this approach by requiring Adult Social Care to consider the person's own capabilities and support available from their wider network or within the community, alongside the provision of care and support, that would help the person to meet the outcomes they want to achieve.

2 We would like to support and enable customers to take part in a wider range of activities with people of similar ages, interests and levels of need

There are many local community groups and clubs that offer a broad range of activities and we want to work more closely with them to support our customers to be involved in these. Through working in partnership with these community groups we will be able to offer our customers a choice of more and different experiences that enrich their lives. Through volunteering, leisure and social activities, education and work opportunities our services can be inclusive.

From families' feedback we know that most people would prefer to take part in activities with others of similar ages, with similar interests and levels of need. Other people enjoy being with a mixture of people of different ages and abilities. Like anyone else, our customers want to socialise in their chosen friendship groups, perhaps by going to a café for a coffee or down the pub for a pint.

We are committed to supporting people with their cultural and religious needs and anybody can get involved in such activities as joining in with talks at a local mosque, cooking at the Sikh temple or dropping in to a coffee morning and chat at a church.

We want to meet the needs of our different customers, including younger adults with dementia, such as by small group discussion, Cognitive Stimulation Therapy (CST), hobbies, crafts, gardening and trips out. Many of our older customers would like to be more involved in small group discussion, reminiscence, book clubs, CST, gardening, hobbies and 1:1 therapy.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

These are just ideas and this consultation is one way for you to tell us about the things you would most like to do.

We believe that our proposal will enable us to facilitate people's wishes in a more flexible and targeted way.

3 We would like to close Windsor Day Centre and support people with dementia in other buildings if they need this type of support

The proposal, which is in its early stages, is to close Windsor Day Centre and instead have a blended approach to day services so that people can have a choice between going out in the community or going to a building-based service when they need it.

For many people, having a day service is more about the friends they meet, the activities they do, the skills they learn and the quality of the staff than it is about the building that they attend.

For many of their family members it is important that their loved one is safe, secure and properly cared for, meaningfully occupied doing the things they enjoy, that they have companionship and social interaction and that their physical, emotional and communication needs are met by caring staff who know them and regard them as equals.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation



The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

It is also important that the time spent away from their loved ones gives carers the opportunity to have a break and be long enough to allow them to use the time as they want and need to.

We believe that our proposed service will successfully achieve all of this without the need for a particular building in a specific location. We believe that the proposal would result in services that meet people's needs and enable them to be involved in the activities and experiences that really matter to them. Closing the day centre would enable us to focus our funding on more customers instead of paying building costs related to owning property and will help us achieve better value for money.

The Royal Borough has a Safe Place scheme that gives vulnerable people a short term 'Safe Place' to go if they are feeling confused, scared or upset when out and about in their local town.

We understand there will be a group of people who have complex or very high levels of need so we will ensure that the most appropriate service will be available to them. These people may require specialist facilities to enable them to socialise and learn in an environment suited to letting them engage fully. There is evidence that person-centred services for this group of people can be offered in a variety of settings.

Windsor Day Centre was initially available to our customers in the early stages of the COVID-19 crisis but, due to very low uptake, the centre temporarily closed and the staff were redeployed to continue to support our customers. The centre remains closed due to continued low uptake and we have provided telephone wellbeing calls, doorstep support, activity packs and social activities using Zoom to our customers throughout the crisis. Prior to the pandemic there were 6 customers in attendance at Windsor Day Centre per day and 12 customers per day at Boyn Grove. One Windsor Day Centre customer required a service during the pandemic that was delivered at Boyn Grove.

If it is agreed to change our day service and close Windsor Day Centre, this will not take place without first completing a thorough assessment of the needs of the customers who were using that centre.

We know that many of the people we support find change very challenging so our staff will sensitively manage any change, whether big or small, with every customer. We will fully involve the customer and the people important to them in their assessment and support planning so that their support options are based on their needs and preferences.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

We recognise that transport can be a big issue for some people so transport needs will be fully factored in to plans, as well as the locations of the places people choose to go to.

4 We would like to make a support service available at the times our customers need it (for example, in the evenings and weekends)

Our service is currently only available between 8.30am to 4.15pm Monday to Friday so people can't be supported by us to do the activities they enjoy in the evenings or at weekends. As adults, we would like to support our customers to do the things they want to do when they want to do them.

We believe that if we could operate at times that better suit our customers we would be able to support them to go, for example, to the pub in the evening, to a relaxed cinema screening or theatre performance on a Saturday or to the church or for a ramble on a Sunday.

5 We would like to continue to provide carers with respite breaks and support

The Royal Borough and Optalis Limited recognise that respite is an essential resource for the success of the caring role. Caring can have a negative impact on a carer's health because carers have very little time to look after themselves and attend to their own health issues.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation



The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

Respite is often used so the carer can tend to their own issues that have been put on hold due the demands of their caring role.

After a period of respite the carer is refreshed and rested. A break helps a carer return to the caring role with a positive attitude, prevents carer breakdown and helps them to maintain good relationships with their loved ones. Regular breaks in place help carers to cope better with the pressures of the role as they know that there will be a break coming soon. Breaks help maintain a carer's wellbeing and we will support this with an affordable offer.

Respite is currently offered in the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead in the form of day services and residential respite for up to 28 days per year. The one-to-one therapy provided by the Dementia Care Assistants team provides the carer with a short period of respite within the home and we can also refer to local charities for befriending services.

6 We would like to continue to use Boyn Grove Resource Centre in Maidenhead as a community hub

We want to develop what is on offer at Boyn Grove and invite the community to spend more time with us in our shared spaces. We already have a library, a café, Changing Places facilities and sensory rooms available to members of the public and groups and we would like to be able to offer more.

The day services for people with learning disabilities and additional complex needs and, separately, older people and those living with dementia will still run at Boyn Grove.

The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Day Services Consultation

How to have your say

- Complete our online consultation survey at <http://tiny.cc/gr4ytz>
- If you are unable to complete an online survey you can ask for a printed copy of the consultation survey by calling 01628 685 733 or by emailing CommunityLives.Consultation@RBWM.gov.uk. We will send you a freepost addressed envelope so no stamp is needed.
- If you currently use day services we will contact you directly about how we or one of our partner organisations can support you to tell us your views.

All responses will be treated in confidence and we will take them into consideration when making decisions.

A video about this consultation is on our website at <http://tiny.cc/gr4ytz>

The consultation closes on Sunday 29th August 2021.

Please share your views before then.

What happens next?


The Council will consider all responses to the consultation before it makes a decision about whether to change day services, including whether to close Windsor Day Centre and the Oakbridge Centre. This decision will be informed by all information available to the Council.

If the proposals are agreed by the Council, it is anticipated that we will close Windsor Day Centre and the Oakbridge Centre and be able to start bringing in new activities from the end of 2021, working closely with customers and their families throughout. If the proposals are not agreed we will not be able to broaden the services we offer and day opportunities in the borough will largely remain building-based.


Thank you for taking the time to read this information and we very much hope that you will share your views with us.

NEEDS ANALYSIS OF OAKBRIDGE DAY CENTRE CUSTOMERS – OCTOBER 2021


1. In total there are 20 individuals attending the Oakbridge Centre who have been assessed as requiring “Some” or “All” building based services. These individuals attend up to 10 sessions per week (one day comprising 2 sessions – morning and afternoon). The number of sessions per week attended by this group of 20 people is shown below:




2. The age breakdown of the individuals requiring “All” or “Some” building based services is shown in the graph below:




- There are 38 individuals who attend the Oakbridge Centre in total. The 20 of these who require “All” or “Some” building based services represent 52% of the total cohort.
- Optalis assesses each person’s support needs by reference to an individual to staffing support ratio. Those that have the lowest levels of support need have a 10:1 individual to staff ratio and those with the highest level of support need have a 1:1 ratio. 11 of the 20 people that have been assessed as requiring “All” or “Some” building based services have either 1:1 or 3:1 person to staff support – i.e. have the highest level of staffing support. See graph below.



- The age breakdown of all people attending the Oakbridge Centre is shown in the following graph:



- 38 people in total attend Oakbridge, compared with 106 for Boyn Grove. Analysis of attendees by age bands across both centres is shown in the chart below:




NEEDS ANALYSIS OF WINDSOR DAY CENTRE CUSTOMERS – OCTOBER 2021

7. 23 people attended the Windsor Day Centre prior to COVID. The majority (12 of the 23) attended for 2 days per week. The attendance details are shown in the graph below.



8. Analysis of the 23 individuals who attended the Windsor Day Centre prior to lockdown, shows the following information as at October 2021:



9. Before the pandemic, there were on average 5 people attending Windsor Day Centre on a daily basis. The Age Concern Day Centre in Old Windsor currently has 35 spaces available on the days that they are open.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Essential information

Items to be assessed: (please mark 'x')

Strategy		Plan		Project		Service procedure	x
----------	--	------	--	---------	--	-------------------	---

Responsible officer		Service area		Directorate	
---------------------	--	--------------	--	-------------	--

Stage 1: EqIA Screening (mandatory)	Date created: 02/12/2020 revised 18/10/2021	Stage 2 : Full assessment (if applicable)	Date created
--	--	--	--------------

Approved by Head of Service / Overseeing group/body / Project Sponsor:

"I am satisfied that an equality impact has been undertaken adequately."

Signed by (print): LYNNE LIDSTER

Dated: 18/10/2021

706

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Guidance notes

What is an EqIA and why do we need to do it?

The Equality Act 2010 places a 'General Duty' on all public bodies to have 'due regard' to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act.
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.
- Fostering good relations between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.

EqIAs are a systematic way of taking equal opportunities into consideration when making a decision, and should be conducted when there is a new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure in order to determine whether there will likely be a detrimental and/or disproportionate impact on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups. All completed EqIA Screenings are required to be publicly available on the council's website once they have been signed off by the relevant Head of Service or Strategic/Policy/Operational Group or Project Sponsor.

What are the "protected characteristics" under the law?

The following are protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010: age; disability (including physical, learning and mental health conditions); gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation.

What's the process for conducting an EqIA?

The process for conducting an EqIA is set out at the end of this document. In brief, a Screening Assessment should be conducted for every new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure and the outcome of the Screening Assessment will indicate whether a Full Assessment should be undertaken.

Openness and transparency

RBWM has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices. Your completed assessment should be sent to the Strategy & Performance Team for publication to the RBWM website once it has been signed off by the relevant manager, and/or Strategic, Policy, or Operational Group. If your proposals are being made to Cabinet or any other Committee, please append a copy of your completed Screening or Full Assessment to your report.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Enforcement

Judicial review of an authority can be taken by any person, including the Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) or a group of people, with an interest, in respect of alleged failure to comply with the general equality duty. Only the EHRC can enforce the specific duties. A failure to comply with the specific duties may however be used as evidence of a failure to comply with the general duty.

Stage 1 : Screening (Mandatory)

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

1.1 What is the overall aim of your proposed strategy/policy/project etc and what are its key objectives?

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

The overall aim of the proposed project is to transform day opportunities for older people, those with dementia and people with learning disabilities and additional complex needs to meet their needs in a more personalised way and which enables them to have more choice and control over how they live their lives. 'Day opportunities' includes activities, services and day centres for adults who need care and support. With its aim of enhancing its service offer to give people more options, this project builds on the strong foundations of personalisation as set out in the Care Act 2014, Transforming Adult Social Care 2008 and *Valuing People* 2001.

The specific proposal is to close the Windsor Day Centre and Oakbridge Day Centre and secure alternative, bespoke provision for users of those centres and people who don't want to go to the current centres in line with their needs. Currently, most activities are delivered on the day centre site within the hours of 9am to 5pm, which limits the options available to people. The proposals will provide opportunities for people to move from building-based activities in a single centre to more flexible community-based arrangements. People will be able to choose what they do, when they do it and with whom. Carers would have access to a support service at the times they require it. Alongside this, the recommendation to Cabinet is to open a smaller day centre in Windsor for people with a learning disability and also to refer older people who want and need a building based centre to Old Windsor Day Centre run by Age Concern Slough and Berkshire East.

The proposal was subject to a full twelve week public consultation which closed on 29 August 2021. The extensive consultation and engagement period was intended to ensure that as many residents, customers, stakeholders and partners as possible had the opportunity to respond to the proposals. The consultation received 102 submissions. The recommendation to Cabinet will take into account of and address the themes raised through the consultation process, such as:

- Socialisation and companionship are the most important aspects of a day opportunities service
- There is a requirement for a venue (for example, for use as a base for contingency purposes or for some customers with particular needs)
- There is scope to widen the range of experiences and activities for customers
- Customers need services delivered in a safe environment
- The availability or provision of transport is a concern for many people

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

- Key to any day service are the following characteristics: familiar surroundings, location and ease of access, customers are stimulated and see or do a variety of things, and, if they require it, they experience a consistent routine with structure to their days.

We recognise that there will always be a group of people who have very high levels of need that may require a building-based approach so we will ensure that the most appropriate service will be available to them. There is evidence that personalised services for this group of people can be offered in a variety of settings.

We are continuing to engage with people and their families at each stage of the project to give them the opportunity to help us shape our service offer so that it is fit for purpose.

711

1.2 What evidence is available to suggest that your proposal could have an impact on people (including staff and customers) with protected characteristics? Consider each of the protected characteristics in turn and identify whether your proposal is Relevant or Not Relevant to that characteristic. If Relevant, please assess the level of impact as either High / Medium / Low and whether the impact is Positive (i.e. contributes to promoting equality or improving relations within an equality group) or Negative (i.e. could disadvantage them). Please document your evidence for each assessment you make, including a justification of why you may have identified the proposal as “Not Relevant”.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Protected characteristics	Relevance	Level	Positive/negative	Evidence
Age	Relevant	High	Positive	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people's choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person's day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their age or age-related conditions.</p> <p>There is evidence that people of different ages have different wishes and expectations for their services; these people might be current customers, young adults or younger people who would require our services in 5 to 10 years' time or carers, including Young Carers under the age of 18. A person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>By engaging with customers, carers and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the service offer we can ensure the resulting service offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with customers, carers and families to appropriately manage any change in service.</p>

712

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

713

<p>Disability</p>	<p>Relevant</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people's choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person's day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their disability or long term conditions.</p> <p>Some people might wish to make choices based on their disability; a person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>Particular effort was made to communicate the proposals within the public consultation in a clear and easy to understand way. This included 'easy read' information with pictures, a video and meetings with customers and carers on request. Optalis day service staff raised awareness of the consultation in person with customers to help them understand the proposals and what any changes would mean for them, using the communication methods that were most appropriate to each person.</p>
--------------------------	-----------------	-------------	-----------------	--

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

				By engaging with people and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the service offer we can ensure the resulting service offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with people and families to appropriately manage any change in service.
Gender re-assignment	Not relevant			The proposal is unlikely to impact a person because of their gender reassignment status.
Marriage/civil partnership	Not relevant			The proposal is unlikely to impact a person because of their marriage / civil partnership status.
Pregnancy and maternity	Not relevant			The proposal is unlikely to impact a person because of their pregnancy and maternity status.

714

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

715

	<p>Race</p> <p>Relevant</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people’s choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person’s day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their race or culture.</p> <p>Some people might wish to make choices based on their race; a person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>Particular effort was made to communicate the proposals within the public consultation in a clear and easy to understand way. This included ‘easy read’ information with pictures, a video and meetings with customers and carers on request. Optalis day service staff raised awareness of the consultation in person with customers to help them understand the proposals and what any changes would mean for them, using the communication methods that were most appropriate to each person. Asian carers, whose first language is not English, were contacted by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker to explain the proposals and</p>
--	------------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	--

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

				<p>assisted to complete the consultation survey if they chose to make a submission.</p> <p>By engaging with people and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the service offer we can ensure the resulting service offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with people and families to appropriately manage any change in service.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

716

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

717

<p>Religion and belief</p>	<p>Relevant</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people’s choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person’s day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their religion or belief.</p> <p>Some people might wish to make choices based on their religion or belief; a person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>Particular effort was made to communicate the proposals within the public consultation in a clear and easy to understand way. The consultation collected information about the religions of the people who responded. To ensure the views of people with a range of religions and beliefs were heard, Asian carers of different faiths were contacted by the Ethnic Minority Development Worker to explain the proposals and assisted to complete the consultation survey if they chose to make a submission. These carers are unlikely to have otherwise have engaged with the consultation.</p>
-----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

				By engaging with people and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the offer we can ensure the resulting offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with people and families to appropriately manage any change in service.
--	--	--	--	---

718

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

719

	<p>Sex</p> <p>Relevant</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people's choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person's day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their sex.</p> <p>Some people might wish to make choices based on their sex; a person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>By engaging with people and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the offer we can ensure the resulting service offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with people and families to appropriately manage any change in service.</p>
--	-----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	---

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

720

<p>Sexual orientation</p>	<p>Relevant</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<p>The aim of the project is to increase people’s choice and control and enhance the existing service. A person’s day support needs are identified through a Care Act 2014 assessment of need; with the new enhanced service model we will have greater scope to offer a range of options to people whose needs are related to their sexual orientation.</p> <p>Some people might wish to make choices based on their sexual orientation; a person-centred approach with a community-based focus will ensure that the service can offer different people the opportunity to live their lives in the way they choose.</p> <p>By engaging with people and their families at every stage of the project and by co-producing the service offer we can ensure the resulting service offer is fit for purpose and relevant for the people this change affects. We will also work in partnership with people and families to appropriately manage any change in service.</p>
----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

721

Outcome, action and public reporting

Screening Assessment Outcome	Yes / No / Not at this stage	Further Action Required / Action to be taken	Responsible Officer and / or Lead Strategic Group	Timescale for Resolution of negative impact / Delivery of positive impact
Was a significant level of negative impact identified?	Not at this stage			
Does the strategy, policy, plan etc require amendment to have a positive impact?	Not at this stage	The day opportunities offer will continue to be co designed and co produced with all users to ensure that it is fit for purpose	Head of Commissioning – People Director of Provider Services – Optalis	

If you answered **yes** to either / both of the questions above a Full Assessment is advisable and so please proceed to Stage 2. If you answered “No” or “Not at this Stage” to either / both of the questions above please consider any next steps that may be taken (e.g. monitor future impacts as part of implementation, re-screen the project at its next delivery milestone etc).

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

722

Stage 2 : Full assessment

2.1 : Scope and define

2.1.1 Who are the main beneficiaries of the proposed strategy / policy / plan / project / service / procedure? List the groups who the work is targeting/aimed at.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

2.1.2 Who has been involved in the creation of the proposed strategy / policy / plan / project / service / procedure? List those groups who the work is targeting/aimed at.

723

2.2 : Information gathering/evidence

2.2.1 What secondary data have you used in this assessment? Common sources of secondary data include: censuses, organisational records.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

2.2.2 What primary data have you used to inform this assessment? *Common sources of primary data include: consultation through interviews, focus groups, questionnaires.*

724

Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

725

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

Advance equality of opportunity

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

726

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

Foster good relations

Protected Characteristic	Advancing the Equality Duty : Does the proposal advance the Equality Duty Statement in relation to the protected characteristic (Yes/No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Negative impact : Does the proposal disadvantage them (Yes / No)	If yes, to what level? (High / Medium / Low)	Please provide explanatory detail relating to your assessment and outline any key actions to (a) advance the Equality Duty and (b) reduce negative impact on each protected characteristic.
Age					
Disability					
Gender reassignment					
Marriage and civil partnership					
Pregnancy and maternity					
Race					
Religion and belief					
Sex					
Sexual orientation					

727

2.4 Has your delivery plan been updated to incorporate the activities identified in this assessment to mitigate any identified negative impacts? If so please summarise any updates.

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way

These could be service, equality, project or other delivery plans. If you did not have sufficient data to complete a thorough impact assessment, then an action should be incorporated to collect this information in the future.

Report Title:	2021/22 Finance update report – Revenue and Capital Month 6
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No - Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet – 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Andrew Vallance, Head of Finance and Deputy S151 Officer Adele Taylor, Executive Director of Resources and S151 Officer
Wards affected:	All



REPORT SUMMARY

This report sets out the financial position of the Council in respect of the 2021/22 financial year as at the end of Month 6.

The report reviews the various elements of the Council’s financial position including the revenue budget and its funding, the capital programme, and the Council’s financial reserve position.

The report reviews the main areas of financial risk impacting on the revenue and capital budgets and in respect of these risks sets out the assumptions that underpin the forecast position for the year.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report including:

- i) The Council’s projected revenue and capital position for 2021/22.**
- ii) Approves a capital budget virement of £235,000 to purchase two waste vehicles.**
- iii) Approves a capital budget virement of £220,000 from the River Thames Infrastructure Project to the Datchet Barrel Arch project to mitigate flood risk in Datchet, Horton and Wraysbury.**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Cabinet is requested to note the Council’s financial position	This is the recommended option

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

3.1 RBWM faces considerable financial risks that can have a potentially significant and immediate impact on its finances. To mitigate and smooth the impact on the budget, reserves and a contingency budget are held. However, these are currently at, or close to, the minimum levels required to protect the Council from these financial risks as well as potential service risks that it may also face.

Across the Medium-Term Financial Plan, the assumption is that RBWM will identify sustainable savings and therefore remain above the minimum level of reserves identified by the S151 Officer.

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
General Fund Reserves Achieved	<£6,700,000	£6,701,000 to £6,900,000	£6,900,001 to £16,900,000	> 16,900,000	31 May 2022

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

Revenue Budget and Funding

- 4.1 The **projected net revenue outturn position for 2021/22 at month 6, shows a favourable variance of £46,000** against the Revised Revenue Budget of £103,360,000 as shown in Table 3 below. This is currently forecast to be transferred to general reserves at the end of the year.
- 4.2 This revenue outturn position represents an improvement of £703,000 over the projected overspend at month 4. The main reason for this is the recognition of the Contain Outbreak Management Fund (COMF) grant funding within children’s services relating to the provision of essential Covid-19 support (£517,000).
- 4.3 Most other services have also improved their outturn forecasts as at month 6, in part due to the availability of six months of transactional and operational data allowing more accurate forecasting to take place.
- 4.4 Other forecast changes to note since month 4 are:
 - 4.4.1 Provisions made for bad and doubtful debt at the end of 2020/21 in relation to commercial rents of £107,000 have been released back into revenue as collection rates have been higher than anticipated. New income at Clyde House of £55,000 has also contributed to the property team’s improved outturn position.
 - 4.4.2 Savings on variable office costs, including printing, which were forecast at £20,000 in month 4 have now been increased by £80,000 in recognition of the ongoing impact of home working on office costs. This saving is within the Governance, Law & Strategy Directorate.
 - 4.4.3 Funding from the CCG for Discharges from Hospital expected to cease at the end of September has now been extended to March 2022. This extra

funding of an estimated £400,000, along with the reduction in the Housing Service overspend, has mitigated continued rising costs within the service.

- 4.5 The **general fund balance is forecast to be £7,105,000 at the end of the year, which is above the minimum level** of £6,700,000.
- 4.6 A full breakdown of variances against each service area is attached at **Appendix A** and the reconciliation of the variance against the current 2021/22 Budget is set out in the table below:

Table 3: Summary 2021/22 Revenue Outturn position.

Directorate	Revised Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Previously reported Variance Month 4	Change from Previously reported Variance
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive Governance, Law & Strategy	(1,062)	(2,251)	(1,189)	(925)	(264)
Children's Services	3,843	3,495	(348)	(138)	(210)
Adults, Health and Housing	24,912	25,596	684	1,473	(789)
Resources	40,933	41,439	506	684	(178)
Place	8,294	8,133	(161)	22	(183)
Contingency and Corporate Budgets	15,182	15,940	758	540	218
	1,660	1,511	(149)	0	(149)
Total Service Expenditure	93,762	93,863	101	1,656	(1,555)
Total Non-Service Costs	9,598	9,451	(147)	(999)	852
Net Council Expenditure	103,360	103,314	(46)	657	(703)
Total Funding	(25,106)	(25,106)	0	(314)	314
Transfer to / (from) balances	0	46	46	(343)	389
Net Council Tax requirement MTFP February 2021	78,254	78,254	0	0	0
General Fund					
Opening Balance	7,059	7,059			
Budget Transfers (from) Balances	0	46			
	7,059	7,105			

4.7 Savings Tracker

The monitoring of built-in savings for 2021/22 is shown in the savings tracker attached in **Appendix B**.

In summary - the projected savings achievable as at Month 6 are £5,185,000 against a target of £7,433,000, resulting in potentially unachievable savings of

£2,248,000. These savings are rag-rated and are included in the reported service variances on Appendix A; the status breakdown is shown in **Table 5 and 6 below:**

Table 5 - Savings Tracker 2021/22 Summary			
RAG Status:	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast
GREEN	4,482	3,900	87.0%
AMBER	2,837	1,285	45.3%
RED	114	0	0.0%
	7,433	5,185	69.8%

Table 6 - Savings Projections by Directorate 2021/22			
By Directorate:	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast
Adults, Health and Commissioning	3,624	1,599	44.1%
Children's	1,280	1,398	109.2%
Law & Governance	168	157	93.5%
Chief Executive	(30)	(30)	0.0%
Place	1,731	1,414	81.7%
Resources	660	647	98.0%
	7,433	5,185	69.8%

5. Chief Executive Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

- 5.1 The Directorate is forecasting an **underspend position of £1,189,000** for the year 2021/22 as shown in Table 7 below.

Table 7: Chief Executive Revenue Forecast Outturn position 2020/21

Chief Executive	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive	282	282	0	0
Property	(1,344)	(2,533)	(1,189)	(264)
Total Chief Executive	(1,062)	(2,251)	(1,189)	(264)

Areas of Risk & Opportunity (Significant)

5.2 **Property**

- 5.3 Included in the budget for 2021/22 is a Covid-19 pressures budget of £1,500,000 relating to lost income and costs of evictions in the commercial property service that were anticipated when the budget was set. Although there are specific and anticipated costs of £500,000, the remainder of this budget is not expected to be needed this year. This situation could change during the year

as government measures to support businesses through the Covid-19 emergency have been removed and the position will remain under review. No SFC compensation can be claimed for commercial rental income.

- 5.4 Industrial & Commercial Estates include a miscellaneous income budget of £242,000. Although there are a few small premises rents that go against this budget much of it is for one-off or new rental income. This year £167,000 of miscellaneous income has been identified so far leaving a potential £75,000 pressure.
- 5.5 The main changes since month 4 include a £107,000 release of 2020/21 bad debt provision for rent arrears, a new £55,000 forecast income from rental of Clyde House and £40,000 salary savings in the Property Management Unit.

6. Governance, Law & Strategy Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

- 6.1 The Directorate is forecasting an **underspend outturn position of £348,000** for the year 2021/22 as shown in Table 8 below.

Table 8: Governance, Law & Strategy Revenue Outturn Forecast 2021/22

Governance, Law & Strategy	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Deputy Director of Law & Strategy	156	156	0	0
Communications & Marketing	343	343	0	0
Governance	2,235	2,057	(178)	(127)
Law	649	616	(33)	(33)
Performance Team	370	260	(110)	(50)
Policy Communication & Engagement	90	63	(27)	0
Total Governance, Law & Strategy	3,843	3,495	(348)	(210)

Savings built into the 2021/22 budget amounted to £168,000 for the Directorate of which £157,000 are expected to be delivered in the year.

Savings of £11,000 are unlikely to be achieved as face-to-face member meetings could not be accommodated in the Council Chamber when social distancing was required earlier in the year, thus the saving on room hire will not be achieved in 2021/22 (£1,000). This cost can be met from non-ring-fenced grant income.

The schools buy back for the data protection service has not been as high as budgeted (£10,000 pressure), this is being mitigated in year from savings within the Directorate.

Areas of Risk & Opportunity (Significant)

- 6.2 An ongoing recruitment programme is in progress across the directorate. It is anticipated that full establishment will be achieved by December 2021. Vacancy savings as a result of this process are forecast to be £157,000 across services in 2021/22. It had been anticipated that vacancies would be filled earlier in the year.
- 6.3 Land charges income is currently ahead of budget, boosted by the property market buoyancy as a result of the stamp duty holiday extension to the end of September. The service is forecasting to be £25,000 over target for income in the year. It is difficult to predict future demand and this income stream remains under regular review.
- 6.4 Savings on variable office costs including printing which were forecast at £20,000 in month 4 have now been increased by £80,000 in recognition of the ongoing impact of home working on office costs.
- 6.5 Legal savings of £30,000 relate to services now provided by the Deputy Director of Law & Strategy which had been part of the shared legal services contract. This budget is therefore no longer required.
- 6.6 The £50,000 improvement in the forecast outturn for the Performance Team since month 4 is due to unsuccessful attempts to recruit into vacant posts in the Strategy & Performance unit in the Performance team.
- 6.7 Other in year savings across a number of cost centres make up the balance of the improved position for the Directorate since month 4.

7. Children's Services Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

- 7.1 The Directorate is forecasting an **adverse outturn position of £684,000** for the year 2021/22 as shown in Table 9 below.
- 7.2 Children's Services Directorate current revenue position is a forecast overspend of £2,489,000 against a current budget including the Dedicated Schools Grant of £94,981,000 for the financial year 2021/22. Of this overspend £1,755,000 is offset to the Dedicated Schools deficit, resulting in a net overspend on Children's Services of £684,000. The forecast variance includes the release of the RBWM held "demography" fund budgeted at £368,000 for Children's Services placement-led activity. The financial position for 2021/22 is set out in Table 9.

Table 9: Children's Services budget position 2021/22

	Service	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
		£000	£000	£000	£000
	Children's Services non-Dedicated Schools Grant				
*	Social Care and Early Help	19,050	20,598	1,548	234
*	Business Services	3,386	3,351	(35)	(52)
*	Education	1,393	1,439	46	(43)

	Service	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
		£000	£000	£000	£000
*	Operational Strategic Management	324	(255)	(579)	(581)
*	Public Health	1,583	1,661	78	64
*	Special Educational Needs and Children with Disabilities	2,120	1,857	(263)	(307)
	Children's Services – Retained	(2,945)	(3,056)	(111)	(104)
	Total Children's Services Non-Dedicated Schools Grant	24,912	25,596	684	(789)
	Dedicated Schools Grant				
*	AfC Contract - Dedicated Schools Grant	12,035	13,490	1,455	0
	Dedicated Schools Grant – Retained	58,038	58,338	300	0
	Dedicated Schools Grant Income (transfer to DSG deficit)	(70,073)	(71,828)	(1,755)	0
	Total Dedicated Schools Grant	0	0	0	0
	Summary Position				
*	Achieving for Children Contract	39,892	42,142	2,250	(685)
	Children's Services – Retained	(2,945)	(3,056)	(111)	(104)
	Dedicated Schools Grant – Retained	58,038	58,338	300	0
	Total Children's Services budget	94,981	97,470	2,489	(789)

7.3 The services included within the Children's Services Directorate are set out in **Appendix G**. The outturn variance of £684,000 consists of the following material variances as set out in Table 10.

Table 10: Children's Services material variances

Service	Projected Outturn Variance	Note
	£000	
Total Social Care and Early Help	1,548	
Total Achieving for Children Other	(753)	
Total Achieving for Children	795	1
Children's Services – Retained	(111)	2
Total Children's Services Non-Dedicated Schools Grant	684	
AfC Contract - Dedicated Schools Grant	1,455	
Dedicated Schools Grant – Retained	300	
Total Dedicated Schools Grant	1,755	3
Total Dedicated Schools Grant transfer to Reserve	(1,755)	4
Total Net Dedicated Schools Grant	0	

Service	Projected Outturn Variance	Note
	£000	
Total Outturn Variance	684	

7.4 The reported variance compared to the July Cabinet reports a favourable movement of £789,000 of which £685,000 relates to the AFC Contract and £104,000 Children's Services retained. The material movements include:

Achieving for Children material movements (£685,000):

- **Contain Outbreak Management Fund (£517,000)** – identification of existing employee costs that relate to prevention and management of the Covid-19 pandemic
- **Home to School Transport (£304,000)** – outcome from retendering process exceeded savings plan resulting in a net underspend of (£50,000) replacing the previously reported risk of non-delivery of savings estimated at £254,000
- **Recovery Plan (£100,000)** – in-year mitigation plan focusing on the application of grants and restriction on non-essential expenditure including vacancy management
- **Others (£64,000)** - including additionally business as usual movements relating to employee reduction in costs (£44,000) and increased income (£20,000)
- **Children in Care Placements £150,000** - additional high-cost provision placed quarter 2 2021
- **Legal Services £100,000** - increased cost of counsel based on quarter 1 recharges and reflective of previous activity levels
- **Therapy Savings £50,000** – delayed progress to deliver savings plan in full during 2021/22

Children's Service Retained material movements (£104,000):

- **Troubled Families Grant (£150,000)** – following positive discussions with the Ministry for Housing Communities and Local Government supported by improved performance levels for quarters 1 and 2 confirmation has been received grant will be received in full
- **Court costs £30,000** – fees incurred for claimants counsel expenses
- **Others £16,000**

7.5 Total Achieving for Children non-Dedicated Schools Grant (Note 1)

The forecast overspend of £795,000 comprises of service variances as reflected below:

- **Contain Outbreak Management Fund (£517,000)** – identification of existing employee costs that relate to prevention and management of the Covid-19 pandemic
- **Recovery Plan (£100,000)** – in-year mitigation plan focusing on application of grants and restriction on non-essential expenditure including vacancy management
- **Home to School Transport (£50,000)** – outcome from retendering process demonstrated exceeding the savings plan resulting in a net underspend of (£50,000) replacing the previously reported risk of non-delivery of savings of £254,000
- **Others (£39,000)** – non-employee costs and income
- **Placements £951,000** – represents the full-year effect of three high-cost placements in quarter 4 of 2020/21, quarter 1 and 2 of 2021/22 totalling £1,004,000. Additionally, based on previous years' trends the forecast reflects an estimated Future Demand for further placements during the year of £190,000. The overspend is partly offset by the release of the RBWM held "demography" fund of £368,000 into the AfC Contract. There has been a national trend of an increase in the complexity and need of placements; this coupled with increasing demand on providers resulting in an increase in unit costs has adversely impacted the forecast.
- **Employee-related costs £325,000** - Child Focused posts retained to meet increased demand in Domestic Abuse & statutory services resulting from Covid-19. This pressure reflects the increased level of referrals and complexity of the demands on the service totalling £300,000.
- **Legal Services £100,000** - increased cost of counsel based on quarter 1 and reflective of previous activity levels
- **School Improvement Grant £75,000** – increased support programme for schools matched by an additional grant within Children's Services – Retained non-Dedicated Schools
- **Therapy Savings £50,000** – delayed progress to deliver savings plan in full during 2021/22

7.6 Children's Services – Retained non-Dedicated Schools Grant (Note 2)

The net underspend of £111,000 consists of service variances as set out below:

- **School Improvement Grant (£75,000)** – increased grant allocation matched by increased costs within AfC Contract
- **Troubled Families Grant (£50,000)** – increased grant allocation following improved number of contacts with families
- **Others £14,000**

7.7 **Total DSG (Note 3)**

The DSG overspend of £1,755,000 reflects an estimate of the continued cost associated with the provision of Independent Special schools and other associated direct support packages. This forecast is reflective of the activity in 2020/21 along with updates to reflect known changes. A more informed position will be confirmed in the autumn following the start of the new academic year when most pupils will be placed within the appropriate educational establishment.

In addition to the recent increased demand for services for children and young people with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities, the recent Covid-19 pandemic has created additional challenges. These challenges could impact on the progress of some of our most vulnerable pupils. It is highly likely that there will be requests for pupils to repeat an academic year increasing the demand and pressure on this service area and sector.

A further additional challenge already recognised is an increase in the number of parents opting to continue with “elective home education” for their children.

7.8 **Total DSG Transfer to Reserves (Note 4)**

To fund the in-year overspend there will be a deficit balance transfer of £1,755,000 to the Dedicated Schools Grant reserve, resulting in a cumulative net carry forward as at 31st March 2022 of £3,412,000 (3%). This cumulative deficit includes pooling into the deficit reserve previously held Dedicated Schools Grant earmarked reserves of £134,000.

All local authorities that have a cumulative Dedicated Schools Grant deficit are required to submit a recovery plan outlining how they will bring their deficit back into balance within a reasonable time frame. Achieving for Children have been in discussions with the DfE and a detailed deficit recovery plan will be presented to Schools Forum seeking their agreement.

8. Adults, Health & Housing Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

- 8.1 The overall position for the Adults, Health and Housing Directorate is a forecast **overspend of £506,000** against a current budget of £40,933,000 for 2021/22, see table 11.

Table 11: Summary position for the Directorate as at Month 6

Service area	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Director & Support	2,453	2,378	(75)	(108)
Adult Social Care Services	35,016	35,597	581	74
Housing Services	3,464	3,464	0	(144)
Total	40,933	41,439	506	(178)

- 8.2 **The Director & Support** revenue position as at Month 6 is a forecast underspend of **£75,000** against a current budget of £2,453,000 for 2021/22.

Table 12: Director & Support Revenue budget position

Service Area	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
<u>Director & Support Teams</u>				
Transformation & Systems	512	512	0	0
Director, Procurement & Partnerships	434	449	15	9
Jnt Arr-Modern Records & Coroners	495	512	17	(40)
Commissioned -Community and Children	258	263	5	1
Adult Social Care Commissioning & Support	591	479	(112)	(78)
Government Grant Income	163	163	0	0
Total – Director & Support teams	2,453	2,378	(75)	(108)

- 8.3 **Adult Social Care is forecasting an overspend of £581,000** against a current budget of £35,016,000 for 2021/22 at Month 6. This is an increase from the forecast overspend at month 4 of £74,000.
- 8.4 In common with the national trend, the service is experiencing unprecedented demand, in terms of volume and acuity of need. Much of the demand is being driven from hospital discharges with more frail older people requiring more intensive support to remain in their own homes or requiring residential or nursing placements. Whilst the service is on track to meet its savings targets for 2021-2022, the increased demand is having an adverse impact on the budget.
- 8.5 The split of the change in the forecast between services are shown in table 13 below

Table 13: Adult Social Care 2021/22 Revenue Monitoring Month 6 Position

Summary Type	Care Group / Service	Current Budget	Period 6 forecast position	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
	Summary Position				
Exp	RBWM Expenditure budgets	15,382	15,702	320	(121)
Inc	RBWM Income budgets	(13,572)	(14,660)	(1,088)	(368)
Optalis	Optalis contract -Total	32,615	34,076	1,461	641
	Adult Social care services budgets	34,425	35,118	693	152
	Commissioning & Support	591	479	(112)	(78)
	Total Adult Social Care Net Budget	35,016	35,597	581	74

The main reasons for the overspend relate to the cost of providing homecare and increasing pressure on older adults' nursing and residential placements.

The cost of providing homecare is forecast to exceed the annual budget by £470,000 due to increasing demand and costs. The pressure on this budget has been offset by additional income from the Better Care Fund (BCF), NHS Frimley Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and client contributions. The income received from the CCG has been provided to facilitate hospital discharge and prevent hospital admissions.

The estimated income receivable from the CCG has continued into this financial year as the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) announced that the scheme would now continue until the end of financial year. Allowance for additional income was made when setting the budget for 2021/22. Further detail on the income received from the CCG in 2021/22 is shown in **Table 14 below**.

Table 14: Summary of CCG estimated income due to be received in 2021/22

Purpose of income	£'000
BCF -Minimum contribution to adult social care	£4,957
Covid-19 related spend-Hospital discharge process (HDP)	£800
Free Nursing Care	£670
Shared Care and Other	£200
TOTAL INCOME	£6,627

The number of residents supported in long-term care in nursing and residential homes had reduced significantly due to the impact of Covid-19 in 20/21. So far this year the numbers are increasing. As with domiciliary care, income from the CCG provided to facilitate hospital discharge and to prevent hospital admissions continues into this financial year for the remainder of the financial year.

8.6 Older People and People with a Physical disability

The numbers of older people needing nursing accommodation appears to be increasing, putting additional pressure on budgets. The people requiring care and support from adult social care are frailer with complex co-morbidities. Much of this is as a consequence of the direct impact of Covid-19 but also of conditions that were not able to be fully treated during the lockdown periods of 2020.

Much of the block bedded provision is now being fully utilised – and where vacancies arise, they are being immediately filled with new residents - and therefore additional costs are being incurred from spot arrangements. The largest area of additional spend is purchasing nursing or residential care with dementia support. The pressure on the spot purchasing budget for residential and nursing beds is being partly offset by the additional income generated from client contribution charging.

8.7 Learning Disability

Table 15: Learning Disability services

Summary Type	Care Group / Service	Current Budget	Period 6 forecast position	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
	Learning Disability (LD)				
Exp	Residential; Nursing; Supported Living - block	1,926	1,971	45	(23)
Optalis	Residential & Nursing care - spot	5,269	4,476	(793)	(503)
Optalis	Residential & Supported Living - Optalis provided	3,518	3,489	(29)	89
Optalis	Supported Living - spot	3,589	3,769	180	180
Optalis	Day & Other Care - Optalis provided	2,201	1,967	(234)	(468)
Optalis	Income from charges	(1,531)	(1,307)	224	28
Inc	Other LD Income	(579)	(553)	26	82
Optalis	Care teams staffing	775	709	(66)	(15)
	Learning Disability Total	15,168	14,521	(647)	(850)

The month 6 forecast underspend for LD services is £647,000 please see **Table 15** above, which is a decrease from the last reported position as at month 4 of £850,000. The main reasons for this reduction are CHC awarded and clients moving out of the area, changes in the cost of packages of care and some deaths.

8.8 Mental Health

Table 16: Mental Health Services

Summary Type	Care Group / Service	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
	Mental Health (MH)				
Optalis	Mental Health services	2,270	2,884	614	190
Optalis	Mental Health Team	1,165	1,102	(63)	(63)
Inc	Mental Health Income	(423)	(444)	(21)	30
	Overall Mental Health Total	3,012	3,542	530	97

Pressures have continued to increase on all care budgets for people with mental health issues, as anticipated given the current pandemic and restrictions. Following a detailed review of mental health service users, the forecast outturn position for Mental Health is a pressure of £530,000, an increase of £97,000 from month 4. As a result, the potential savings identified have not been achieved and the service is, therefore, unlikely to achieve any savings in 2021/22. Plans for mitigating the forecast pressure are being put in place

8.9 Public Health and Better Care Fund

The Public Health budget is fully funded by the £5,056,000 ring-fenced Public Health Grant. Underspends on this budget must be carried forward in a public health reserve. At the start of this financial year there was £511,000 in the Public Health reserve for use in 2021/22 or future years. The PH reserve will be used according to PH priorities in 2021/22 and 2022/23. This includes an additional £243,000 spend against additional staff, £100,000 uplift in spend in Sexual Health Contract and Health Visiting and School Nursing Contract. £20,000 has been allocated for a Picker Institute Evaluation of Health Visiting/School Nursing and £15,000 for an Oral Health Survey for 5 year olds.

The Contain Outbreak Management Fund (COMF including Test and Trace grant) also sits within Public Health. This grant is specifically to deal with issues arising from the pandemic and was awarded for use over two financial years i.e. 2020/21 and 2021/22. The amount spent in 2020/21 on this grant was £618,000 while the balance has been carried forward into 2021/22 - £3,666,000 in addition to the £804,000 funds received for 2021/22. Spending plans have now been drawn up for these remaining and new funds with only £250,000; yet to be allocated but should be identified soon, there is a requirement to pay back any unused element by June 2022.

The Better Care Fund is a budget held in partnership with the CCG and is accounted for in totality in the Council's accounts as a pooled arrangement. Variances to planned spend on individual projects are shown in the service area to which that project relates. All decisions on spend are taken by the Integrated Commissioning Board.

The Better Care Fund is mandated to include the Local Authority's capital income in respect of Disabled Facilities Grant. This income must be spent on items of a capital nature within the purposes for which the grant is allocated or the staffing administration of the scheme. Currents budgets for 2021/22 are as below:-

Table 17: Public Health Grant and Better Care Fund

Service	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Public Health – gross spend	5,056	5,056	0	0
COMF Grant (inc T&T)	3,666	3,666	0	0
Total expenditure	8,722	8,722	0	0
Public Health Grant & COMF	(8,722)	(8,722)	0	0

Service	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Better Care fund – expenditure	13,133	13,133	0	0
Better Care fund income	(13,133)	(13,133)	0	0

8.10 Housing

The annual budget for Housing is £3,464,000, as at month 6 the forecast variation on this budget is on target as shown in table 18 below:

Table 18 – Housing

	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Housing and Environmental Health:				
Head of Housing	244	244	0	0
Housing Strategy & Residential	2,296	2,296	0	(144)
			0	0
Environmental Health	884	884	0	0
Trading Standards & Licensing	40	40	0	0
Total Housing	3,464	3,464	0	(144)

Homelessness – the pressure on this service is continuing to grow due to higher-than-expected demand over the last two quarters of the year. This will result in additional net Temporary Accommodation (TA) spend of £370,000 over the next few months. As a result, this will increase our Housing Variance to a pressure of £514,000. However these additional costs will be covered by the Homelessness Prevention Grant which in those grant conditions allows us to use any portion of the grant as necessary to cover any additional TA costs alongside existing homeless prevention schemes. This will bring us in line with budget as long as there are sufficient funds in the grant which is why it is critical we report any variance now and reduce it down with the grant usage at the end of the year.

8.11 Future risks for Adult, Health and Housing

8.11.1 Achievement of Savings

Adult social care has committed to significant savings in 2021/2022 with the majority on schedule to be delivered. As outlined above, it is unlikely that the planned savings in the mental health service will be achieved. Covid-19 has had an inevitable impact on the planned savings but all efforts are continuing to be made to deliver on target.

8.11.2 Demographic Growth

A budget was set at the start of this financial year based on the number of residents in services at an average cost. This is being closely monitored monthly as we are already seeing additional demand at increased costs.

As at the end of September 2021, the number of older people, learning disability clients and mental health service users has increased.

The figures used to set the budget are included in the table below, please note these are average figures across all care settings.

Table 19

	Budgeted Average Numbers	Budgeted Average Weekly Unit Cost	Actual Ave Weekly Unit Cost This Yr	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Older People										
Nursing	174	£969 pw	£1,052 pw	181	183	183	184	192	199	200
Residential	116	£711 pw	£776 pw	128	129	133	131	130	130	131
Temporary Spot (N and R)	4	£940 pw	£1,008 pw	18	16	17	12	15	14	16
Direct Payments	70	£328 pw	£342 pw	58	62	60	61	60	57	57
Home Care RBWM	267	£332 pw	£267 pw	351	358	370	377	382	383	382
Day & Other Community	n/a	£1,247 pw	£1,247 pw	n/a						
Total Older People	631	-	-	736	748	763	765	779	783	786
Physical Disability										
Nursing	7	£1,107 pw	£1,129 pw	6	6	6	7	7	7	7
Residential	10	£834 pw	£851 pw	12	12	10	10	10	10	10
Supported / Sheltered Living	1	£1,818 pw	£2,445 pw	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
Home Care Optalis	40	£370 pw	£372 pw	38	37	38	41	42	42	42
Total Physical Disability	59	-	-	57	56	55	59	61	60	60
Learning Disabilities										
Nursing	3	£1,246 pw	£972 pw	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Residential	56	£1,730 pw	£1,563 pw	56	56	56	54	53	51	51
Supported / Sheltered Living	92	£683 pw	£677 pw	89	81	82	81	82	83	83
Shared Lives (Fostering)	6	£425 pw	£394 pw	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
Home Care	29	£207 pw	£194 pw	24	28	28	27	27	27	27
Day & Other Community	27	£650 pw	£491 pw	43	44	44	43	42	42	40
Total Learning Disabilities	214	-	-	219	215	216	211	210	209	206
Mental Health										
Nursing	4	1,096	£969 pw	5	5	5	5	5	7	7
Residential	12	737	£710 pw	19	19	19	18	20	20	19
Supported / Sheltered Living	42	517	£609 pw	54	56	56	51	45	46	45
Home Care	36	158	£203 pw	27	29	29	27	22	22	22
Day & Other Community	8	303	£285 pw	7	7	7	7	8	8	8
Total Mental Health	103	-	-	112	116	116	108	100	103	101
Total All Client Groups	1,006	-	-	1,124	1,135	1,150	1,143	1,150	1,155	1,153

8.11.3 Pressures

- Learning Disabilities

Within Learning Disability services a detailed 'Forward Look' list is maintained; this includes any changes that we are aware of which may have an impact on adult social care budgets, such as transition cases from children's services, movements within joint packages of care with Health or residents moving away from health funded Continuing Health Care (CHC) funding to adult social care funding. There is a risk that **£500,000** (P2-£700,000) may transfer to our services during this financial year. This element is being closely monitored on a monthly basis and any adjustments to the Forward Look are identified and acted on.


The Deprivation of Liberty safeguarding (DOLS) is changing to Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS) under the Mental Capacity (Amendment) Bill. The new legislation now covers from 16 plus and broadens the scope to treat people and deprive them of their liberty in a medical emergency. The changes to this bill will bring additional costs and pressure, initially forecast at £110,000 but may increase. A proposal to lobby central government for new burdens funding is being discussed nationally.

- Mental Health

Mental Health also maintains a Forward Look, within this we can identify additional pressures of £13,000 of cases that could transfer to adult social care services

- Older adults and spot purchasing arrangements

The team continues to ensure that the block contracts are fully utilised before spot purchasing additional care. The number of spot purchased older people nursing placements continues to grow. After an initial dip following Covid-19 the numbers increased but now seem to be stabilising. Please see the graph below.



- Temporary accommodation

This area of spend will be closely monitored during this financial year, due to the challenges faced with monitoring temporary accommodation usage. Risks include the freeze on private sector evictions being lifted, therefore increasing the homeless presentations, and the potential of further restrictions- such as further lockdowns over the winter period, resulting in additional parental/friend evictions. The mitigation to the challenge includes a private sector officer starting this month with a focus on discharging the duty of current households in temporary accommodation into suitable private rented sector.

9. Resources Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

- 9.1 Resources Directorate is forecasting an underspend outturn position of £161,000 for the year 2021/22 as shown in Table 19 below. Savings of £660,000 built in to the 2021/22 budget for the Directorate are all expected to be delivered in year.

Table 20: Resources Revenue Forecast 2020/21

Resources	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Executive Director of Resources	214	214	0	0
Libraries & Residents Services	2,551	2,314	(237)	(184)
R&B Management and Administration	1,045	1,198	123	(30)
Housing Benefits	424	174	(250)	0
HR, Corporate Projects and IT	2,803	2,803	0	0
Corporate Management	(6)	68	74	52
Finance	1,263	1,392	129	(21)
Total Resources	8,294	8,133	(161)	(183)

9.2 Areas of Risk & Opportunity (Significant)

- 9.2.1 Pressure on income within revenue and benefits and housing benefits as a result of the Covid-19 emergency is forecast to be £554,000, this is £220,000 in excess of the budget set aside for this pressure in 2021/22 See below for more information
- 9.2.2 Costs relating to current and future staff vacancies within finance, requiring to be covered by agency staff, are estimated to rise to £140,000 by the end of the year. This is net of vacancy savings and grant contributions. As the situation changes, this forecast will be updated. Agency rates and contract terms are not yet confirmed for the full period.

9.3 Libraries & Residents Services

- 9.3.1 The increased underspend of £64,000 from month 4 is largely due to £191,000 forecast increased income resulting from wedding backlogs and increased demand from citizenship ceremonies arising from Brexit in Registrars.
- 9.3.2 Income carried forward for postponed Weddings will cover the cost of increased capacity, although any income relating to Covid-19 related postponed Weddings remains at risk of refund if not delivered in year. Registrars have invested in extra resources in order to meet new legislative requirements that came into force from 4th May. This will allow the service to continue to officiate the maximum number of Weddings possible at the current time.
- 9.3.3 Additional costs of £25,000 have been forecast to cover the work being done by registrars and casual registrars to cover the backlog of weddings.

9.3.4 Libraries are forecasting a £10,000 saving due to delayed recruitment in September to a vacant advisor post. In Libraries & Information Services an £8,000 saving on rental payments has also been forecast due to the closure of Eton Library earlier this year

9.4 R&B Management and Administration

9.4.1 Magistrates' courts although open (on-line) have restricted the numbers of cases that can be brought, thus delaying recovery of costs and tax. Fees are charged to help fund the costs of the Council Tax and Business Rates recovery service and it is forecast that this income will be down on budget by £220,000.

9.4.2 It is anticipated that staff and agency costs associated with administering the continuing distribution of Covid-19 related grants and support to businesses and individuals will be funded fully from new burdens grant funding; the costs are forecast as being £80,000 currently.

9.4.3 Other small savings within the service have reduced the overall pressure to £123,000.

9.5 Housing Benefits

9.5.1 Although forecasting the shortfall between benefits paid out and the subsidy reclaimable is always difficult, dependent as it is on the mix of benefits paid, current predictions based on current patterns of payments, indicate that there may be a surplus of at least £250,000 this year. This may change and will be kept under review, as we have already seen a sharp fall in the recoverable amount relating to the provision of housing for those presenting as homeless, reflecting the rising costs associated with that provision.

10. Place Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

10.1 The Directorate is forecasting an **overspent outturn position of £758,000** for the year 2021/22 as shown in Table 21 below.

10.2 Savings of £1,731,000 were built into the directorate's 2021/22 budget. We are forecasting to achieve 82% of these savings. The shortfall will be mitigated from savings elsewhere in the service.

Table 21: Place Revenue Forecast 2020/21

Place	Current Budget	Forecast Outturn	Forecast Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Executive Director of Place	248	233	(15)	(15)
Neighbourhood Services	10,122	10,597	475	295
Planning	1,416	1,416	0	0
Communities	(213)	(22)	191	(117)
Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	3,609	3,776	107	55

Place	Current Budget	Forecast Outturn	Forecast Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Total Place	15,182	15,940	758	218

10.3 Areas of Risk & Opportunity (Significant)

10.4 **Neighbourhood Services** – The hybrid fortnightly general waste collection enduring solution means that residual waste will be collected fortnightly while collections of recycling and food waste will remain weekly (green waste remains fortnightly). These changes to the waste collection contract have added £500,000 of pressures this year. To deliver this model, Serco requires additional resources in the form of vehicles and staff.

10.4.1 Supported Bus service pressures of £165,000 previously reported under Neighbourhoods has now moved to Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport, resulting in a net forecast overspend of an additional £335,000 at month 6

10.4.2 Additional income from enforcement of **street works activity** – £100,000 built into the budget is not considered achievable this year. The service has been redesigned to focus on robust enforcement with a review of the business case being undertaken by the service. Work so far has been promising in terms of income generated and recruitment of 2 FTE posts is underway to fully resource the team and drive the initiative forward.


10.4.3 **Waste disposal** saving of £175,000 was still considered possible until recently but any saving delivery is now likely to be from savings on tonnages based on previous year's data - £65,000 forecast currently. The overall saving will also be determined by the ongoing DMR solution since the fire at Pure Recycling.

10.4.4 Also helping to mitigate savings - **Green waste income** is continuing to be ahead of budget as numbers of service users exceed budgeted expectations - £150,000 overachievement of income forecast and income from **cemeteries and open spaces** - £70,000.

10.4.5 **Car Parking Income** – total income received from car parking across the borough for the first six months of the year was 32% down against the profiled budget of £5,213,000 against income received of £3,551,000.

10.4.6 Income received from daily car parking and season tickets across the borough for the first six months of the year was £3,266,000 which is 32% down against the profiled budget of £4,799,000. Actual daily parking income received for the six months was £3,076,000 against profiled budget of £4,131,000 (Windsor received £2,271,000 against the profiled budget of £3,200,000 compared to Maidenhead which received income of £805,000 against the profiled budget of £931,000). Based on data to date, the budget allocated to cover this ongoing loss of income as a result of Covid-19 restrictions of £3,090,000 is expected to be sufficient for the anticipated pressure this year.

10.4.7 Season ticket sales are a particular area of concern that is being closely monitored by the service to identify permanent changes in commuter behaviour that may impact this income stream on a permanent basis. Income received to date for the six-month period is £190,000 against a profiled budget of £668,000, which is 72% down against budget. The current full year forecast is £369,000 against a budget of £1,379,000 which is a 73% pressure.



10.5 Communities

10.5.1 **Leisure centres concession contract** – additional support for Leisure Focus will be required this year as social distancing restricts footfall and income generation within the leisure centres. This is anticipated to be £420,000 above the £1,758,000 put in the budget to support this pressure in 2021/22. There has been an anticipated improvement of £75,000 on the management fee since month 4. Forecast SFC income for the first 3 months of the year is £513,000. Previously this had been forecast at a higher level anticipating that more of this loss could be recovered. This is now unlikely and the forecast has been adjusted down by £130,000 to take account of that. The overall Leisure pressure is now £373,000, up by £55,000 on month 4.

10.5.2 Within Communities staff working on COMF funded grant activities has resulted in forecast savings of £173,000 against base budgets. This has mitigated to some extent the pressures in Leisure above.

10.6 Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport

10.6.1 As a result of government guidance on bus support during the Covid-19 emergency, savings within the **supported bus services** are unlikely to be delivered this year. The total undeliverable saving is £100,000 for 2021/22 and an additional £100,000 built into the budget for 2020/21. An overspend of £165,000 is forecast for this service. There are other small over and underspends in the division resulting in a net £167,000 forecast overspend.

11. Contingency and Corporate Revenue Forecast Outturn Position 2021/22

11.1 The contingency budget is made up of a number of risk-based elements that represent potential, but uncertain, liabilities known at the time the budget is set in February of each year. When these risks become certain costs and liabilities, budgets will be moved either as in-year or permanent virements to the relevant service. Corporate budgets represent those costs not relating to specific services.

Analysis of the contingency and corporate budget movements follows in **Table 22** below

Table 22: Contingency and Corporate budget position

Contingency & Corporate	Current Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Change Since Month 4
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Corporate Contingency:				
Adults Contractual	331	331	0	0
Demographic growth Children's	63	0	(63)	(63)
Savings Delivery	1,300	1,300	0	0
Total Contingency	1,694	1,631	0	0
Corporate Budgets	(34)	(120)	(86)	(86)
Total Contingency and Corporate Budgets:	1,660	1,511	(149)	(149)

12. Other Revenue Budget Issues

12.1 Collection Fund

The majority of Council spending relies on collecting Council Tax and Business Rates. The Council's budgeted share of these two precepts is £88,000,000 in 2021/22. Collection rates are therefore closely monitored. A total of £58,259,043 of Council Tax has been collected, equating to a collection rate of 57.68% against a target collection rate of 58.2%. Business Rate collection was £32,992,369 equating to a collection rate of 51.01% against a target collection rate of 58.0% as shown in the table below.

Table 23 Revenues Collection Figures 2021/22							
	Total Collectable for Current year (£)	Total Collected to date for Current Year (£)	Total Collected this month for current year (£)	% Collected for current Year	% Collected same period last year	Target as per SADC	Current year balance Outstanding (£)
CTAX							
April	100,945,944	11,749,256	11,749,256	11.64%	11.51%	11.6%	89,196,688
May	100,930,216	21,252,177	9,502,921	21.06%	20.84%	21.5%	79,678,039
June	100,935,586	30,624,936	9,372,759	30.34%	30.24%	30.6%	70,310,650
July	100,904,338	39,670,554	9,045,618	39.32%	39.32%	39.8%	61,233,784
August	100,951,942	48,901,428	9,230,874	48.44%	48.38%	48.9%	52,050,513

Table 23 Revenues Collection Figures 2021/22							
September	101,008,884	58,259,043	9,357,614	57.68%	57.37%	58.2%	42,749,841
NNDR							
April	52,713,615	6,022,929	6,022,929	11.43%	10.70%	12.0%	46,690,685
May	52,941,908	10,183,936	4,161,006	19.24%	19.98%	20.0%	42,757,973
June	63,503,191	17,508,680	7,324,745	27.57%	35.08%	31.0%	45,994,511
July	65,811,704	22,468,766	4,960,086	34.14%	42.70%	41.0%	43,342,938
August	66,426,898	27,300,760	4,831,994	41.10%	48.92%	49.0%	39,126,137
September	64,679,837	32,992,369	5,691,609	51.01%	58.11%	58.0%	31,687,468

13. Sundry Debt

13.1 The current level of outstanding sundry debt is £8,968,000 as at 30th September 2021. This is an increased level of debt of £1,241,000 since 31st March 2021. The age of the debt is shown in the table below.

Table 24 - 2021 OUSTANDING DEBTS AND BAD DEBT PROVISION REQUIREMENT AS AT 30 September 2021									
Service	2020/21		2021/22						
	Debt Outstanding 31st March 2021	Bad Debt provision 31st March 2021	Debt outstanding 30 September 2021	<1 month	>1 month and <6 months	>6months and <1 year	1 to 2 years	>2 years	Bad Debt provision for 2021/22
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Education, Youth and Foster	81	63	67	12	0	0	1	54	54
Schools	1,075	0	72	62	1	9	0	0	2
Housing loans	327	242	312	0	0	1	8	303	232
Temporary Accommodation - bed and breakfast	449	148	2,157	109	1,629	274	66	79	376
Adult Social care	3,830	1,464	4,038	1,127	1,049	470	631	760	1,691
Adult deferred payments	601	191	588	0	9	75	155	349	0
Corporate including Highways and Leisure	841	223	835	348	175	145	133	35	271
Commercial Property	523	383	899	376	134	216	157	17	311
TOTAL DEBT	7,727	2,714	8,968	2,034	2,997	1,190	1,151	1,597	2,937
% of outstanding debt				23%	33%	13%	13%	18%	

14. Revenue Reserve

14.1 At 31 March 2021, the Council had general fund reserves of £7,059,000. The projected outturn position at month 6 of £46,000 underspend, results in a general fund reserve projection of £7,105,000 at 31 March 2022, being

£405,000 above the minimum level approved by Council for 2021/22 (£6,700,000).

Table 25: General Fund reserve projection

General Fund Reserve projection	£000
Opening Balance 01.04.2021	7,059
Projected underspend	46
Current Projected Balance at 31.03.2022	7,105

15. Borrowing Projection 2021/22

- 15.1 Throughout the year the Council's borrowing levels are updated based on cash-flow and spending on the capital programme as shown in **Appendix C**. Currently, the Council is borrowing temporarily pending anticipated capital receipts in future years and short-term interest rates remain low. The details of the current borrowing are shown in **Table 26** below.

The graph in Appendix F shows the actual and forecast end-of-month gross borrowing levels for the year. In the table below the Council's investment balances are offset against the gross amount borrowed to give the net borrowing position.

Table 26 Total Borrowing

Borrowing Type	Actual Start of Year £000	Actual Previous Month £000	Actual Current Month £000	Forecast Month 4 £000	Year End Forecast Month 6 £000
Long Term	57,049	57,049	61,264	56,264	71,265
Short Term – Local Authority	114,000	81,000	76,000	147,000	144,000
Short Term – LEP/Trusts	20,732	24,143	23,887	0	0
Investments	(23,909)	(11,787)	(9,286)	(14,000)	(14,000)
Net Borrowing	167,872	150,405	151,865	189,264	201,265

16. Capital Programme

- 16.1 The gross capital expenditure for the current financial year 2021/22 is shown in **Table 27**. This summarises the outturn position by directorate. There are two variances to report and further slippage in property, schools and libraries as set out in Appendix E. The Council is projected to spend £66,802,000 on capital projects in the current financial year although further slippage to 2022/23 is likely to be reported in future months. Detail on the funding of the capital programme is shown in **Table 28**.

Table 27: Capital Programme projected outturn.

	Revised Gross Budget 2021/22	Forecast Gross slippage to 2022/23	Current year variances	Projected Gross Outturn 2021/22
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Managing Director	32,651	(120)	0	32,531
Law & Strategy	445	(261)	0	184
Place Directorate	24,574	0	0	24,574
Adults, Health & Housing	1,318	0	0	1,318
Childrens Services	6,664	(720)	(250)	5,694
Resources	2,638	(100)	(37)	2,501
Total	68,290	(1,201)	(287)	66,802

16.2 Budget movements to arrive at the revised budget are detailed in **Appendix D**.

16.3 Capital Expenditure Financing

16.3.1 The £66,802,000 capital expenditure will be funded by the income streams as set out in **Table 28**. At present it is projected that £46,598,000 of corporate funding is required for the financial year. Some of this cost is likely to slip to 2022/23. The cost of short-term borrowing at a rate of 0.09% is estimated to cost £42,000.

Table 28: Capital Programme financing

Capital Programme funding	£000
Government Grants	(10,684)
Developers' Contributions (s106 & CIL)	(9,495)
Other Contributions	(25)
Corporate funding	(46,598)
Total	(66,802)

Table 29: Capital programme status

	£000
Number of schemes in programme	222
Yet to start	11%
In progress	70%
Completed	10%
Ongoing programmes e.g. Disabled Facilities Grant	9%

16.4 Purchase of Waste Vehicles

16.4.1 The hybrid fortnightly general waste collection solution means that residual waste will be collected fortnightly while collections of recycling and food waste will remain weekly Green waste remains fortnightly.

16.4.2 To deliver this model, the contractor requires six additional waste vehicles. Two vehicles will be purchased in the current financial year with a further four vehicles to be purchased during 2022/23.

16.4.3 **Approval is sought to vire £235,000 from the Infrastructure Delivery Programme budget to purchase two waste vehicles** with no additional financial impact on the capital programme in the current financial year

16.5 Datchet Barrel Arch flood mitigation scheme

16.5.1 Datchet Barrel Arch is a Victorian brick-built culverted watercourse/drain running west to east through the centre of Datchet. It discharges into the recreation ground ditch, the Penn Road culvert and ultimately into the Datchet Common Brook. Following a comprehensive CCTV structural survey, an outline scheme has been drawn up and costed to line the brick culvert to protect the structure and reduce future maintenance at an estimated works cost of £220,000. £60,000 funding is available in-year to cover survey and design fees (Barrel Arch Drainage Repairs CC51).

16.5.2 Other than budget availability, there is no reason to delay the barrel arch project, as it will contribute to the objectives of the River Thames Infrastructure Scheme i.e. to mitigate flood risk in Datchet, Horton and Wraysbury.

16.5.3 **Approval is sought to vire £220,000 of capital budget from the River Thames Scheme Infrastructure project for Datchet Barrel Arch repairs.**

17. Transformation Plan funded from flexible reserves

17.1 The 2020 transformation plan for 2021/22 has a one-off budget of £1,347,091, funded from flexible capital receipts; this was approved by Council within the budget in February 2020.

17.2 To 30 September 2021, the Council has received £1,347,000 of capital receipts that can be allocated to the transformation plan. It is anticipated that this will be fully utilised by the end of the year to fund allowable revenue transformation costs.

18. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

18.1 In producing and reviewing this report the Council is meeting its legal obligations to monitor its financial position.

19. RISK MANAGEMENT

19.1 Projected variance will require mitigation to reduce it during the financial year.

20. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 20.1 Equalities – none.
- 20.2 Climate change/sustainability – none.
- 20.3 Data Protection/GDPR – none.

21. CONSULTATION

- 21.1 None

22. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 22.1 Implementation date if not called in: **'Immediately'**.

23. APPENDICES

- 23.1 This report is supported by eight appendices:

- Appendix A Revenue Monitoring Statement
- Appendix B Savings Tracker 2021-22
- Appendix C Capital budget summary
- Appendix D Capital monitoring report
- Appendix E Capital Slippage
- Appendix F Borrowing forecast
- Appendix G Children's variance analysis
- Appendix H Reserve Analysis

24. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

- 24.1 This report is supported by one background document:

- Budget Report to Council February 2021.

25. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory:</i>			
<i>Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	12/11/21	12/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	12/11/21	15/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	Report Author	

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	16/11/21
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	12/11/21	15/11/21
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	12/11/21	
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	12/11/21	15/11/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	12/11/21	15/11/21

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cabinet Member for Finance & Ascot	Yes
---	------------------------------------	-----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Non-key decision	No	No

Report Author: Rhona Bellis, Lead accountant.

SUMMARY	Revised Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Previously reported Variance Month 4	Change from Previously reported Variance
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Chief Executive					
Chief Executive	282	282	0	0	0
Property	(1,344)	(2,533)	(1,189)	(925)	(264)
Total Chief Executive	(1,062)	(2,251)	(1,189)	(925)	(264)
					0
Law & Governance					0
Deputy Director of Law & Strategy	156	156	0	0	0
Communications & Marketing	343	343	0	0	0
Governance	2,235	2,057	(178)	(51)	(127)
Law	649	616	(33)	0	(33)
Performance Team	370	260	(110)	(60)	(50)
Policy Communication & Engagement	90	63	(27)	(27)	0
Total Law & Governance	3,843	3,495	(348)	(138)	(210)
					0
Children's Services					0
Director of Children's Services	(79)	(79)	0	0	0
Achieving for Children Contract	39,888	42,090	2,202	2,935	(733)
Children's Services - Retained	55,172	55,409	237	293	(56)
Dedicated Schools Grant - Income	(70,069)	(71,824)	(1,755)	(1,755)	0
Total Children's Services	24,912	25,596	684	1,473	(789)
					0
Adults, Health and Housing					0
Director, Support Teams & Provider support	2,453	2,378	(75)	33	(108)
Housing	3,464	3,464	0	144	(144)
Adult Social Care	35,029	35,610	581	507	74
Better Care Fund - Spend	14,415	14,415	0	0	0
Public Health - Spend	5,056	5,056	0	0	0
Grant & BCF Income	(19,484)	(19,484)	0	0	0
Total Adults, Health & Housing	40,933	41,439	506	684	(178)
					0
Resources					0
Executive Director of Resources	214	214	0	0	0
Library & Resident Services	2,551	2,314	(237)	(53)	(184)
Revenues & Benefits	1,045	1,168	123	153	(30)
Housing Benefit	424	174	(250)	(250)	0
Human Resources, Corporate Projects & IT	2,803	2,803	0	0	0
Corporate Management	(6)	68	74	22	52
Finance	1,263	1,392	129	150	(21)
Total Resources	8,294	8,133	(161)	22	(183)
					0
Place					0
Executive Director of Place	248	233	(15)	0	(15)
Neighbourhood Services	10,122	10,597	475	180	295
Planning Service	1,416	1,416	0	0	0
Communities including Leisure	(213)	(22)	191	308	(117)
Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	3,609	3,716	107	52	55
Total Place Directorate	15,182	15,940	758	540	218
					0
Contingency and Corporate Budgets	1,660	1,511	(149)	0	(149)
					0
TOTAL SERVICE EXPENDITURE	93,762	93,863	101	1,656	(1,555)

SUMMARY	Revised Budget	Projected Outturn	Projected Outturn Variance	Previously reported Variance Month 4	Change from Previously reported Variance
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
					0
Non Service Costs					0
Capital Financing inc Interest Receipts and bank charges	5,910	5,709	(201)	(201)	0
Environment Agency levy	165	165	0	0	0
Pensions deficit recovery	4,199	4,201	2	2	0
Contribution to/(from) Capital	400	400	0	0	0
Funding					0
NNDR Income	(15,004)	(15,004)	0	0	0
Income from trading companies	(210)	(210)	0	0	0
Education Services Grant	(315)	(315)	0	0	0
Government Grants(unringfenced)	(2,800)	(2,800)	0	0	0
New Homes Bonus	(473)	(473)	0	0	0
Use of Earmarked Reserve	(3,170)	(3,170)	0	(314)	314
Use of Property Reserve	(100)	(100)	0	0	0
Use of Transformation funding from flexible capital receipts	0	(737)	(737)	(800)	63
Transfer (surplus)/deficit to Council Tax Collection Fund	(300)	(300)	0	0	0
Transfer (surplus)/deficit to NNDR Collection Fund	1,600	1,600	0	0	0
Special Expenses	(1,216)	(1,216)	0	0	0
Covid-19		0	0	0	0
Covid-19 Tranche 5 funding	(3,118)	(3,118)	0	0	0
COVID 19 Sales, Fees and Charges Compensation Qtr 1 2021/22 allocated to services	0	0	0	0	0
COVID 19 Sales, Fees and Charges Compensation outstanding funding to Qtr 2 2021/22	(1,076)	(287)	789	0	789
Transfer from provision for redundancy	0	0	0	0	0
Total Non-Service Net Costs	(15,508)	(15,655)	(147)	(1,313)	1,166
					0
NET COUNCIL TAX REQUIREMENT	78,254	78,208	(46)	343	(389)
					0
Transfer to / (from) balances	0	46	46	(343)	389
					0
TOTAL INCLUDING TRANSFERS TO(FROM) BALANCES	78,254	78,254	0	0	0
General Fund					
Opening Balance	7,059	7,059			
Budget Transfers (from) Balances	0	46			
	7,059	7,105			

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
1	Resources	Revenues & Benefits	Removal of one Benefit Assistant post	Louise Freeth	22	22	100.0%	GREEN	
2	Resources	Library & Resident Services	Stop moving the Container Library saving towage costs	Angela Huisman	28	28	0.0%	GREEN	This saving is dependent on Planning permission being granted, but support from parish means that we are hopeful this will be achieved
3	Resources	Library & Resident Services	Reduction of Library hours	Angela Huisman	73	73	0.0%	GREEN	
4	Resources	Finance	Review of Accountancy structure	Ruth Watkins	35	35	100.0%	GREEN	
5	Resources	Finance	Review of Internal audit contract	Andrew Vallance	50	50	100.0%	GREEN	
6	Resources	Finance	Remove supplies and services budgets from finance team	Ruth Watkins	67	67	100.0%	GREEN	
7	Resources	Finance	Defer Discretionary NNDR write-off	Ruth Watkins	28	28	100.0%	GREEN	
8	Resources	Finance	Review of resourcing of the Insurance and Risk service	Andrew Vallance	45	45	100.0%	GREEN	
9	Resources	Finance	Removal of fax machine analogue lines	Ruth Watkins	2	2	0.0%	GREEN	
10	Resources	HR&IT	Removal of database and network contracts budget	Nikki Craig	63	63	0.0%	GREEN	
11	Resources	HR&IT	Stop software licences for employee relations advice	Nikki Craig	3	3	0.0%	GREEN	
13	Resources	HR&IT	Review of charging structure for provision of services to academies and schools	Nikki Craig	10	10	0.0%	GREEN	
14	Resources	HR&IT	Increase the admin charge for DBS checks	Nikki Craig	6	6	0.0%	GREEN	
15	Resources	HR&IT	Efficiencies from D360 document management system and iTrent HR system.	Nikki Craig	13	0	0.0%	RED	System delivery delays outside of the councils control is likely to result in the D360 document system not being fully operational this year, savings cannot be achieved until this system is in place and working. Work is being undertaken to mitigate the saving across the service.
16	Resources	HR&IT	Ceasing Quick Address software contract	Nikki Craig	2	2	0.0%	GREEN	

759

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
17	Resources	HR&IT	Restructure of OD function	Nikki Craig	30	30	0.0%	GREEN	
18	Resources	HR&IT	Restructure of Compliments and Complaints function	Nikki Craig	18	18	0.0%	GREEN	
19	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Removal of Member training budget	Emma Duncan	2	2	100.0%	GREEN	
20	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduction in budget Member's Special Responsibility Allowances	Emma Duncan	24	24	100.0%	GREEN	
21	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Removal of room hire budget for council meetings	Emma Duncan	1	0	0.0%	RED	Need to hire external facilities - Holiday Inn for 4 members meetings - cannot fit all members into the chamber and maintain social distancing.
22	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduction in budget for Member mileage claims	Emma Duncan	5	5	100.0%	GREEN	
23	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduction in postage to Members	Emma Duncan	2	2	100.0%	GREEN	
24	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduction in the annual support provided to the Twinning Committee	Emma Duncan	5	5	100.0%	GREEN	
26	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduced MFD printing	Emma Duncan	30	30	0.0%	GREEN	
27	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduction in Stationery purchased.	Emma Duncan	20	20	0.0%	GREEN	
28	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduced Confidential waste collection	Emma Duncan	4	4	0.0%	GREEN	
30	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Review of charging structure for Schools Data Protection Officer service	Emma Duncan	40	30	75.0%	AMBER	Schools take up on this Buy Back 21/22 has not been as high as last year. Only £30k of the target now likely to be achievable
31	Law & Governance	Law & Governance	Reduce Borough By-Elections Budget	Emma Duncan	7	7	100.0%	GREEN	
32	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Additional income from green waste subscriptions	Simon Dale (Int)	50	50	50.0%	GREEN	Additional income currently being achieved.
33	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Remove 50 on street parking machines	Simon Dale (Int)	50	50	50.0%	GREEN	Have gone from 82 Parking machines down to 33. Looking to achieve estimated savings target.
34	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Redesign provision of street cleansing	Simon Dale (Int)	100	100	0.0%	GREEN	

760

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
35	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Introduce fortnightly residual waste collections whilst retaining weekly food waste and recycling collections	Simon Dale (Int)	175	175	0.0%	GREEN	Waste collection frequency change go-live not yet determined. Waste disposal saving still possible based on previous year's savings on tonnage. A recent fire at the Pure Recycling near Warwick where our dry mixed recycling was taken has meant that it has been redirected to Crayford and a different provider as an interim measure. This has exposed the council to the vagaries of the market and gate fees have increased from £48 per ton to £60 per ton, thus threatening the deliverability of the saving. a longer term contract is being progressed to bring the rate down.
37	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Additional income from enforcement of street works activity	Simon Dale (Int)	100	0	0.0%	RED	Unclear as to whether business plan is achieving budget income target. 1 x temp member of staff recently left. Advertising 2 FTC posts and revisiting business case. Real concern that income target can be achieved and a growth bid for restoration of this as a revenue budget has been submitted for 22/23. Longer term, it is intended to consider whether this activity should be part of an incentive contract on highway enforcement overall wef November 22.
38	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Reduce the council's pool car fleet	Simon Dale (Int)	20	20	100.0%	GREEN	Achieved. Pool cars removed.
39	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Remodel street cleansing activity in town centres, estate and rural roads	Simon Dale (Int)	50	50	0.0%	GREEN	
40	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Activate optional one-year contract extension for parking enforcement	Simon Dale (Int)	30	10	33.3%	AMBER	Saving was about no annual inflation, which was initially estimated at 3%. However, inflation later reduced which meant that full £30k could not be achieved.
41	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Redesign the street cleansing pattern for the A404M/Marlow bypass	Simon Dale (Int)	10	10	0.0%	GREEN	
42	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Redesign the street cleansing pattern for Royal Windsor Way	Simon Dale (Int)	10	10	0.0%	GREEN	
43	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Deliver the waste incentivisation scheme through the Climate Change Strategy	Simon Dale (Int)	30	30	50.0%	GREEN	Proposed changes are being actioned and saving is on track.
44	Law & Governance	Communications & Marketing	Maximise digital distribution of Around the Royal Borough	LD	14	14	0.0%	GREEN	
45	Law & Governance	Communications & Marketing	Implement a revised Advantage Card	LD	14	14	0.0%	GREEN	
46	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Develop alternative options for supporting residents in need of additional support	Hilary Hall	200	150	25.0%	AMBER	Some technological solutions are in the process of being rolled out so that the saving should take place later in the financial year
47	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Deliver day opportunities for older people and people with learning disabilities in a different way	Hilary Hall	300	300	0.0%	AMBER	Formal consultation has been completed and the recommendation is due to be considered by Cabinet in November 2021. If the proposals are agreed, the full saving will be made in this financial year.

761

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
48	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Ensure value for money from residential care placements for people with learning disabilities	Hilary Hall	200	200	100.0%	GREEN	A number of cases have been reviewed and alternative care arranged. Saving achieved
49	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Ensure value for money from supported living packages for people with learning disabilities	Hilary Hall	200	0	100.0%	GREEN	A number of cases have been reviewed and alternative care arranged. Saving achieved
50	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Ensure value for money from community packages for people with learning disabilities	Hilary Hall	200	200	100.0%	GREEN	A number of cases have been reviewed and alternative care arranged. Saving achieved
51	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Extend the offer of reablement to all residents coming out of hospital	Hilary Hall	500	250	0.0%	AMBER	Recruitment continues to increase the reablement team to ensure this saving is achieved. Whilst there have been some delays to date, this is now on track for delivery.
52	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	End contract with People to Places for services that are no longer running	Hilary Hall	90	90	100.0%	GREEN	Saving achieved contract ended
53	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Refocus the operation of the Health Visiting service	Kevin McDaniel	150	150	52.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
54	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Maximise the income due to the council from resident contributions	Hilary Hall	500	0	0.0%	GREEN	Debt process has been established, Debt panel has been reconstituted. Income levels are being scrutinised.
55	Place	Planning	Reshape Planning Support Team	Adrien Waite	29	29	0.0%	GREEN	
56	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Reduction in Arts Grants	Chris Joyce	187	187	0.0%	GREEN	
57	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Reshape museum and tourism information centre service	Chris Joyce	85	85	0.0%	GREEN	
58	Place	Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	Remove ongoing aviation budget	Chris Joyce	20	20	100.0%	GREEN	
59	Place	Communities, Enforcement and Partnerships	Remodel and reshape the Community Safety functions including the Community Safety Partnership and Community Wardens.	David Scott	300	300	0.0%	GREEN	
60	Place	Communities, Enforcement and Partnerships	Revise the management of the leisure contract	David Scott	62	30	0.0%	AMBER	Continued restrictions in leisure means this may not be fully delivered this year
61	Place	Communities, Enforcement and Partnerships	Remove funding from Borough in bloom and community participation project	David Scott	86	86	0.0%	GREEN	No contract signed for 2021/22

762

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
62	Place	Communities, Enforcement and Partnerships	Remove funding from SMILE and stop service	David Scott	58	58	100.0%	GREEN	
63	Place	Communities, Enforcement and Partnerships	Remove vacant community sports development post and projects	David Scott	54	54	100.0%	GREEN	
64	Place	Planning	Reshape the trees function	Adrien Waite	125	60	0.0%	AMBER	Delays to implementing process changes means this will not be fully achievable this year
65	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Develop an increasingly independent school travel policy which is focused on the most vulnerable.	Kevin McDaniel	280	370	14.3%	GREEN	Policy changes delivering £66,000 from new academic year; Contract re-tendering exercise delivered indicative savings of £304,000. Total savings £370,000.
66	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Independent Fostering Agency (IFA) development	Kevin McDaniel	15	15	40.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
67	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Greater use of virtual technologies	Kevin McDaniel	50	50	48.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
68	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Support for young person's transition to a sustainable adulthood.	Kevin McDaniel	15	15	40.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
69	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Care Leavers Accommodation	Kevin McDaniel	20	20	60.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
70	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Implement schools Inclusion Advisor	Kevin McDaniel	90	90	53.3%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
71	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Therapy assessment service	Kevin McDaniel	100	50	12.0%	GREEN	Forecast underachievement of savings plan £50k reflects current year to date actuals which demonstrate continued reliance on third party providers
72	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Use external support for early years quality improvement needs	Kevin McDaniel	60	60	50.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
73	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Continue to optimise costs of placements for children in our care.	Kevin McDaniel	250	328	58.0%	GREEN	Planned placement moves achieved by May 2021. Currently new arrangement working well.
74	Resources	Library & Resident Services	Library Stock fund	Angela Huisman	20	20	100.0%	GREEN	
75	Chief Executive	Property Service	Consultancy costs	Barbara Richardson	70	70	100.0%	GREEN	
76	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Improve business support processes	Kevin McDaniel	45	45	53.3%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
77	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Account appropriately for financial support services.	Kevin McDaniel	55	55	29.1%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
78	Resources	Finance	Insurance savings	Andrew Vallance	100	100	100.0%	GREEN	

763

RBWM SAVINGS TRACKER 2021/22 Month :

6

			General Information		Financials				
Savings Ref	Directorate	Service	MTFP Savings Title	Lead Officer	2021/22 Savings Target £000	Total Savings Forecast in 2021/22 £000	% of target full year forecast	RAG for Remaining Savings Forecast	Explanation of Current Savings Forecast and Remedial Action planned to address underperformance and Mitigation Strategies
2019.1	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Introduce an online financial assessment for adults to calculate financial contributions for care and support	Hilary Hall	70	25	0.0%	AMBER	Systems implementation dates have been delayed due to COVID
2019.2	Children's	AFC Contract - LA Funded	Transform youth and early years services to be targeted at the most vulnerable	Kevin McDaniel	150	150	52.0%	GREEN	Savings plan on track
2019.7	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Deliver adult social care transformation programme	Hilary Hall	1,205	405	16.6%	AMBER	this saving target is spread over a number of cost centres and areas. Some savings have been achieved and the remainder are subject to delays, these include Mental Health service savings, Transition savings, spot purchased nursing placements and front door savings .
2019.8	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Deliver system efficiencies through the new customer relationship management system	Dan Brookman	25	25	0.0%	AMBER	The new customer relationship management system was introduced during the Covid and opportunities to integrate other systems with it to realise efficiencies has been delayed.
2019.9	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	Implement technology enabled care across adult services	Dan Brookman	180	0	0.0%	AMBER	Systems have been delayed due to COVID - not able to access people's homes
2020	Adults, Health and Commissioning	Adult Social Care - Spend	One-off saving reversed	Hilary Hall	(46)	(46)	100.0%	GREEN	Savings reversed
2020.1	Place	Neighbourhood Services	Review and optimise the number of subsidised bus routes	Simon Dale (Int)	100	0	0.0%	AMBER	The full saving will be unachievable based on Covid-19 government guidance on bus services.
2020.2	Resources	Library & Resident Services	Library savings	Angela Huisman	45	45	0.0%	GREEN	
2020.3	Chief Executive	Property Service	New property income, Additional Management Fee to Countryside -adjustment down to bring overall savings budget to £200k	Barbara Richardson	(100)	(100)	100.0%	GREEN	
					7,433	5,185	69.8%		

764

765

	2021/22 Original Budget			New Schemes – 2021/22 Approved Estimate A			Unspent budget from Schemes Approved in Prior Years B			Revised Budget 2021/22 A+B		
	Gross £000's	Income £000's	Net £000's	Gross £000's	Income £000's	Net £000's	Gross £000's	Income £000's	Net £000's	Gross £000's	Income £000's	Net £000's
Capital Programme Portfolio Summary												
Chief Executive												
Property	16,675	(2,738)	13,937	18,677	(2,763)	15,914	13,974	0	13,974	32,651	(2,763)	29,888
Total Chief Executive	16,675	(2,738)	13,937	18,677	(2,763)	15,914	13,974	0	13,974	32,651	(2,763)	29,888
Law & Strategy												
Corporate Communications	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	0	84	84	0	84
Democratic representation	0	0	0	0	0	0	361	0	361	361	0	361
Total Law & Strategy	0	0	0	0	0	0	445	0	445	445	0	445
Place Directorate												
Commissioning - Infrastructure	4,253	(3,285)	968	4,650	(4,132)	518	4,134	(1,174)	2,960	8,784	(5,306)	3,478
Local Enterprise Partner Schemes	1,178	(1,178)	0	1,178	(1,178)	0	10,349	(3,418)	6,931	11,527	(4,596)	6,931
Communities	340	(40)	300	340	(40)	300	1,001	(385)	616	1,341	(425)	916
Planning	300	0	300	300	0	300	1,005	(312)	693	1,305	(312)	993
Green Spaces & Parks	250	(40)	210	250	(40)	210	58	(10)	48	308	(50)	258
Infrastructure, Sustainability & Transport	835	-592	243	835	-592	243	474	(67)	407	1,309	(659)	650
Total Place Directorate	7,156	(5,135)	2,021	7,553	(5,982)	1,571	17,021	(5,366)	11,655	24,574	(11,348)	13,226
Adults, Health & Housing												
Housing	640	(640)	0	640	(640)	0	478	(423)	55	1,118	(1,063)	55
Head of Commissioning – People	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	(200)	0	200	(200)	0
Total Adults, Health & Housing	640	(640)	0	640	(640)	0	678	(623)	55	1,318	(1,263)	55
Childrens Services												
Non Schools	0	0	0	35	(35)	0	557	(83)	474	592	(118)	474
Schools - Non Devolved	1,838	(1,838)	0	3,000	(3,000)	0	2,458	(1,429)	1,029	5,458	(4,429)	1,029
Schools - Devolved Capital	272	(272)	0	200	(200)	0	414	(417)	(3)	614	(617)	(3)
Total Childrens Services	2,110	(2,110)	0	3,235	(3,235)	0	3,429	(1,929)	1,500	6,664	(5,164)	1,500
Resources												
Finance	305	0	305	305	0	305	1,324	0	1,324	1,629	0	1,629
Technology & Change Delivery	222	0	222	222	0	222	215	0	215	437	0	437
Revenues & Benefits	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	30	30	0	30
Library & Resident Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	542	(16)	526	542	(16)	526
Total Resources	527	0	527	527	0	527	2,111	(16)	2,095	2,638	(16)	2,622
Total Committed Schemes	27,108	(10,623)	16,485	30,632	(12,620)	18,012	37,658	(7,934)	29,724	68,290	(20,554)	47,736

(£'000)

Portfolio Total 27,108

(£'000)

68,290

External Funding

Government Grants	(5,916)	(11,034)
Developers' Contributions	(4,707)	(9,495)
Other Contributions	0	(25)
Total External Funding Sources	(10,623)	(20,554)

Total Corporate Funding

16,485

47,736

Capital Programme Movements 2021/22	Expenditure £'000	Income £'000	Net £'000
Original Budget 2021/22	27,109	(10,623)	16,486
Budget Changes to 31 October 2021			
Slippage reported to February 2021 Council	24,994	(6,379)	18,615
Additional Slippage in from 2020/21 after reprofiling schemes	12,666	(1,556)	11,110
Council approval Community Options -Lease Surrender	365	-	365
Affordable Housing-106 Westborough Rd Refurb - final budget drawdown	25	(25)	-
Budget drawdown - Schools non devolved	130	(130)	-
Schools devolved formula capital - Budget realignment	(71)	71	-
Council 27 April 2021- Purchase of Temporary Accommodation	1,612	-	1,612
Windsor Girls Council July 2021	790	(790)	-
Commissioning infrastructure DfT grant allocation	847	(847)	-
Commissioning infrastructure budget savings following review	(451)	-	(451)
Schools budget drawdown - Special Provision Capital Fund	300	(300)	-
Youth Centres Modernisation Programme - additional s106 budget	32	(32)	-
Schools budget savings	(56)	56	-
Roundings	(2)	1	(1)
Revised Budget 2021/22	68,290	(20,554)	47,736

Capital Monitoring Report 2021-22


	Exp £'000	Inc £'000	Net £'000
Revised Budget	68,290	(20,554)	47,736
Variances identified	(287)	-	(287)
Slippage to 2022/23	(1,201)	350	(851)
Projected Outturn 2021/22	66,802	(20,204)	46,598

Variances from revised budget		£'000	£'000	£'000	Commentary
Schools - Non Devolved					
CSJX	St Peters Middle	(250)	-	(250)	Budget saving
Library & Resident Services					
CC99	Eton Library – Open Access and Shop Front Repair	(37)	-	(37)	Budget no longer required
Total variances		(287)	-	(287)	

Slippage to 2022/23		£'000	£'000	£'000	Commentary
Property					
CI33	Clyde House	(50)	-	(50)	Demolition scheduled March 2023
CX62	Guildhall Heating	(70)		(70)	Programmed works Guildhall heating
Democratic Representation					
CM60	Grants - Outside Organisations	(261)	-	(261)	Capital grants to voluntary organisations deferred for use in future years.
Non Schools					
CT61	AfC Case Management System	(370)	-	(370)	Scheme to complete in 2022
Schools - Non Devolved					
CSLJ	Wraysbury Primary Resourced Provision	(350)	350	-	Scheme now scheduled to commence 2022
Library & Resident Services					
CLG6	Maidenhead Library-Heating	(100)		(100)	Scheme due for completion late 2022
Total slippage		(1,201)	350	(851)	

Gross Borrowing Forecast at 27/10/2021

Millions



	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22
Long-term Borrowing £'000	57	57	57	57	57	57	61	71	71	71	71	71	71
Required Short-term Borrowing (inc LEP) £'000	114	102	122	101	98	81	76	104	101	102	95	107	144
Total Gross Borrowing £'000	171	159	179	158	155	138	137	175	172	173	166	178	215

Children's Services					
Service	Original Budget	Current Budget	Forecast Outturn Variance	Previously Reported Variance	Change in Reported Variance
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Children's Services non Dedicated Schools Grant					
Social Care and Early Help					
Employee & Operational Related Expenditure	6,678	6,482	312	382	(70)
Legal Services	585	585	100	(16)	116
Inhouse Fostering	1,614	1,614	149	149	0
Residential, therapeutic & Direct Payments	4,025	3,925	444	607	(163)
Independent Fostering Agencies	1,410	1,726	(163)	(266)	103
Leaving Care-Care Costs	1,596	2,009	817	416	401
Adoption Allowances	137	137	(55)	0	(55)
Children-in-Need Care Costs	731	731	(101)	0	(101)
Community Hubs including employee & operational	1,234	1,841	45	43	2
Total Social Care and Early Help	18,010	19,050	1,548	1,315	233
Other					
Business Services	3,388	3,386	(35)	17	(52)
Education	1,363	1,393	46	89	(43)
Operational Strategic Management	319	324	(579)	2	(581)
Public Health	1,575	1,583	78	14	64
Special Educational Needs and Children with Disabilities	2,114	2,120	(263)	44	(307)
COVID-19 tranche funding	0	0	0	0	0
Children's Services - Retained	(2,403)	(2,945)	(111)	(7)	(104)
Total Other	6,355	5,862	(864)	159	(1,022)
Total Children's Services non Dedicated Schools Grant	24,365	24,912	684	1,473	(789)
Dedicated Schools Grant					
AfC Contract - Dedicated Schools Grant	12,035	12,035	1,455	1,455	0
Dedicated Schools Grant - Retained	56,848	58,034	300	300	0
Dedicated Schools Grant Income	(68,883)	(70,069)	(1,755)	(1,755)	0
Total Dedicated Schools Grant	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children's Services and Dedicated Schools Grant	24,365	24,912	684	1,473	(789)
Summary Position					
Achieving for Children Contract	38,803	39,892	2,250	2,935	(685)
Children's Services - Retained	(2,403)	(2,945)	(111)	(7)	(104)
Dedicated Schools Grant - Retained	56,848	58,034	300	300	0
Total Children's Services net budget	93,248	94,981	2,439	3,228	(789)

Costc	Description	21/22	21/22	21/22	21/22
		B/F	Movements in	Movements out	Balance as at
		£'000	£'000	£'000	30/09/21
					£'000
USABLE RESERVES					
AK14	Schools Revenue Balances	(2,203)			(2,203)
AK08	Insurance control account	4	(851)	430	(417)
AK13	Insurance Fund (Reserve)	(905)	(380)	151	(1,134)
AK37	Earmarked Capital Grant	(3,318)	(1,921)		(5,239)
AK38	Community Infrastructure Levy	(11,747)	(3,214)	433	(14,528)
AK40	NNDR Contingency Reserve	(6,185)	(266)		(6,451)
AK48	Better Care Fund Reserve	(1,281)			(1,281)
AK50	Public Health Reserve	(511)			(511)
AK54	Optalis Development Reserve	(381)			(381)
AK55	Brexit Funding	(299)			(299)
AK63	Cap Rcpts Unapplied Gen Fund	(1,349)	(1,353)		(2,702)
AL01	Graves In Perpetuity Mtce Fund	(8)			(8)
AL03	Arthur Jacob Nature Rsve Fund	(123)			(123)
AL04	Old Court Maintenance Fund	(18)		1	(17)
AL09	NNDR S31 Reserve	(19,154)	(2,474)		(21,628)
AL11	Covid-19 General Reserve	(3,804)		3,170	(634)
AL12	NNDR S31- Other Preceptors	(13,124)			(13,124)
AL13	Safeguarding Reserve	(194)			(194)
AL14	Collection Fund Compensation Reserve	(5,883)			(5,883)
AL15	Property Reserve	(600)			(600)
AL17	Lower Tier Servcies Grant Allocation		(75)		(75)
AK20	Net Revenue General Fund DRAFT OUTTURN	(7,059)		(46)	(7,105)
	TOTAL USABLE RESERVES	(78,142)	(10,534)	4,139	(84,537)

Costc	Description	21/22 B/F £'000	21/22 Movements in £'000	21/22 Movements out £'000	21/22 Balance as at 30/09/21 £'000
UNUSABLE RESERVES					
AG33	Capital Adjustment Account	(197,370)			(197,370)
AG34	Revaluation Reserve	(208,341)			(208,341)
AK39	Financial Instruments Revaluation Reserve	9,073			9,073
AK25	Pensions Reserve	339,880			339,880
AF22	Collection Fund-NNDR	104,042			104,042
AF51	Collection Fund - Council Tax	601			601
AG36	Accumulated Absences Account	1,858			1,858
AK41	DSG Adjustment Account	1,791			1,791
	TOTAL UNUSABLE RESERVES	51,534	0	0	51,534
	TOTAL NET RESERVES	(26,608)	(10,534)	4,139	(33,003)
PROVISIONS					
AE09	Redundancy Provision	(400)			(400)
AE13	MMI Clawback liability	(239)			(239)
AF53	Appeals provision for Business Rates	(9,482)	(9,869)		(19,351)
AF55	ASC Provision	(393)			(393)
AL16	Council Tax Deficit	(477)			(477)
AD30	Bad Debt Provision	(594)		140	(454)
AD31	Council tax collection fund provision	(4,358)	(2,783)		(7,141)
AD34	Adult Social Care Bad Debt provision	(1,919)			(1,919)
AD35	Housing benefit Bad Debt provision	(2,496)			(2,496)
	TOTAL PROVISIONS	(20,952)	(12,652)	164	(33,440)

This page is intentionally left blank

Report Title:	Achieving for Children Reserved Matter Contract Awards (External Audit Services)
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No – Part I
Cabinet Member:	Cllr Stuart Carroll, Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children’s Services
Meeting and Date:	25th November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Kevin McDaniel, Executive Director of Children’s Services
Wards affected:	All

www.rbwm.gov.uk



REPORT SUMMARY

The appointment of Achieving for Children’s (AfC) independent external auditor is a matter reserved to Cabinet as part of the Local Authority governance in its role as an owner of AfC. The existing contract with Grant Thornton LLP comes to an end this year and AfC requires new independent external auditors to be in place for January 2022.

This report outlines the approach to the procurement and, due to the complexity involved in requiring a procurement decision from all three of AfC’s owning councils, it is requested that authority be delegated to the Royal Borough’s S151 officer in consultation with the Lead Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children’s Services to approve the new auditor alongside their equivalents in London Borough of Richmond and Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet notes the report and:

- i) **Delegates to the Council’s S151 Officer in consultation with the Lead Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children’s Services the appointment of the new independent external auditor for Achieving for Children.**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 2.1 The External Auditor provides an annual independent and expert opinion to give confidence to the public that AfC’s Annual Report and Accounts show a true and fair view of the company’s financial performance and position. The auditors provide assurance that the Annual Report and Accounts has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.
- 2.2 Achieving for Children (AfC) last re-procured its external audit service in 2018, appointing Grant Thornton LLP. This was a three year contract that ends on the 31st March 2022, with all work due to be completed by November 2021.

- 2.3 AfC will require a new audit contract to commence from 1st January 2022 to allow ample time for appropriate handover and also to accommodate an interim audit that usually takes place in February or March each year.
- 2.4 The appointment of AfC’s external auditor is a matter reserved to AfC's three owning councils and therefore requires sign off from all three councils through their respective governance processes.
- 2.5 AfC has worked with the Kingston Council procurement team, who provide expert procurement advice to AfC, to agree the most appropriate procurement process for the appointment of new external auditors from 1st January 2022. AfC were advised to use the well established Crown Commercial Services Framework (CCSF) - Audit and Assurance Services (A&AS).
- 2.6 The following procurement options were considered:

Table 1: Options

Option 1: Do nothing	<i>This was not a viable option as it is a statutory requirement to provide this service.</i>
Option 2: Bring service in-house	<i>This was not a viable option as external audit services need to be independent of the company and its owners.</i>
Option 3: Call off under an existing framework - direct award	<i>Direct Award was not suitable and it is anticipated that better value will be obtained via the introduction of mini-competition under Option 4 below.</i>
Option 4: Call off under an existing framework - mini-competition This is the recommended option	<i>This is the recommended option as a publicly accessible framework it offers the best aggregation of demand i.e. value for money and suitably prequalified suppliers with good contract terms.</i>
Option 5: Commission with another local authority / other partners	<i>Due to the timings of the AfC audit work and difference in accounting for a community interest company it is not anticipated that commissioning through another local authority would provide any economies of scale.</i>

- 2.7 The framework invitation went live on 6th October 2021. The framework ensures that this opportunity will be competitively procured and involves conducting a mini-competition which will invite all 13 Framework suppliers who have all been pre-selected by CCSF. This framework has been set up to provide central government and the wider public sector access to cost effective audit and assurance services from a range of suppliers.

- 2.8 The deadline for the submission is 25th October 2021 and the evaluation process commenced on 28th October 2021. It is proposed that the contract is awarded on 26th November 2021. This will allow ample time for management to agree an audit plan for the Annual Report and Accounts that relate to the financial year 2021/22.
- 2.9 This contract is less than £100,000 per annum and less than £1,000,000 over the lifetime of the contract which is three years. The procurement approach has therefore been signed off by AfC's Chief Operating and Finance Officer and the relevant Associate Director in accordance with the AfC Procurement Code of Practice.
- 2.10 The final appointment of AfC's external auditor is a matter reserved to the three Local Authorities that own AfC and therefore requires sign off from all three councils through the respective council governance processes.
- 2.11 Cabinet is asked to delegate authority for the appointment of the new External Auditor to the Royal Borough's S151 Officer in consultation with the Lead Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children's Services. The delegated authority is requested to avoid a significant gap between the timing of the invitation to tender and the final decision being made. This timing will also support a smooth handover from the current to the new external audit arrangements.

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 AfC is required to publish an Annual Report and Accounts each year with Companies House that has been audited by an independent auditor. If an Independent auditor is not appointed AfC will be unable to meet this requirement.

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
AfC and the councils have access to a competent external auditor who provides an annual independent opinion that the Annual Report and Accounts provide a true and fair view of AfC's	Not approved	Approved and new contract operational by 1 January 2022	n/a	n/a	1st January 2022

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
financial performance and position.					

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 4.1 The cost of AfC's external audit services has increased over the last three years. These increases have been due to new accounting standards which have increased the complexity of AfC's Annual Report and Accounts and topical issues around pensions which have increased the amount of scrutiny on a number of key areas in the accounts. The soft market testing undertaken to date would also indicate that there has been a change in the audit market with companies moving away from bidding for audit work that does not present an attractive profit margin or that would be deemed to be more risky. Using the framework will hopefully offer AfC the best chance of securing a competitive rate for audit work over the coming three years. The value of this contract will be less than £100,000 per year and the contract will be let for three years. Exact values are not given at this time to avoid influencing the bids received.
- 4.2 The expected costs of the arrangements are already factored into the budget planning for future years so there is no further financial impact of this decision.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 This report recommends approval to delegate authority to the Royal Borough's Section 151 Officer (in consultation with the Lead Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children's Services) to approve the appointment of external auditors following the conclusion of a min-competition under the CCS (Crown Commercial Services) - Audit and Assurance Services (A&AS) framework agreement RM6188
- 5.2 It is noted that the decision to use this framework followed the requisite governance and procurement requirements laid down in AfC's Financial Regulations and Procurement Code of Practice.
- 5.3 AfC's Financial Regulations (Reg.) 3.14 states that the appointment of the company's independent auditor is a reserved matter to be decided by its owning Councils, therefore, the permission to delegate this power must be given by Cabinet.
- 5.4 Once the contract is awarded, Achieving for Children's Chief Operating and Finance Officer must ensure that the statutory requirements for external audit are complied with and, in order that the external auditor is able to scrutinise AfC's records effectively, Service Directors, Associate Service Directors, Service and Budget Managers and their staff shall ensure that the appointed auditors have reasonable access to AfC's premises and records relevant to the audit.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 The following risk assessment was undertaken prior to using the Crown Commercial Framework:

Table 3: Risks

Risk	Risk Score	Mitigations
Legal challenge from unsuccessful provider	L	Clear specification and use of the CCS Audit & Assurance Services Framework.
Any delay to the delivery of the procurement will have an impact on the delivery of the 2021/22 audit.	M	If there is a significant delay in awarding a contract then the interim audit will need to happen later in 2022 putting additional pressure on the finance team and the external auditor.
The financial estimates are insufficient to meet the requirements	M	An annual budget has been assigned to external audit services. This is in line with current charges that incorporate the latest legislation and accounting standards as well as any post-pandemic strain to the provider. There is a risk that the successful bid is over this amount and causes budgetary pressure.
The terms and conditions of the framework are not acceptable to the Council	L	The frameworks are standard for the sector and in use by a variety of public sector organisations.

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

7.1 No impact on equalities. AfC are comfortable that the statutory duty to comply with the provisions set out in the Equality Act 2010 have been followed.

7.2 There is not anticipated to be an adverse or favourable environmental impact from this decision. It is anticipated that the audit will be able to be undertaken in a hybrid working approach to minimise unnecessary travel as part of the service being procured.

7.3 The new auditors will have access to financial information held by AfC and an Information Sharing Agreement will be put in place as part of the contractual agreement.

8. CONSULTATION

- 8.1 In assessing the procurement options AfC officers have engaged with relevant stakeholders and taken expert procurement advice.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 9.1 The key procurement milestones are detailed below:

Table 4: Implementation timetable

Date	Details
6 October 2021	Procurement launched via the London Tenders Portal
6 October 2021	Clarification period started
18 October 2021	Bid Clarification Deadline
10am 25 October 2021	Bid Submission Deadline
28th October 2021	Commencement of Evaluation Process
26th November 2021	Proposed Award Date of Contract
30th November 2021	Expected execution (signature) date for Contract(s)
1st January 2022	Expected commencement date for Contract(s)

10. APPENDICES

none

11. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

none

12. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory: Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>			
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	3/11/21	4/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	4/11/21	17/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	3/11/21	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)		
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)		
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive		

Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place		
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	3/11/21	4/11/21
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Health and Housing	3/11/21	3/11/21
<i>Heads of Service (where relevant)</i>			
<i>none</i>			
<i>External (where relevant)</i>			
<i>none</i>			

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Health, Mental Health and Children's Services	No
---	---	----

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
Non-key decision	No	No

Report Author: : Michael Smith, Associate Director of Finance, Achieving for Children

This page is intentionally left blank

Report Title:	Provision of Internal Audit Services
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information?	No - Part I
Member reporting:	Councillor Hilton, Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet - 25 November 2021
Responsible Officer(s):	Adele Taylor, Director of Resources & Section 151 Officer
Wards affected:	All

www.rbwm.gov.uk



REPORT SUMMARY

This report recommends that the Council joins the South West Audit Partnership (SWAP).

The report was considered by Audit and Governance Committee at its meeting on 21st October. It recommended that Cabinet agree to become a member of SWAP for the provision of internal audit services.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Cabinet considers the recommendation of Audit and Governance Committee and agrees that:

- i) **The Council becomes a member of South West Audit Partnership for the delivery of internal audit services from 1st April 2022.**
- ii) **The Chairman of Audit and Governance Committee is appointed as the Council's representative on SWAP's Owners' Board.**

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Background

- 2.1 Internal audit represents a key source of assurance for the Council and is essential in ensuring that officers and Members are provided with a clear and independent assessment of the effectiveness of the Council's risk, control and governance processes. The provision of internal audit services to the public sector, including local authorities, is required to comply with the provisions of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS).
- 2.2 The Council currently receives its internal audit service through the Shared Audit and Investigation Service with Wokingham Borough Council. All current staff are employed by Wokingham. Wokingham have struggled to resource the shared team so the Council served the required one year's notice on Wokingham BC and the shared service will terminate on 31st March 2022.
- 2.3 A comprehensive evaluation of all options for future service delivery was undertaken by the Head of Finance. Headlines from the options appraisal are attached as Appendix A.

2.4 A partnership arrangement is the preferred option and has the following benefits:

- Easier to recruit and retain skilled and experienced staff
- Shared knowledge, ideas and expertise
- Resilience
- Improved quality
- Flexibility
- Access to specialist expertise
- Promotes independence
- New ways of doing things
- Deep public sector knowledge base
- Collaborative approach

2.5 From a KPMG study it is pertinent to note that only 28% of councils retain a purely in-house internal audit service, 19% have fully outsourced their service, 14% have a mix of in-house and co-sourced resources and 39% use some form of shared service or consortium arrangement with partner authorities (source: KPMG Redefining Internal Audit, September 2016).

2.6 Following identification of the preferred option, the Head of Finance worked to identify a suitable internal audit partnership arrangement. It is important to note that the aim was not to create a service contract with an internal audit partnership, which would require a competitive tendering process to be undertaken under the Public Contract Regulations 2015. Rather, the aim was to join an existing partnership arrangement with equivalent rights to other partners so that the Council could exercise control over the services provided to it. This type of arrangement can be exempt from competitive tendering regulations under the “Teckal” exemption. In simple terms, if a contracting authority for the purposes of procurement law exercises the same control over a company as it exercises over its own departments, the company is considered in procurement terms to be an extension of the contracting authority. This means that the contracting authority does not need to follow a procurement process to legitimately obtain services from the company and it would be “Teckal compliant”. “Teckal” is the name of the case which established this principle and which is now codified in the Public Contract Regulations 2015.

2.7 A number of partnerships were approached to see if they would be interested in providing internal audit services to RBWM. After extensive discussions, only SWAP put forward a proposal that meets the Council's needs, improves the service and saves money.

South West Audit Partnership (SWAP)

2.8 SWAP was set up in 2005 when 2 councils came together. It now has 25 partners in 8 counties who own the business. RBWM would become the 26th partner and owner. Partners include:

- 6 counties or unitaries: Somerset, Wiltshire, Dorset, Herefordshire, Powys, East Riding of Yorkshire

- 8 districts: Cheltenham, Cotswold, Forest of Dean, East Devon, Mendip, Sedgemoor, Somerset West & Taunton, South Somerset
- 11 police authorities and Police & Crime Commissioners

Proposals

- 2.9 The SWAP offer includes provision of a full-time Chief Auditor and a team of Principal and Senior Auditors, to be recruited by them. No staff will transfer under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations (TUPE), as no staff are wholly or predominantly engaged in undertaking the work that is transferring. Indeed, only 4.5 of the 12 posts in the shared service are currently occupied by permanent staff.
- 2.10 SWAP will meet all ongoing costs of service provision including provision of ICT and recruitment and development of staff. Most of the staff will work remotely for most of the time, enabling greater flexible use of staff resources across the wider partnership.
- 2.11 The Executive Director of Resources, as Chief Financial Officer, will continue to be responsible for ensuring that the Council has put in place effective arrangements for internal audit of the control environment and systems of internal control, as required by professional standards and in line with CIPFA Code of Practice. To this end she will retain direct access to the Council's internal audit function and the ability to control and influence both the work programme of internal audit to support her statutory duties and the quantity and quality of staff available to undertake the relevant internal audit projects, as set out in the Council's Constitution.
- 2.12 SWAP is a company limited by guarantee. Its owners wholly consist of public authorities that receive internal audit services from the company. The governance of SWAP is split between three separate groups: the Owners' Board, the Board of Directors and the Senior Leadership Team. RBWM would join the Owners' Board, where partner authorities retain control over strategic matters or important issues of policy and exercise autonomous control over the company.
- 2.13 The Owners' Board consists of councillors nominated by each partner. It is suggested RBWM nominates the Chairman of the Audit and Governance Committee as its representative. RBWM could nominate candidates for the Board of Directors and would have the same voting rights as other partner authorities.
- 2.14 SWAP won the Innovation in Internal Audit award at the Public Finance Innovation Awards 2017. It was nominated again this year.

Next Steps

- 2.15 Once RBWM has joined SWAP, the partnership will appoint the Chief Auditor. The RBWM Head of Finance will take part in this process. The team will be recruited from within SWAP or externally.
- 2.16 Arrangements will be put in place to ensure a smooth handover from Wokingham BC to SWAP on 1st April 2022.
- 2.17 SWAP will also build close working relations with our external auditors.

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

Table 2: Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Provision of new Internal Audit Service	Fails to meet Council objectives and service needs	Meets Council objectives and service needs	n/a	n/a	1 April 2022

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 4.1 The 2021/22 budget for internal audit services is £385,000. The exact cost of the proposed arrangement will not be known until the recruitment exercise is undertaken, but it is expected that there will be a saving of at least £35,000 per year.
- 4.2 This will deliver the current number of days in the annual audit plan, plus enhanced services, particularly an improved counter-fraud service.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 SWAP is Teckal compliant so a tendering exercise is not required.

6. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 6.1 An effective and efficient internal audit service is vital to managing and assessing the Council's risks.

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

7.1 **Equalities.** No change.

7.2 **Climate change/sustainability** Not applicable

7.3 **Data Protection/GDPR.** Not applicable.

8 APPENDICES

8.1 The table below details the Appendix to this report

Appendix	
A	Options Appraisal

9 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

9.1 SWAP Members Agreement
SWAP Partnership Agreement
SWAP Governance Handbook
SWAP Articles of Association
Comprehensive Options Appraisal

10 CONSULTATION (MANDATORY)

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory:</i>	<i>Statutory Officers (or deputy)</i>		
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	12/11/21	13/11/21
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	12/11/21	15/11/21
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	Report Author	
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	12/11/21	16/11/21
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	12/11/21	15/11/21
Cllr Hilton	Cabinet Member for Finance and Ascot	12/10/21	13/10/21
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	12/11/21	
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Children's Services	12/11/21	15/11/21

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
Hilary Hall	Executive Director of Adults, Commissioning & Health	12/11/21	12/11/21

12 REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To Follow item?
Key decision	No	Not applicable
Report Author: Andrew Vallance, Head of Finance		

Option 1

Externalise/outsourcing the internal audit function

Pros

- Promotes independence
- Potential to bring ideas from different places
- Potential to access specialist expertise
- Off the shelf solution – easy to implement

Cons

- Cost – higher rates
- Lack of responsiveness, remote
- Little flexibility if need something outside of specification
- May need to pay for extras – RBWM may then be less keen to ask for work
- One dimensional support – no ‘business partner’ role, no added value
- Standardised delivery, not bespoke
- Profit element
- No public sector ethos
- Risk of poor quality
- Lack of knowledge of public sector
- May have conflicting priorities

Option 2

Partnership/Consortium

Pros

Promotes independence

Brings ideas from different places – new ways of doing things

Potential to access external expertise

Off the shelf solution – easy to implement

Lower cost than option 1 – at cost rate with potential for reducing costs as more organisations join

Reduces need for supervision from HoS compared to in-house option

Mitigates risk of not being able to recruit

Ability to negotiate; have input

Deep knowledge base and knowledge sharing

More flexibility than option 1

Maintain public sector ethic/ethos

More training and development opportunities for staff

More resilience in terms of resource

Specialist expertise available

Cons

Less responsive than in-house team?

Risk of partnership failing

Not available for other Council activities

May have conflicting priorities

Staff may be remote (less of an issue these days!)

Option 3

Bring the service back in-house

Pros

In depth knowledge of RBWM

Public sector ethos/ethics

Ability to fulfil other corporate functions

Certainty over budget

More responsive

More local staff

Cons

Lack of resilience

Difficulties recruiting and retaining staff in high cost area

Not independent

Reduced training

Reduced career opportunities

Lack of specialist expertise

Lack of flexibility

Option 1

Externalise/outsourcing the internal audit function

Pros

- Promotes independence
- Potential to bring ideas from different places
- Potential to access specialist expertise
- Off the shelf solution – easy to implement

Cons

- Cost – higher rates
- Lack of responsiveness, remote
- Little flexibility if need something outside of specification
- May need to pay for extras – RBWM may then be less keen to ask for work
- One dimensional support – no ‘business partner’ role, no added value
- Standardised delivery, not bespoke
- Profit element
- No public sector ethos
- Risk of poor quality
- Lack of knowledge of public sector
- May have conflicting priorities

Option 2

Partnership/Consortium

Pros

Promotes independence

Brings ideas from different places – new ways of doing things

Potential to access external expertise

Off the shelf solution – easy to implement

Lower cost than option 1 – at cost rate with potential for reducing costs as more organisations join

Reduces need for supervision from HoS compared to in-house option

Mitigates risk of not being able to recruit

Ability to negotiate; have input

Deep knowledge base and knowledge sharing

More flexibility than option 1

Maintain public sector ethic/ethos

More training and development opportunities for staff

More resilience in terms of resource

Specialist expertise available

Cons

Less responsive than in-house team?

Risk of partnership failing

Not available for other Council activities

May have conflicting priorities

Staff may be remote (less of an issue these days!)

Option 3

Bring the service back in-house

Pros

In depth knowledge of RBWM

Public sector ethos/ethics

Ability to fulfil other corporate functions

Certainty over budget

More responsive

More local staff

Cons

Lack of resilience

Difficulties recruiting and retaining staff in high cost area

Not independent

Reduced training

Reduced career opportunities

Lack of specialist expertise

Lack of flexibility

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank